Supreme Court of Texas

Misc. Docket No. 25-9070

Preliminary Approval of Amendments to Rule 1 of the Rules Governing Admission to the Bar of Texas

ORDERED that:

- 1. On April 4, 2025, the Court invited comments on the law school accreditation component of the Rules Governing Admission to the Bar of Texas. Specifically, the Court requested feedback on:
 - a. whether to reduce or end the Rules' reliance on the American Bar Association; and
 - b. alternatives the Court should consider.
- 2. After further consideration of the matter, including review of the many helpful comments received, the Court is of the tentative opinion that the ABA should no longer have the final say on whether a law school's graduates are eligible to sit for the Texas bar exam and become licensed to practice law in Texas.
- 3. The Court therefore proposes amendments to Rule 1 of the Rules Governing Admission to the Bar of Texas.
- 4. The Court invites public comments on the proposed amendments. Comments should be submitted in writing to <u>rulescomments@txcourts.gov</u> by December 1, 2025.
- 5. The Court will issue an order finalizing the amendments after the close of the comment period. The Court expects the amendments to take effect on January 1, 2026.
- 6. Commenters and other interested parties are advised that the Court, in reasserting its authority over the approval of law schools:

- a. intends to preserve the portability of Texas law-school degrees into other states and to preserve the portability of out-of-state law-school degrees into Texas;
- b. does not intend to impose additional accreditation, compliance, or administrative burdens on currently approved law schools, which need not take any additional action in order to remain approved law schools in Texas;
- c. intends to provide stability, certainty, and flexibility to currently approved law schools by guaranteeing ongoing approval to schools that satisfy a set of simple, objective, and ideologically neutral criteria (such as bar exam passage rate) using metrics no more onerous than those currently required by the ABA;
- d. will not consider the fact that a law school loses ABA accreditation to be sufficient grounds for removal of the school from Texas's list of approved schools:
- e. intends to develop, in consultation with the Texas Board of Law Examiners, a deliberative approach to requests from law schools not currently accredited by the ABA that wish to be added to Texas's list;
- f. does not anticipate immediate changes to the current list of approved law schools; and
- g. may consider, in the future, returning to greater reliance on a multistate accrediting entity other than the ABA should a suitable entity become available.
- 7. The Texas Board of Law Examiners is directed to publish on its website the initial list of law schools approved by the Court as satisfying the law study requirements for licensure. That list, which is attached to this order, includes all law schools that are currently approved under the Court's existing rules.
- 8. The Clerk is directed to:
 - a. file a copy of this order with the Secretary of State;
 - b. cause a copy of this order to be mailed to each registered member of the State Bar of Texas by publication in the *Texas Bar Journal*;
 - c. send a copy of this order to the Governor, the Lieutenant Governor, and each elected member of the Legislature; and

Misc. Docket No. 25-9070

d.	submit a copy of this order for publication in the <i>Texas Register</i> .
Date: Se	ptember 26, 2025.

James D. Blacklock, Chief Justice
Debra H. Lehrmann, Justice John P. Devine, Justice
Direct Busby, Justice Jane N. Bland, Justice
Rebecatulde Rebeca A. Huddle, Justice Evan A. Young, Justice
James P. Sullivan, Justice

Rule 1 Definitions and General Provisions

(a) Frequently used terms are defined as follows:

(4) "Approved law school" means a law school approved by the American Bar Association Supreme Court.

Supreme Court of Texas

Approved Law Schools

The below law schools are approved by the Court as satisfying the law study requirements for admission to the Texas Bar:

Akron Chicago
Alabama Chicago-Kent
Albany Cincinnati

American City University of New York

Appalachian Cleveland State

Arizona Colorado
Arizona State Columbia
Arkansas – Fayetteville Connecticut

Arkansas – Little Rock Cooley Law School

Atlanta's John Marshall Law School

Cornell

Ave Maria School of Law Creighton Baltimore Dayton

Barry University Denver Baylor DePaul

Belmont University Detroit Mercy
Boston College District of Columbia

Boston University

District of Columbia

Drake

Brigham Young Drexel
Brooklyn Duke
Buffalo Duquesne
California – Berkeley Elon

California – Berkeley Elon
California – Davis Emory
California – San Francisco Faulkner

California – Irvine Florida
California – Los Angeles Florida A&M

California Western Florida International

Campbell Florida State
Capital Fordham
Case Western Reserve George Mason

Catholic University of America Georgetown

Chapman George Washington
Charleston Georgia

Misc. Docket No. 25-9070 Page 6

Georgia State Nebraska Golden Gate Nevada

Gonzaga New England Law – Boston

Harvard New Hampshire Hawaii New Mexico

Hofstra New York Law School
Houston New York University
Howard North Carolina

Idaho North Carolina Central

Illinois Chicago
Illinois
Indiana University – Bloomington
Indiana University – Indianapolis
Northern Illinois
Northern Kentucky

Inter American Northwestern Notre Dame

Iowa Notre Dame
Jacksonville Nova Southeastern

Judge Advocate General's SchoolOhio NorthernKansasThe Ohio StateKentuckyOklahoma

Lewis and Clark Oklahoma City

Liberty Oregon Lincoln Memorial Pace

Louisiana State Pennsylvania

Louisville Penn State – Dickinson Law

Loyola – Chicago

Loyola – Los Angeles

Pepperdine

Pittsburgh

Partification of the line of the line

Loyola – New Orleans Pontifical Catholic of Puerto Rico

MainePuerto RicoMarquetteQuinnipiacMarylandRegentMassachusettsRichmondMcGeorgeRoger Williams

Memphis
Rutgers
Mercer
St. John's
Miami
Saint Louis
Michigan State

Michigan State St. Mary's Michigan St. Thomas (Florida)

Minnesota
St. Thomas (Minnesota)

Mississippi College Samford
Mississippi San Diego
Missouri San Francisco
Missouri – Kansas City Santa Clara

Mitchell Hamline Seattle
Montana Seton Hall

Misc. Docket No. 25-9070 Page 7

South Carolina

South Dakota

South Texas – Houston

Southern University

Southern California

Southern Illinois

Southern Methodist

Southwestern

Stanford

Stetson

Suffolk

Syracuse

Temple

Tennessee

Texas

Texas A&M

Texas Southern

Texas Tech

Toledo

Touro

Tulane

Tulsa

UNT Dallas

Utah

Vanderbilt

Vermont

Villanova

Virginia

Wake Forest

Washburn

Washington and Lee

Washington

Washington University

Wayne State

Western New England

Western State

West Virginia

Widener-Delaware

Widener - Commonwealth

Willamette

William and Mary

Wilmington

Wisconsin

Wyoming

Yale Yeshiva

Misc. Docket No. 25-9070 Page 8