



STATE OF NEW YORK  
EXECUTIVE CHAMBER  
ALBANY 12224

VETO #299

December 31, 2016

TO THE ASSEMBLY:

I am returning herewith, without my approval, the following bill:

Assembly Bill Number 9042-A, entitled:

“AN ACT to amend the penal law, in relation to the definitions of a switchblade knife and a gravity knife”

NOT APPROVED

This bill would change the existing law and amend subdivisions 4 and 5 of Penal Law Section 265.00 to exclude from the definition of “switchblade knife” and “gravity knife” those knives that have “a spring, detent, or other mechanism, including but not limited to resistance to opening designed to create a bias toward closure and that requires exertion applied to the blade by hand, wrist, or arm to overcome the bias toward closure and open the knife.”

This bill highlights a serious conflict between (1) protecting public safety and (2) addressing an absurd contradiction in existing commercial and enforcement practices.

First, ensuring the highest level of public safety in our State is of paramount importance. The voices of law enforcement professionals and mayors across the State are uniform in their unwavering opposition to this bill. They note that at a time when gun violence in New York continues to decline, passing a law that would promote the unrestricted possession of knives designed to rapidly open with one hand would serve only to open a new door to crime and violence statewide. And while reporting on knife crimes in New York City has only recently begun, we already know that the people of New York City suffered a staggering 4,000 stabbings and slashings in 2015. And in the first half of 2016 alone, approximately half of the homicides that occurred in the Manhattan borough of New York City involved knives.

Notwithstanding those public safety concerns, under current New York law and practice, knives that are classified as “gravity knives” are designed, marketed and sold as work tools for construction workers and day laborers at a variety of major retailers across the State. However, any person who goes into a store and purchases the product can be subsequently arrested and prosecuted for mere possession. This construct is absurd and must be addressed but this bill unfortunately does not address it.

The bill seeks to amend a law designed to outlaw a knife created in the 1950s for use by German paratroopers, which could truly open by the force of gravity alone. The law has been subsequently interpreted to include knives that could be opened with a flick of one hand. This interpretation of the “gravity knife” has resulted in a definition that is both amorphous, subject to abuse and could include nearly any pocket knife. Rather than address that problem however, this bill would create more confusion among law enforcement and knife owners based upon its ambiguous phraseology. For example, the bill’s usage of “*including but not limited to*” and “*designed to create a bias toward closure*” would potentially legalize all folding knives. Conversely, it would place the burden upon law enforcement to determine the design attributes of each given knife.

To address these concerns, I proposed modifications to the Legislature that would have allowed crafts and tradespeople to possess these knives without penalty, as well as create an affirmative defense for those who possessed gravity knives with no intent to use it unlawfully. Unfortunately, the Legislature did not adopt any of these modifications. The problem presented by the current law and collateral enforcement consequences cannot be ignored and must be addressed in the next legislative session. In the interim, given the flaws of this bill and the Legislature's unwillingness to adopt clarifying modifications, I am constrained to veto this bill.

This bill is disapproved.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Adams", written in a cursive style.