

**STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SUPREME COURT**

CASE NO. 2024-0523

**D.V.
(Plaintiff-Appellee)**

v.

**R.G.
(Defendant-Appellant)**

**ON APPEAL FROM:
FINAL ORDER ON STALKING PETITION
BY THE 9TH CIRCUIT COURT - DISTRICT DIVISION - MANCHESTER**

Docket No. 456-2024-CS-00215

BRIEF FOR APPELLANT

**Respectfully submitted,
For the Defendant-Appellant,
Rose Garcia,**

**/s/Nicole M. Bluefort
Nicole M. Bluefort, Esquire
LAW OFFICES OF
NICOLE M. BLUEFORT
1155 Elm Street, Suite 801
Manchester, NH 03101
(781) 593-1952
Bar Id. 278283**

DATE: December 30, 2024

TABLE CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	4
QUESTION PRESENTED.....	4
STATEMENT OF THE FACTS.....	4
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	7
ARGUMENT.....	8
I. <u>The Trial Court Entered the Final Protective Order Upon Evidence Insufficient to Support a Finding that the Defendant Stalked the Plaintiff or Her Daughter</u>	8
II. <u>The Trial Court’s Protective Order Violated the Defendant’s Right to Free Speech Under the First Amendment to the Federal Constitution</u>	14
CONCLUSION.....	15
STATEMENT CONCERNING ORAL ARGUMENT.....	16
STATEMENT CONCERNING ORDER BEING APPEALED.....	16
STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE.....	16
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....	17
ADDENDUM.....	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Pages
 Cases	
<u>Fisher v. Minichiello</u> , 155 N.H. 188 (2007).....	9, 10
<u>Lovell v. Griffin</u> , 303 U.S. 444, 450 (1938).....	13
<u>MacPherson v. Weiner</u> , 158 N.H. 6, 10 (2008).....	11
<u>Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley</u> , 408 U.S. 92, 95 (1972).....	13
<u>S.D. v. N.B.</u> , NH Supreme Court, Case No. 2022-0114 (June 29, 2023).....	9
<u>Town of Nottingham v. Newman</u> , 147 N.H. 131, 137 (2001).....	9
 Statutes	
U.S. Const. amend. I.....	13
RSA § 633:3-a.....	9, 11, 12
RSA § 437:18.....	10
RSA § 458-16-a I.....	10
 Authorities	
<i>Prosser and Keeton on the Law of Torts</i> (5th ed. 1984).....	12
Restatement (Second) of Torts § 218 (1965).....	12

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Appellant-Defendant, Rose Garcia (“Appellant” or “Defendant”), appeals the issuance of the Stalking Final Orders of Protection granted to the Appellee-Plaintiff, Debbie-Ann Marie Valente (“Appellee” or “Plaintiff”) and her daughter Sabrina Rahme following consolidated hearings on July 30, 2024 and August 13, 2024 in the 9th Circuit District Court - Manchester (Walch, J.)¹

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the evidence presented supported a finding that the Defendant stalked the Plaintiff or her daughter; and
2. Whether the Trial Court’s protective order violates the Defendant’s right to free speech under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

The Defendant owned a eighteen-year-old Maine coon cat (“Sgt. Tibbs” or the “cat”), which she described as an indoor-outdoor cat who would wander the neighborhood near her home.² On May 30, 2024, the Defendant took time off from work and took Sgt. Tibbs to his veterinarian because of her concerns that he was breathing from his mouth.³ The veterinarian performed respiratory therapy and took x-rays.⁴ After assessing Sgt. Tibbs condition and considering his age, the veterinarian informed the Defendant that Sgt. Tibbs may die in the upcoming weeks.⁵ The Defendant responded she would take Sgt. Tibbs home so he would

¹ Stalking Final Order of Protection entered on September 3, 2024, Add. pp. 1-13. Companion case *Sabrina Rahme v. Rose Garcia* is 456-2024-CS-00216. Add. pp.

² Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 128 ll. 18-25 and p. 129 ll. 1-6.

³ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 130 ll. 7-11.

⁴ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 144 ll. 9-11.

⁵ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 144 ll. 13-14.

live his last days with his family.⁶ She also told the veterinarian she used Albuterol in the past for his breathing and the veterinarian recommended keeping Sgt. Tibbs on his routine and comfortable as well.⁷ Thereafter, the Defendant gave Sgt. Tibbs two pumps of Albuterol by spraying it around his dish while he was eating.⁸ On June 7, 2024, Sgt. Tibbs ate his breakfast and as part of Sgt. Tibbs' usual routine, the Defendant let the cat outside because at the time, he was not in distress.⁹

When Sgt. Tibbs failed to return home as usual, the Defendant along with family and friends searched for the cat around the neighborhood, including around the Plaintiff's business.¹⁰ Upon failing to find Sgt. Tibbs, the Defendant assumed that he had found a place to die given his advanced age and the prognosis of his veterinarian.¹¹

On June 7, 2024, the Plaintiff's daughter and her friend found a cat, who would later be identified as Sgt. Tibbs, in the alley behind the Plaintiff's place of business.¹² The Plaintiff's business is next door to the Defendant and Sgt. Tibbs' home.¹³ Concerned by the cat's appearance, the Plaintiff's daughter and her friends took the cat to the Plaintiff.¹⁴ Upon seeing the cat, the Plaintiff incorrectly assumed the cat was uncared for and abused because he was skinny, shaven and had difficulty breathing.¹⁵ The Plaintiff and her daughter then took the distressed

⁶ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 144 ll. 14-17.

⁷ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 144 ll. 4-5.

⁸ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 146 ll. 22-25.

⁹ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 146 ll. 6-19.

¹⁰ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 147 ll. 2-25 and p. 148 ll. 1-10.

¹¹ Apx., Tr. Day 1 p. 104 ll. 6-14 (testimony of Cody Fowler, the Defendant's boyfriend) and Tr. Day 2 p. 147 ll. 11-25, p. 148 l. 1.

¹² Apx., Tr. Day 1 p. 7 ll. 5-6.

¹³ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 127 ll. 1-10.

¹⁴ Apx, Tr. Day 1 p. 65 ll. 13-17.

¹⁵ Apx., Tr. p. 7 ll. 5-8.

cat to the vet multiple times over the next three weeks and paid the veterinarian bills to placate her daughter's concerns.¹⁶

On June 28, 2024, three weeks after her daughter found the cat, the Plaintiff knocked on the Defendant's door.¹⁷ The Defendant's boyfriend answered the door and the Plaintiff asked him whether they had lost a cat and he responded yes.¹⁸ The Defendant then came to the door as well and after the Plaintiff described the cat, she was both surprised and happy at the news that Sgt. Tibbs was alive.¹⁹ After the Defendant showed the Plaintiff a Polaroid of her cat she agreed, "it's your cat" and told the Defendant she would give him back.²⁰ The Defendant and her boyfriend expected the Plaintiff to return with the cat.²¹ When the Plaintiff did not return with Sgt. Tibbs, the Defendant, eager for the return of her pet, telephoned and texted the Plaintiff.²² The Plaintiff did not take her calls or call her back.²³ The Defendant then filed a report with the Manchester Police Department in an effort to gain her cat's return.²⁴

On June 30, 2024, because of her increasing frustration with the Plaintiff's failure and refusal to return Sgt. Tibbs, the Defendant peacefully protested on the public sidewalk with family and friends in front of the Plaintiff's business.²⁵ The Plaintiff confronted the Defendant on the public sidewalk and then called the Manchester Police Department. Officer Christian Coughlin ("Officer Coughlin)

¹⁶ Apx., Tr. Day 1 p. 9 16-22.

¹⁷ Apx., Tr. Day 1 p. 104 ll. 15-17.

¹⁸ Apx., Tr. Day 1 p. 104 ll. 15-25 – p. 105 ll. 1-19.

¹⁹ Testimony reflects the Plaintiff did not ask for reimbursement of veterinarian expenses nor the Defendant's refuse to reimburse the Plaintiff for veterinarian expenses.

²⁰ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 150, ll. 20-22.

²¹ Apx., Tr. Day 1 p. 14, ll. 9-12.

²² Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 154 ll. 4-20.

²³ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 154 ll. 4-20.

²⁴ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 154 l. 20-25 – p. 155 ll. 1.

²⁵ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 159 ll. 20-25 – p. 160 ll. 1-4

of the Manchester Police Department arrived at the scene and remained on the scene for approximately ten minutes upon finding the protest peaceful, restricted to the public sidewalk and not within the Plaintiff's business or the business's curtilage.²⁶

Neither the Plaintiff nor her daughter returned the cat to the Defendant and on July 2, 2024, while still in the custody of the Plaintiff and her daughter, Sgt. Tibbs died.²⁷

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The Trial Court entered Stalking Final Orders of Protection to the Plaintiff and her daughter without sufficient evidence that the Defendant purposely, knowingly or recklessly caused the Plaintiff or a member of her family to experience fear or apprehension when the Defendant sought return of her cat. At the hearings, neither the Plaintiff nor her daughter could provide evidence of threats or abuse attributable to the Defendant and their claimed fears and apprehension arising from the Defendant's alleged acts are not reasonable or credible.

Out of her painful frustration, the Defendant engaged in a peaceful protest as described by the responding police officer at the hearings and the findings in the Stalking Final Orders of Protection discounted the Defendant's First Amendment right.

²⁶ Apx., Tr. Day1 p. 29 ll. 17-20.

²⁷ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 128 ll. 11-17 and p. 130 l. 11.

ARGUMENT

A dispute over a cat, without evidence of serious threats, harassment, or physical violence attributable to the Defendant, is not grounds to grant the Plaintiff a Stalking Order. A Stalking Order is intended to protect individuals from imminent danger and requires a substantial showing by the Plaintiff of harmful behavior by the Defendant beyond mere disagreements or annoyances. The Plaintiff's annoyance with the Defendant's repeated requests for the return of Sgt. Tibbs and the Defendant's peaceful protest when the Plaintiff stubbornly refused to give her the cat back are not grounds for a Stalking Order for either her or her daughter.

I. The Trial Court Entered the Final Protective Order Upon Evidence Insufficient to Support a Finding that the Defendant Stalked the Plaintiff or Her Daughter

The Plaintiff simply failed to meet her burden in this case. At the outset, the Plaintiff listed alleged "threats" on social media and referred to undisclosed people other than the Defendant who were "just following" as "they" and "somebody".²⁸ Then, the Plaintiff testified that she herself published her own phone number so she would receive texts from these undisclosed persons who were threatening her and her family.²⁹

On cross examination, when Defendant's counsel asked the Plaintiff whether she had anything that evidenced that the Defendant had encouraged people to send her death threats or abuse her, the Plaintiff answered no to both questions.³⁰ On further cross, when questioned about specific threats and asked

²⁸ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 9, ll. 8-21, p. 24 ll. 7-24 and p. 26 l. 2

²⁹ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 26 pp. 16-24.

³⁰ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 43 ll. 10-19.

whether she could link any of the threats to the Defendant, the Plaintiff again answered “No.”³¹ Again, on cross examination when questioned whether she had evidence that the Defendant published her financial information, the Plaintiff again answered “No, that’s my verbal testimony.”³² When asked whether she had video or anything at all from the day of the protest evidencing alleged death threats, the Plaintiff answered no.³³ When asked whether she suffered any injuries, the Plaintiff answered no.³⁴

Similarly, the Plaintiff’s daughter who also obtained a restraining order against the Defendant could not articulate whether the Defendant made verbal threats at the protest and she presented no evidence of threats of harm made by the Defendant on social media.³⁵ Further on cross, the Plaintiff’s daughter admitted that no customer of her mother’s business was at the hearing to testify that they were prevented from entering the Plaintiff’s business on the day of the protest.³⁶ Moreover, Officer Coughlin who responded to the protest testified he was not aware of any threats made at the protest or acts of abuse as alleged by the Plaintiff and her daughter and that the protest was not in the Plaintiff’s business nor near the business’s curtilage.³⁷

Later in the hearings, the Plaintiff still failed to establish a link between the alleged threats and the Defendant and instead focused her cross examination of the Defendant solely around the cat’s age³⁸, the cat’s shaving of the cat’s fur³⁹,

³¹ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 47 ll. 1-25.

³² Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 48 ll. 6-10.

³³ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 52 ll. 9-12.

³⁴ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 54 ll. 17-18.

³⁵ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 89 ll. 4-10.

³⁶ Apx. Tr. Day 1 p. 90 ll. 24-25 – p. 91 ll 1-2.

³⁷ Apx., Tr. Day1 p. 29 ll. 17-20.

³⁸ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 164 ll. 8-25 – p. 165 ll. 1-5, p. 167 ll. 1-12.

³⁹ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 167-176.

the cat's condition and not what the Defendant might have done to purposely to cause her or her daughter's alleged fear or apprehension. As a pro se, the Plaintiff is not excused from meeting her burden of proof and in this case, the Plaintiff and her daughter both failed to do so. See Town of Nottingham v. Newman, 147 N.H. 131, 137 (2001) (explaining that we do not relax our rules for self-represented parties). Notwithstanding the insufficiency of the evidence in the hearings, the Trial Court entered the Stalking Order. See Fisher v. Minichiello, 155 N.H. 188, 194 (2007) (explaining review of sufficiency of the evidence claims as a matter of law and rulings of the trial court are upheld unless they are lacking in evidential support or tainted by error of law.). In contrast to Fisher, the rulings of the Trial Court are lacking in evidential support and tainted by errors of law.

The Plaintiff is not an “innocent citizen”.⁴⁰ The Plaintiff unreasonably kept continued custody of and exercised dominion and control over the Defendant's dying cat with no legal authority to do so. She admitted in her own testimony that when she told the Defendant she would report her to Animal Control, “Rose got upset. She said she loved her cat, and it was her cat. And she had looked after it for -- she said eight years at that and that she didn't abuse her cat.”⁴¹ Pets are considered personal property in New Hampshire.⁴² RSA § 437:18 provides, an “[o]wner” means the person having the right of possession of an animal, whether such right was acquired by gift, purchase, or other means.”⁴³ Nothing in

⁴⁰ The New Hampshire Supreme Court explained: “As the language of the statute itself makes clear, N.H. Rev. Stat § 633:3-a was enacted to protect innocent citizens from a course of conduct that would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her personal safety, or the safety of a member of that person's immediate family.” S.D. v. N.B., 4th Circuit Court-Laconia District Division, No. 2022-0114 (June 29, 2023).

⁴¹ Apx, Tr. Day 1 p. 14, ll. 13-16.

⁴² N.H. Rev. Stat. § 458-16-a II-a. “Tangible property shall include animals.” Statute re Annulment, Divorce and Separation - Property Settlement.

⁴³ The Defendant testified that the Sgt. Tibbs was originally her ex-boyfriend's family's cat and Sgt. Tibbs had been with her for several years. Apx. p. 164 ll. 8-25.

the record suggests that the Plaintiff or her daughter had a right to exercise dominion and control over Sgt. Tibbs and keep him from his owner, the Defendant.

The Plaintiff dismissed the Defendant's pleas for the return of Sgt. Tibbs.⁴⁴ Dismayed and anxious, the Defendant called the Plaintiff several times, sent texts and emails to the Plaintiff for the sole purpose to regain possession of her cat in his few remaining days.⁴⁵ When the Plaintiff ignored her, the Defendant lawfully pursued action through the Manchester Police Department.⁴⁶ Frustrated with the Plaintiff's stonewalling and her lack of an immediate remedy with local authorities, the Defendant exercised her First Amendment right and she and others lawfully and peacefully protested on the public sidewalk in front of the Plaintiff's place of business, which was next door to the Defendant and Sgt. Tibbs' home.⁴⁷

To obtain a Stalking Order, a plaintiff must prove "stalking" by a preponderance of the evidence. Fisher at 190. As previously stated, the Plaintiff failed to do so. At all times relevant and material to the events leading up to the issuance of the Stalking Order, the Plaintiff and her daughter were in wrongful possession of the Defendant's dying pet. MacPherson v. Weiner, 158 N.H. 6, 10 (2008) (explaining in the assessment of a stalking order, the trial court must review the circumstances giving rise to the original protective order and any violation of the order). In this instant case, the Trial Court disregarded evidence that Plaintiff and her daughter's acts omissions tormented the Defendant and were the catalyst for the events to follow.

⁴⁴ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 154 ll. 4-20.

⁴⁵ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 154 ll. 4-20.

⁴⁶ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 224 ll. 4-7.

⁴⁷ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 159 ll. 20-25 – p. 160 ll. 1-4

RSA 633:3-a, III-a. RSA 633:3-a, I, provides, in relevant part, that a “person commits the offense of stalking” if he or she:

(a) Purposely, knowingly, or recklessly engages in a course of conduct targeted at a specific person which would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her personal safety or the safety of a member of that person’s immediate family, and the person is actually placed in such fear;

(b) Purposely or knowingly engages in a course of conduct targeted at a specific individual, which the actor knows will place that individual in fear for his or her personal safety or the safety of a member of that individual’s immediate family

The Defendant did not purposely engage the Plaintiff of her daughter and in stark contrast, the Defendant reasonably reacted as any pet owner would when the Plaintiff continued to stonewall her after she repeatedly asked for the return of her elderly, dying cat. The statute’s language of the statute is clear. RSA 633:3-a was enacted to protect “innocent” citizens from a course of conduct that would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her personal safety, or the safety of a member of that person’s immediate family. Pursuant to the statute, upon a finding of stalking, a trial court is to “grant such relief as is necessary to bring about a cessation of stalking.” N.H. RSA 633:3-a, III-a. The objective is to ensure that the stalking ends. MacPherson v. Weiner, 158 N.H. 6, 10 (2008). In disregard of the Defendant’s pleas and then protest, the Plaintiff intentionally and wrongfully kept Sgt. Tibbs from his rightful owner, which constitutes a trespass upon the Defendant’s personal property. *Prosser and Keeton on the Law of Torts* § 14, at 86 (5th ed. 1984) (consisting of intentionally “dispossessing another of [a] chattel,” or “using or intermeddling with a chattel in the possession of another.”) See also Restatement (Second) of Torts § 218 (1965).

The Plaintiff and her daughter's feigned fear and apprehension are merely a pretext to somehow justify their wrongful detainment of Sgt. Tibbs in his final days and their foolish expenditure on an elderly cat.⁴⁸ The protest described as peaceful by Officer Coughlin and as shown at the hearing in video shown at the hearings.⁴⁹ when the Plaintiff and her daughter's claim that the Defendant hit her with a cardboard sign is unfounded whereas the Plaintiff was present on the public sidewalk with the protesters and moved about freely. When the Plaintiff purposely advanced towards the Defendant, the Defendant's dog moved towards the Plaintiff and when the Defendant perceived their close proximity to each other, the Defendant lowered her protest sign to block contact between the Plaintiff and her dog.⁵⁰ The video further evidenced that at no time did the sign make physical contact with the Plaintiff and she suffered no physical injury.⁵¹ In fact, the Plaintiff conceded in fact suffered no injury at the peaceful protest.⁵²

In sum, the Plaintiff voluntarily confronted the Defendant in front of her place of business on the public sidewalk in a manner contrary to fear or apprehension. Moreover, the Plaintiff conceded that the alleged threats she narrated at the very beginning of the hearings did not come from the Defendant. Therefore, the Trial Court should not have found the Defendant liable for stalking of the Plaintiff or her daughter.

⁴⁸ Add. p. 7-40, Plaintiff's Exhibits.

⁴⁹ Apx., Tr. Day1 p. 29 ll. 17-20.

⁵⁰ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p 244 ll. 22-25 – p. 245 ll. 1-3.

⁵¹ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p 244 ll. 22-25 – p. 245 ll. 1-3.

⁵² Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 54 ll. 14-20.

II. The Trial Court's Protective Order Violates the Defendant's Right to Free Speech Under the First Amendment to the Federal Constitution

The Defendant testified that in her past she had participated in protests and understood that she must do so peacefully and must not intrude beyond public spaces.⁵³

The First Amendment to the United States Constitution prohibits the passage of laws “abridging the freedom of speech.” U.S. CONST. amend I and applies to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Lovell v. Griffin, 303 U.S. 444, 450 (1938). Orders of a court punishing a person for peacefully protesting abridges that person’s freedom of speech and should not have been used as grounds for the issuance of the Stalking Final Order of Protection. The United States Supreme Court has stated that “above all else, the First Amendment means that government has no power to restrict expression because of its messages, its ideas, its subject matter, or its content.” Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92, 95 (1972).

The Trial Judge’s narrative in the stalking order discounts the Defendant’s right to protest whereas the Trial Judge’s analysis is contrary to the testimony of Officer Coughlin who responded to the scene and testified at the hearings on Day 1 and the testimony of the Defendant.⁵⁴ The Trial Judge’s findings improperly bolsters the insufficient evidence presented by the Plaintiff and her daughter at the hearings. In summary, the findings contained in the Trial Judge’s narrative concerning the protest contradict the testimony at trial and is unsupported by the record.

⁵³ Apx., Tr. Day 2 p. 157 ll. 23-25 – p. 158 ll. 1-24.

⁵⁴ Apx., Tr. Day1 p. 29 ll. 17-20 and Tr. Day 2 p. 157 ll. 23-25 – p. 158 ll. 1-24.

CONCLUSION

Based on the presented evidence, the Trial Court should have concluded that the Defendant did not purposely engage in a pattern of conduct constituting stalking of the Plaintiff or the Plaintiff's daughter whereas the presented actions were not threatening or harassing to establish a reasonable fear in the Plaintiff or her daughter for their own safety or the safety of a family member. Indeed, the evidence presented showed the Plaintiff herself engaged in unreasonable conduct which frustrated the Defendant and unnecessarily escalated her concern for her dying pet the longer he remained in her Plaintiff's custody.

Respectfully submitted,
For the Defendant-Appellant,
Rose Garcia,
By her Attorney,

/s/Nicole M. Bluefort
Nicole M. Bluefort, Esquire
LAW OFFICES OF
NICOLE M. BLUEFORT
1155 Elm Street, Suite 801
Manchester, NH 03101
(781) 593-1952
Bar Id. 278283

STATEMENT CONCERNING ORAL ARGUMENT

The Appellant respectfully requests an oral argument.

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE

I hereby certify pursuant to Rule 16(11) of the New Hampshire Supreme Court Rules, this brief contains approximately 3,455 words, which is fewer than the 9,500 word limit permitted by this Court's rules. Counsel relied upon the word count of the computer program used to prepare this brief.

s/Nicole M. Bluefort

Nicole M. Bluefort, Esquire

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of forgoing was served this 30th day of December, 2024 through the electronic-filing system on all pro se parties and by first class mail.

s/Nicole M. Bluefort
Nicole M. Bluefort, Esquire

ADDENDUM

Table of Contents

	Pages
Stalking Final Order of Protection D.V. v. R.G. entered on September 3, 2024.....	1-7
Stalking Final Order of Protection S.. v. R.G. entered on September 3, 2024.....	8-12
Plaintiff's Exhibits.....	9-40
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1 Text messages, 3A	
Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 Text messages, 3B, 3C	
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 Photograph	
Plaintiff's Exhibit 4 Social media post	
Defendant's Exhibits.....	41-50
Defendant's Exhibit A Text messages	
Defendant's Exhibit B Emails to vet	
Defendant's Exhibit C Emails to vet	
Defendant's Exhibit D Vet history	
Defendant's E Vet history	

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
JUDICIAL BRANCH
NH CIRCUIT COURT
STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION**

Case Number: 456-2024-CS-00215 PNO Number: 4562420215
Court: 9th Circuit - District Division - Manchester
Court ORI: NH006061J
County: Hillsborough
Address: 35 Amherst Street Manchester NH 03101

PLAINTIFF

PLAINTIFF IDENTIFIERS

First Middle Last

Date of Birth Sex Race

Debbie-Ann Valente

11/18/1962

Female

White

V.

DEFENDANT'S NAME

First Middle Last

Rose Garcia

DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS:

552 Hevey St #1 Manchester NH 03102

RELATIONSHIP to PLAINTIFF

- ☐ Married ☐ Household member
☐ Divorced ☐ Other neighbor
☐ Separated
☐ Cohabit / cohabited
☐ Child in common

CAUTION

- ☐ Weapon involved
☒ Weapon is ordered to be
relinquished pursuant to
New Hampshire state law
RSA 633:3-a

LICENSE

INFO:

YEAR

MAKE

MODEL

VEHICLE

INFO:

DEFENDANT IDENTIFIERS

DOB

06/06/1990

HEIGHT

5 Ft. 2 In.

SEX

Female

WEIGHT

145 Lbs.

RACE

Black

EYES

Brown

State/Birth

HAIR

Brown

ETHNICITY Non Hispanic

DISTINGUISHING FEATURES:

SKIN TONE

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS:

Location and description

DRIVER'S LICENSE#

STATE

EXP DATE

STYLE

COLOR

VIN #

WARNING: The attached order shall be enforced, even without registration, by the courts of any state, the District of Columbia, and any U.S. Territory, and may be enforced on Tribal Lands (18 U.S.C. section 2265). Crossing state, territorial, or tribal boundaries to violate this order may result in federal imprisonment (18 U.S.C. section 2262). As a result of this order, it may be unlawful for you to possess or purchase a firearm including a rifle, pistol, or revolver, or ammunition pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 922(g)(8) and state law.

The court has found as evidenced by this order:

That it has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter, and the defendant has been provided with actual notice and opportunity to be heard.

This Order of Protection is in effect from **07/30/2024** to **07/29/2025**

ANY REQUEST TO EXTEND THE ORDER MUST BE FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF BEFORE THE ORDER EXPIRES.

- ☒ The above defendant is restrained from committing further acts of stalking or acts of abuse or threats of abuse.
- ☒ The above defendant shall not have any contact with the plaintiff, whether in person or through third persons, including but not limited to contact by telephone, letters, fax, e-mail, the sending or delivery of gifts or any other method unless specifically authorized by the court. The defendant is prohibited from coming within 300 feet of the plaintiff.

STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION

The court, having jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter under New Hampshire RSA 633:3-a (Stalking), having considered the plaintiff's Stalking Petition and having conducted a hearing on 07/30 & 8/13/24 of which the defendant received actual notice, and was ☒ / was not ☐ present, hereby finds that:

☐ The plaintiff has NOT been stalked: CASE DISMISSED.

☐ The plaintiff failed to appear: CASE DISMISSED. The defendant: ☐ appeared ☐ failed to appear

☒ The plaintiff has been stalked as defined in RSA 633:3-a, and finds and makes the following final orders:

PROTECTIVE ORDERS:

1. ☒ The defendant shall not stalk or abuse the plaintiff.
2. ☒ The defendant shall not have any contact with the plaintiff, whether in person or through third persons, including but not limited to contact by telephone, letters, fax, e-mail, the sending or delivery of gifts or any other method unless specifically authorized by the court. The defendant is prohibited from coming within 300 feet of the plaintiff. ☒ This includes any household animals.
3. ☐ The plaintiff shall have exclusive use and possession of the parties' residence unless Paragraph 14 is checked.
4. ☒ The defendant shall not enter the premises and curtilage where the plaintiff resides, except when the defendant is accompanied by a peace officer and, upon reasonable notice to the plaintiff, is allowed entry by the plaintiff for the sole purpose of retrieving toiletries, medication, clothing, business equipment, and any other items as determined by the court:
5. ☒ The defendant shall not contact the plaintiff at or enter upon plaintiff's place of employment or school, or as further specified herein: Myths and Maidens and anywhere she may be found or is located, including any businesses owned or operated by Plaintiff
6. ☒ The defendant is restrained from stalking or abusing plaintiff's household members, or plaintiff's relatives (regardless of their place of residence), or engaging in any other conduct which would place the plaintiff in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the plaintiff or the plaintiff's household members or relatives.
7. ☒ The defendant shall not use, attempt to use or threaten to use physical force against the plaintiff or the parties' child(ren) which would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury.
8. ☒ The defendant shall not take, convert or damage any property in which the plaintiff has a legal or an equitable interest
9. ☐ The plaintiff is awarded exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the petitioner, defendant, or a minor child in either household, and the defendant is prohibited from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, committing an act of cruelty or neglect, or disposing of the animal(s).
10. ☒ The defendant shall relinquish to a peace officer all firearms and ammunition in his/her control, ownership or possession, and the defendant is prohibited from purchasing or obtaining any firearms or ammunition during the pendency of this order.
11. ☒ The defendant shall also relinquish all deadly weapons as defined in RSA 625:11,V which may have been used, intended to be used, threatened to be used, or could be used in an incident of stalking or abuse. These weapons may include the following: _____
12. ☒ The defendant shall not follow the plaintiff or appear in proximity to the residence, place of employment or school of the plaintiff, or follow or appear at any other place where the plaintiff may be.
13. ☒ Other protective orders The Defendant shall refrain from posting about Plaintiff and her business on any public social media accounts.

Case Name: Debbie-Ann Valente v. Rose Garcia

Case Number: 456-2024-CS-00215

PNO: 4562420215

STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION

FURTHER ORDERS:

14. ☐ The court finds that the defendant exclusively owns or leases and pays for the premises located at _____ and that the defendant has no legal duty to support the plaintiff or minor children. Therefore, the defendant shall have exclusive access, use and possession of the premises (including household furniture and furnishings).
However, the plaintiff may enter in and on said premises with a peace officer for the sole purpose of removing the plaintiff's personal possessions.
15. ☐ The plaintiff is awarded custody of the minor child(ren).
16. ☐ Visitation of children:
- ☐ See attached visitation order.
 - ☐ No visitation pending further hearing.
 - ☐ Unsupervised.
 - ☐ Supervised by _____
 - ☐ Days and times: _____
- ☐ Pick up/Drop off at: _____
- Restrictions: _____

This court has jurisdiction to enter custody and visitation orders in this case under both RSA 633:3-a, NHRSA 173-B: 5 and the New Hampshire Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, RSA 458-A.

17. ☐ The defendant shall pay child support to the plaintiff. (See Uniform Support Order (USO) attached.)
18. ☐ The plaintiff shall have use of the following vehicle:
Make _____ Model _____ Year _____
19. ☐ The defendant shall have use of the following vehicle:
Make _____ Model _____ Year _____
20. ☐ The defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the amount of \$ _____ for losses suffered as a direct result of the stalking, and \$ _____ for attorney's fees.
21. ☐ The defendant is directed to attend: ☐ a batterer's intervention program and/or ☐ personal counseling for a period of _____ months/year.
The defendant shall provide proof of attendance to the Court at monthly intervals.
22. ☒ The defendant shall relinquish all concealed weapons permits and hunting licenses.
23. ☐ Other: _____

THESE ORDERS ARE EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. BOTH PARTIES SHALL ENSURE THAT THE COURT HAS A CURRENT ADDRESS WHILE THESE ORDERS ARE IN EFFECT. THE COURT MAY EXTEND THESE ORDERS UPON PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST, WHICH MUST SHOW GOOD CAUSE, WITH NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT. THE REQUEST MUST BE FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF BEFORE THE ORDER EXPIRES.

ANY WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE PROTECTIVE PROVISIONS OF THESE ORDERS IS A CRIME AS WELL AS CONTEMPT OF COURT. VIOLATIONS SHALL RESULT IN ARREST AND MAY RESULT IN IMPRISONMENT.

FINDINGS OF FACT
Pursuant to RSA 633:3-a

Pursuant to RSA 633:3-a (I) a person commits the offense of stalking if such person is found to have engaged in any of the following acts. The Court finds, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the defendant has committed the offense of stalking in that the defendant:

☒ Purposely, knowingly, or recklessly engaged in a course of conduct targeted at a specific person which would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her personal safety or the safety of a member of that person's immediate family, and the person is actually placed in such fear [**See specific findings of fact below**];

☐ Purposely or knowingly engaged in a course of conduct targeted at a specific individual, which the actor knew would place that individual in fear for his or her personal safety or the safety of a member of that individual's immediate family [**See specific findings of fact below**]; or

☐ After being served with, or otherwise provided notice of, a protective order pursuant to RSA 173-B, RSA 458:16, or paragraph III-a of this section, or an order pursuant to RSA 597:2 that prohibited contact with a specific individual, purposely, knowingly, or recklessly engaged in a single act of conduct that both violated the provisions of the order and is listed in RSA 633:3-a II(a) [**See specific findings of fact below**].

Specifically, the DEFENDANT committed the offense of stalking as follows:

[The facts relied upon by the court to form the basis for its finding must be detailed below]

The Defendant recklessly engaged in a course of conduct targeted at Plaintiff when, on June 29, 2024, Defendant posted, "Your karma is going to come at you ten fold. Give him back like you said you would." On June 30, 2024, Defendant posted on public social media accounts the name of Plaintiff, her daughter, and the name of Plaintiff's business, along with information Defendant could reasonably foresee would, and did, inflame public outrage directed at Plaintiff. When individuals posted threatening statements in response to Defendant's original post, statements such as "Shawty take ya gun n go get ya fucking cat who tf these ppl think they are" and "Go take her daughter" Defendant expressed approval of these posts suggesting violence against Plaintiff and her daughter. Defendant's approval is evidenced by the Defendant's social media profile picture and a red heart on each post. Defendant weaponized public social media accounts against Plaintiff, which caused Plaintiff to reasonably fear for her and her family member's safety. Defendant did this by repeatedly making posts with no legitimate purpose other than to inflame the public against Plaintiff and her business. "For a Defendant New Hampshire's stalking statute exempts only constitutionally protected conduct and conduct 'that was necessary to accomplish a legitimate purpose independent of making contact with the targeted person. RSA 633:3-a, II(a). Pursuant to RSA 633:3-a, IV, the defendant has the burden to show that his conduct was necessary to accomplish a legitimate purpose." *Miller v.*

STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION

Blackden, 154 N.H. 448, 452 (2006). Defendant failed to satisfy her burden of proof to show that her conduct was necessary to accomplish a legitimate purpose.

Defendant's posts repeatedly, with the knowledge of Defendant, elicited statements of violence directed at Plaintiff such as, "I hope your shop burns down you racist ass bitch" and "Should've been your worthless carcass they found in the streets, Debbie. Fucking, disgusting, worthless, racist cat killer", "You should find a rope and hang yourself with it already", and "Disgusting ugly cunt karma is coming for you. And the fucking law. You evil bitch, I hope you die screaming and your little cunt Sabrina too." With full knowledge of the outrage incited by Defendant's posts, in July of 2024, Defendant posted multiple pictures of Plaintiff on public social media accounts. By posting Plaintiff's photograph, full name, and the name of her business, Defendant knew, or should have known, her conduct would direct the threatening and hostile behaviors directly at Plaintiff, Plaintiff's daughter, and Plaintiff's business. Moreover, Defendant conducted a protest outside Plaintiff's business at which one protestor made the statement, "Come out bitch, come out". Defendant failed to prove the protest outside of Plaintiff's business served any legitimate purpose.

"While a content-based restriction is presumptively unconstitutional, it has also long been "well understood that the right of free speech is not absolute at all times and under all circumstances." Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire, 315 U.S. 568, 571, 62 S.Ct. 766, 86 L.Ed. 1031 (1942). There are certain "utterances [that] are no essential part of any exposition of ideas, and are of such slight social value as a step to truth that any benefit that may be derived from them is clearly outweighed by the social interest in order and morality." *51 Chaplinsky, 315 U.S. at 572, 62 S.Ct. 766. Accordingly, there are certain categories of speech "the prevention and punishment of which have never been thought to raise any Constitutional problem." Id. at 571-72, 62 S.Ct. 766. Those categories of speech include "advocacy intended, and likely to, incite imminent lawless action; obscenity; defamation; speech integral to criminal conduct; so-called 'fighting words'; child pornography; fraud; true threats; and speech presenting some grave and imminent threat the government has the power to prevent." United States v. Alvarez, 567 U.S. 709, 717, 132 S.Ct. 2537, 183 L.Ed.2d 574 (2012) (plurality opinion) (citations omitted)" S.D. v. N.B., 176 N.H. 44, 50-51, 306 A.3d 211, 218 (2023) While Defendant did not directly contact Plaintiff in all posts, "to construe the statute as not encompassing the Defendant's conduct - writing a message addressing the victim and posting it in a public forum but not personally conveying the message to the victim - would add limiting language that the Legislature did not include." State v. Craig, 167 N.H. 361, 372 (2015). While "contact" requires more than merely creating a message, the language chosen by the Legislature requires only that a person act 'either directly or indirectly' to communicate with another." Defendant's posts tagged Plaintiff's business by name, and included Plaintiff's name and picture. The evidence submitted shows Defendant's behaviors were intended to target Plaintiff, whether directly or indirectly.

Case Name: Debbie-Ann Valente v. Rose Garcia
Case Number: 456-2024-CS-00215 PNO: 4562420215
STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION

Date

Signature of Referee

Print/Type Name of Referee

September 3, 2024
Date

Dorothy E. Walch
Signature of Judge

Dorothy E. Walch
Print/Type Name of Judge

MAILED SEP 03 2024

**NOTICE OF INTERSTATE ENFORCEMENT AND
COMPLIANCE WITH THE VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT (VAWA)**

1. This final protective order meets all full faith and credit requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. sec. 2265 (1994). This Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter; the defendant is afforded notice and a timely opportunity to be heard as provided by the laws of this jurisdiction. This order is valid and enforceable throughout New Hampshire and all other states, the District of Columbia, all tribal lands and all U.S. Territories, and shall be enforced as if it were an order of that jurisdiction.
2. Pursuant to Section 2265 of Title 18, United States Code, violation of any provision(s) of this Order, including support, child custody or visitation provisions issued under the authority of RSA 633:3-a, III-a and RSA 173-B of this State, is enforceable by court and/or law enforcement personnel of any other State, Indian tribal government, or Territory, as if it were their own order.
3. Violations of this order are subject to state and federal criminal penalties. If the restrained party (the defendant) travels across state or tribal boundaries, or causes the protected party (the plaintiff) to travel across state or tribal boundaries, with the intent to violate the protective orders and then violates a protective provision of this order, the defendant may be prosecuted for a federal felony offense under the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. sec. 2262(a)(1) or (2) (1994).
4. The National Domestic Violence Hotline provides information on a 24-hour basis on interstate enforcement of protection orders, how to reach an advocate, and the location of shelters. The Hotline number is: 1-800-799-7233.
5. If you have any questions whether these laws make it illegal for you to possess or purchase a firearm, you should consult an attorney.

REPORTING A VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER: If the defendant violates any portion of this order, the plaintiff may report the violation to the local law enforcement agency and may also request a further court hearing on the matter.

**THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
JUDICIAL BRANCH
NH CIRCUIT COURT
STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION**

Case Number: 456-2024-CS-00216 PNO Number: 4562420216
Court: 9th Circuit - District Division - Manchester
Court ORI: NH006061J
County: Hillsborough
Address: 35 Amherst Street Manchester NH 03101

PLAINTIFF

PLAINTIFF IDENTIFIERS

First Middle Last

Date of Birth Sex Race

Sabrina Rahme

10/25/2001

Female

White

V.

DEFENDANT'S NAME

First Middle Last

Rose Garcia

DEFENDANT'S ADDRESS:

552 Hevey St #1 Manchester NH 03102

RELATIONSHIP to PLAINTIFF

- ☐ Married
☐ Divorced
☐ Separated
☐ Cohabit / cohabited
☐ Child in common

- ☐ Household member
☒ Other neighbor

CAUTION

- ☐ Weapon involved
☒ Weapon is ordered to be
relinquished pursuant to
New Hampshire state law
RSA 633:3-a

LICENSE

INFO:

YEAR

MAKE

MODEL

VEHICLE

INFO:

DEFENDANT IDENTIFIERS

DOB

06/06/1990

HEIGHT

5 Ft. 2 In.

SEX

Female

WEIGHT

145 Lbs.

RACE

Black

EYES

Brown

State/Birth

HAIR

Brown

ETHNICITY Non Hispanic

DISTINGUISHING FEATURES:

SKIN TONE

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS:

Location and description

DRIVER'S LICENSE#

STATE

EXP DATE

STYLE

COLOR

VIN #

WARNING: The attached order shall be enforced, even without registration, by the courts of any state, the District of Columbia, and any U.S. Territory, and may be enforced on Tribal Lands (18 U.S.C. section 2265). Crossing state, territorial, or tribal boundaries to violate this order may result in federal imprisonment (18 U.S.C. section 2262). As a result of this order, it may be unlawful for you to possess or purchase a firearm including a rifle, pistol, or revolver, or ammunition pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 922(g)(8) and state law.

The court has found as evidenced by this order:

That it has jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter, and the defendant has been provided with actual notice and opportunity to be heard.

This Order of Protection is in effect from 7/30/2024 **to** 7/26/2025

ANY REQUEST TO EXTEND THE ORDER MUST BE FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF BEFORE THE ORDER EXPIRES.

- ☒ The above defendant is restrained from committing further acts of stalking or acts of abuse or threats of abuse.
- ☒ The above defendant shall not have any contact with the plaintiff, whether in person or through third persons, including but not limited to contact by telephone, letters, fax, e-mail, the sending or delivery of gifts or any other method unless specifically authorized by the court. The defendant is prohibited from coming within 300 feet of the plaintiff.

Case Name: Sabrina Rahme v. Rose Garcia

Case Number: 456-2024-CS-00216

PNO: 4562420216

STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION

The court, having jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter under New Hampshire RSA 633:3-a (Stalking), having considered the plaintiff's Stalking Petition and having conducted a hearing on 07/30 & 08/13/2024 of which the defendant received actual notice, and was ☒ / was not ☐ present, hereby finds that:

☐ The plaintiff has NOT been stalked: CASE DISMISSED.

☐ The plaintiff failed to appear: CASE DISMISSED. The defendant: ☐ appeared ☐ failed to appear

☒ The plaintiff has been stalked as defined in RSA 633:3-a, and finds and makes the following final orders:

PROTECTIVE ORDERS:

1. ☒ The defendant shall not stalk or abuse the plaintiff.
2. ☒ The defendant shall not have any contact with the plaintiff, whether in person or through third persons, including but not limited to contact by telephone, letters, fax, e-mail, the sending or delivery of gifts or any other method unless specifically authorized by the court. The defendant is prohibited from coming within 300 feet of the plaintiff. ☒ This includes any household animals.
3. ☐ The plaintiff shall have exclusive use and possession of the parties' residence unless Paragraph 14 is checked.
4. ☒ The defendant shall not enter the premises and curtilage where the plaintiff resides, except when the defendant is accompanied by a peace officer and, upon reasonable notice to the plaintiff, is allowed entry by the plaintiff for the sole purpose of retrieving toiletries, medication, clothing, business equipment, and any other items as determined by the court:
5. ☒ The defendant shall not contact the plaintiff at or enter upon plaintiff's place of employment or school, or as further specified herein: anywhere she may be located
6. ☐ The defendant is restrained from stalking or abusing plaintiff's household members, or plaintiff's relatives (regardless of their place of residence), or engaging in any other conduct which would place the plaintiff in reasonable fear of bodily injury to the plaintiff or the plaintiff's household members or relatives.
7. ☒ The defendant shall not use, attempt to use or threaten to use physical force against the plaintiff or the parties' child(ren) which would reasonably be expected to cause bodily injury.
8. ☒ The defendant shall not take, convert or damage any property in which the plaintiff has a legal or an equitable interest
9. ☐ The plaintiff is awarded exclusive care, custody, or control of any animal owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by the petitioner, defendant, or a minor child in either household, and the defendant is prohibited from taking, transferring, encumbering, concealing, committing an act of cruelty or neglect, or disposing of the animal(s).
10. ☒ The defendant shall relinquish to a peace officer all firearms and ammunition in his/her control, ownership or possession, and the defendant is prohibited from purchasing or obtaining any firearms or ammunition during the pendency of this order.
11. ☒ The defendant shall also relinquish all deadly weapons as defined in RSA 625:11,V which may have been used, intended to be used, threatened to be used, or could be used in an incident of stalking or abuse. These weapons may include the following: _____
12. ☒ The defendant shall not follow the plaintiff or appear in proximity to the residence, place of employment or school of the plaintiff, or follow or appear at any other place where the plaintiff may be.
13. ☒ Other protective orders Defendant shall not be outside when Plaintiff is present outside on the property of Myths and Maidens

Case Name: Sabrina Rahme v. Rose Garcia

Case Number: 456-2024-CS-00216

PNO: 4562420216

STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION

FURTHER ORDERS:

14. ☐ The court finds that the defendant exclusively owns or leases and pays for the premises located at _____ and that the defendant has no legal duty to support the plaintiff or minor children. Therefore, the defendant shall have exclusive access, use and possession of the premises (including household furniture and furnishings).
However, the plaintiff may enter in and on said premises with a peace officer for the sole purpose of removing the plaintiff's personal possessions.
15. ☐ The plaintiff is awarded custody of the minor child(ren).
16. ☐ Visitation of children:
☐ See attached visitation order.
☐ No visitation pending further hearing.
☐ Unsupervised.
☐ Supervised by _____
☐ Days and times: _____

☐ Pick up/Drop off at: _____
Restrictions: _____

This court has jurisdiction to enter custody and visitation orders in this case under both RSA 633:3-a, NHRSA 173-B: 5 and the New Hampshire Uniform Child Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act, RSA 458-A.

17. ☐ The defendant shall pay child support to the plaintiff. (See Uniform Support Order (USO) attached.)
18. ☐ The plaintiff shall have use of the following vehicle:
Make _____ Model _____ Year _____
19. ☐ The defendant shall have use of the following vehicle:
Make _____ Model _____ Year _____
20. ☐ The defendant shall pay to the plaintiff the amount of \$ _____ for losses suffered as a direct result of the stalking, and \$ _____ for attorney's fees.
21. ☐ The defendant is directed to attend: ☐ a batterer's intervention program and/or ☐ personal counseling for a period of _____ months/year.
The defendant shall provide proof of attendance to the Court at monthly intervals.
22. ☒ The defendant shall relinquish all concealed weapons permits and hunting licenses.
23. ☐ Other: _____

THESE ORDERS ARE EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. BOTH PARTIES SHALL ENSURE THAT THE COURT HAS A CURRENT ADDRESS WHILE THESE ORDERS ARE IN EFFECT. THE COURT MAY EXTEND THESE ORDERS UPON PLAINTIFF'S REQUEST, WHICH MUST SHOW GOOD CAUSE, WITH NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT. THE REQUEST MUST BE FILED BY THE PLAINTIFF BEFORE THE ORDER EXPIRES.

ANY WILLFUL VIOLATION OF THE PROTECTIVE PROVISIONS OF THESE ORDERS IS A CRIME AS WELL AS CONTEMPT OF COURT. VIOLATIONS SHALL RESULT IN ARREST AND MAY RESULT IN IMPRISONMENT.

Case Name: Sabrina Rahme v. Rose Garcia

Case Number: 456-2024-CS-00216

PNO: 4562420216

STALKING FINAL ORDER OF PROTECTION

FINDINGS OF FACT

Pursuant to RSA 633:3-a

Pursuant to RSA 633:3-a (I) a person commits the offense of stalking if such person is found to have engaged in any of the following acts. The Court finds, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the defendant has committed the offense of stalking in that the defendant:

☐ Purposely, knowingly, or recklessly engaged in a course of conduct targeted at a specific person which would cause a reasonable person to fear for his or her personal safety or the safety of a member of that person's immediate family, and the person is actually placed in such fear [**See specific findings of fact below**];

☐ Purposely or knowingly engaged in a course of conduct targeted at a specific individual, which the actor knew would place that individual in fear for his or her personal safety or the safety of a member of that individual's immediate family [**See specific findings of fact below**]; or

☒ After being served with, or otherwise provided notice of, a protective order pursuant to RSA 173-B, RSA 458:16, or paragraph III-a of this section, or an order pursuant to RSA 597:2 that prohibited contact with a specific individual, purposely, knowingly, or recklessly engaged in a single act of conduct that both violated the provisions of the order and is listed in RSA 633:3-a II(a) [**See specific findings of fact below**].

Specifically, the DEFENDANT committed the offense of stalking as follows:

[**The facts relied upon by the court to form the basis for its finding must be detailed below**]

After having been served with a temporary Stalking order issued pursuant to RSA 633:3-a, Defendant was present outside within 300 feet of Plaintiff in violation of the temporary order, and while looking at Plaintiff in her vehicle, made various gestures with her hand or hands.

Date

September 3, 2024

Date

Signature of Referee

Dorothy E. Walch

Signature of Judge

Dorothy E. Walch

Print/Type Name of Judge

MAILED SEP 03 2024

011

**NOTICE OF INTERSTATE ENFORCEMENT AND
COMPLIANCE WITH THE VIOLENCE AGAINST WOMEN ACT (VAWA)**

1. This final protective order meets all full faith and credit requirements of the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. sec. 2265 (1994). This Court has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter; the defendant is afforded notice and a timely opportunity to be heard as provided by the laws of this jurisdiction. This order is valid and enforceable throughout New Hampshire and all other states, the District of Columbia, all tribal lands and all U.S. Territories, and shall be enforced as if it were an order of that jurisdiction.
2. Pursuant to Section 2265 of Title 18, United States Code, violation of any provision(s) of this Order, including support, child custody or visitation provisions issued under the authority of RSA 633:3-a, III-a and RSA 173-B of this State, is enforceable by court and/or law enforcement personnel of any other State, Indian tribal government, or Territory, as if it were their own order.
3. Violations of this order are subject to state and federal criminal penalties. If the restrained party (the defendant) travels across state or tribal boundaries, or causes the protected party (the plaintiff) to travel across state or tribal boundaries, with the intent to violate the protective orders and then violates a protective provision of this order, the defendant may be prosecuted for a federal felony offense under the Violence Against Women Act, 18 U.S.C. sec. 2262(a)(1) or (2) (1994).
4. The National Domestic Violence Hotline provides information on a 24-hour basis on interstate enforcement of protection orders, how to reach an advocate, and the location of shelters. The Hotline number is: 1-800-799-7233.
5. If you have any questions whether these laws make it illegal for you to possess or purchase a firearm, you should consult an attorney.

REPORTING A VIOLATION OF THIS ORDER: If the defendant violates any portion of this order, the plaintiff may report the violation to the local law enforcement agency and may also request a further court hearing on the matter.

DATE Retrieved: 7/5/2024

DATE of Post: 7/5/2024

Exhibit 1-a




Exhibit 1-b










Exhibit 1-c






Exhibit 1-d









Exhibit 2-a




DATE Retrieved: 7/4/2024

DATE of Post: 6/30/2024



This symbol is used to identify the author of the post liking the comment. These comments are acknowledged by ROSE.



DATE Retrieved: 7/28/2024

DATE of Post: 6/29/2024

Exhibit 3-a



coldroses13 2h

Your karma is going to come at you ten fold. Give him back like you said you would.



20

Reply Hide

View 5 previous replies

Our staff did not know who ROSE was before she initially came in and all of a sudden, they are receiving threats over the phone. ROSE's comment above shows that she herself is not above threatening others and neither are the fake accounts that were made to harass our staff on social media through the Myths and Maidens business account on Facebook.

1:07

5G



Al Lee



Disgusting ugly cunt karma is coming for you

And the fucking law

You evil bitch I hope you fucking die screaming



And your little cunt Sabrina too

DATE Retrieved: 7/5/2024

DATE of Post: 7/2/2024

8:05



+1 (317) 260-0218 >

iMessage
Tuesday 2:15 PM

i hope your shop burns
down you racist ass bitch.

The sender is not in your contact list.
Report Junk



DATE Retrieved: 7/28/2024

DATE of Post: 7/21/2024



Dani Rye

Hey address this question directly, Debbie: Why did you kill this cat knowing that owners were trying to get him back? What made you think this little animal needed to suffer? Be in pain and lonely in his final days hours and minutes?

Should've been your worthless carcass they found in the streets, Debbie. Fucking disgusting, worthless, racist cat killer.



1w Like Reply Hide



DATE Retrieved: 7/28/2024

DATE of Post: 7/7/2024



Sasha Love Madore

Hope someone finds your body on the street one day ...



3w Like Reply Hide

34

DATE Retrieved: 7/28/2024

DATE of Post: 7/23/2024

8:05



+1 (805) 618-5313 >

iMessage
Tuesday 4:34 PM

Yo how's it feel to go viral
for being a cat killer? You
should find a rope and
hang yourself with it
already.

The sender is not in your contact list.
Report Junk






Exhibit 3-c

gimp ^{1 of 4} noun (1)

gimp •

plural gimps

Synonyms of gimp >

1 **offensive** : a disabled person

2 : LIMP

She walks with a *gimp* in one leg ...
— Damon Runyon


DATE Retrieved: 7/4/2024

DATE of Post: 7/1/2024

ROSE's post of Instagram has a comment that discriminates against DEBBIE's disability.

ROSE has had every opportunity to remove the comment or comment in disagreement with this method of insults but instead she promotes it by allowing it to stay there unaltered.


Recommended #1




DATE Retrieved: 7/28/2024


DATE of Post: 6/30/2024

Newest


 **Kelsey Lune**
Sacred Wiccan Order of the Atumre-Sawef PLEASE UNFOLLOW SEE PREVIOUS POSTS FOR THIS RACIST CAT THEIRS BEHAVIOR

 **Sacred Wiccan Order of the Atumre-Sawef**


Like Reply Hide

 **Sacred Wiccan Order of the Atumre-Sawef** Follow
First, we don't unfollow others because someone else suggests or tells us too. Second, we don't play the see previous anything to find out more. Finally, do you really believe ranting on FB is going to some how magically change this persons behavior? See more


Like Reply Hide


 **Rose Garcia**
Kelsey Lune this business is probably owned by white people

Like Reply Hide

 **Sacred Wiccan Order of the Atumre-Sawef** Follow
Rose Garcia first off we are not a business, we are a religious order that accepts all skin colors. Second, we see you really love throwing your little white hatred race card around a lot. Hate white people much?

Like Reply Hide Edited



 **Rose Garcia**
Natasha Crook I'm so exhausted in so many ways but I'm not going to stop fighting. ❤️

Like Reply Send message Hide


DATE Retrieved: 7/28/2024

DATE of Post: 6/29/2024

Amended #2

DATE Retrieved: 6/29/2024

DATE of Post: 6/29/2024



Your post



Rose Garcia

12m •

I'm not getting Tibbs back tonight because they would need a search warrant since Debbie Valente is refusing to give up my cat and they can't enter her home without the warrant. - at Myths And Maidens.



Liked by purrinormalcativity and 7 others

coldroses13 She's now refusing to cooperate with police..

View all 2 comments

purrinormalcativity It's clearly become a stubborn savior complex thing at this point. If that cat isn't there when they have a warrant.....

18 minutes ago



DATE Retrieved: 7/31/2024

DATE of EDIT: 7/10/2024

Amended #2-2



Your post



Rose Garcia

12m • 🌐

I'm not getting Tibbs back tonight because they would need a search warrant since Debbie Valente is refusing to give up my cat and they can't enter her home without the warrant. - at Myths And Maidens.



coldrosen1 • Follow

Myths And Maidens



coldrosen1 She's now refusing to cooperate with police. FBI OFFICER J. CHURCH

#AUSTINHOODS

I do not intend to work physical labor on any parties or persons. Please do not reach out to anyone involved in this matter.



coldrosen1 @ohpublicradio



itime binyyy /



ladytracy4 are they going in with a warrant?



bug_toucher556 I'm depressed... my girl now wants to go



joanna_ better then a post a little while ago... I want to know if you're playing the victim a little while longer...



honey_bee869 WOW



captaunskill the internet this is so broke. I'm sorry that I'm posting TO BE SO BUSTED SOMETHING OUT AS TO JUST BE NICKED...



purinormaculity it's clearly become a subreddit where complex stuff at this point. It's not like there when they had a woman.



45 likes



Post

Amended #2-3



coldroses13 • Follow
Myths And Maidens



coldroses13 She's now refusing to cooperate with police. PER OFFICER J CHERYL

•JUSTICEFORTIBBS

I do not intend or wish physical harm on any parties or properties. Please do not reach out to anyone involved in this matter.



coldroses13 @nhpublicradio



itsme binnyyy jfc



ladytracy4 Are they going to work on a warrant?



bug toucher666 this is depraved... my god rose I am so sorry



joanna lesher This is not a battle she'll win 🙄 Guess she wants to continue playing the victim a little while longer



honey bee869 WOW



capitalismkilledtheinternet this is so insane I'm sorry this is happening I'D BE SO PISSED IF SOMEONE DID THIS TO BURT REYNOLDS!!!



purrnormalcatvity It's clearly become a stubborn savior complex thing at this point. If that cat isn't there when they have a warrant...



ROCKINGHAM EMERGENCY VETERINARY HOSPITAL

Rockingham Emergency Veterinary Hospital
3 Cobbetts Pond Road
Windham, New Hampshire, 03087
Ph: 603-870-9770
Fax: 603-870-9054
Email: revh@revh-nh.com

Client Details		Patient Details	
Name	Valente, Debbie	Name	Nelson
Address	189 Kelley St Rimmon Heights Manchester, New Hampshire, 03102	Species	Feline
		Breed	Domestic Short Hair
		Age	2 years
Phone(s)	Mobile: 603-661-5182	Sex	Male

Dear Debbie,

Nelson was evaluated today because he was found as a stray today and had an episode of vomiting.

Nelson's exam was unremarkable other than mild dehydration.

As we discussed, there are many causes of vomiting such as dietary indiscretion, foreign material, bacterial imbalance, viral, pancreatitis, inflammatory, metabolic, parasites, and others.

You have declined testing tonight such as x-rays to look for foreign material, obstruction, or torsion. Please pursue this if Nelson continues vomiting or is not improving.

Bloodwork showed anemia and a mild azotemia (an elevation of the kidney values).

Nelson was administered SQ fluids to help maintain hydration. The fluids were administered under the skin over the shoulders and can be felt as a swelling in this region. It usually takes 12-24 hours for these fluids to be absorbed and the swelling to resolve. It is also common for the fluids to migrate ventrally (towards the chest) due to gravity - do not be concerned if this occurs. Nelson was also given an injection of cerenia, which is an anti-nausea medication that lasts up to 24 hours.

Monitor your pet closely over the next few days. If the vomiting continues, if he is not eating or you notice any increasing lethargy, please have your pet rechecked ASAP.

Diet:

Offer a small amount of water and a small amount of bland food as directed below:

1. Please offer meals 3-4 times throughout the day of a readily digestible diet*
2. Gradually return to the regular diet by replacing the homemade diet or prescription diet with an increasing amount of regular diet over two to three days after clinical signs resolve
3. Food should be withdrawn and offered again four hours later if vomiting occurs.
4. If clinical signs persists and/or becomes more frequent or lethargy/inappetence occurs, it may indicate a more serious condition. You should call and consider further diagnostics.



ROCKINGHAM EMERGENCY VETERINARY HOSPITAL

Rockingham Emergency Veterinary Hospital
3 Cobbetts Pond Road
Windham, New Hampshire, 03087
Ph: 603-870-9770
Fax: 603-870-9054
Email: revh@revh-nh.com

Client Details		Patient Details	
Name	Valente, Debbie	Name	Nelson
Address	189 Kelley St Rimmon Heights Manchester, New Hampshire, 03102	Species	Feline
		Breed	Domestic Short Hair
		Age	2 years
Phone(s)	Mobile: 603-661-5182	Sex	Male

* An easily digestible diet for a pet may consist of one of the following protein sources:

- Boiled plain white chicken breast with skin removed (not rotisserie chicken)
- Canned chicken
- Boiled lean hamburger with fat skimmed off
- Chicken or Turkey single flavored babyfood without garlic powder

Prescription diets are a good alternative if desired: Hill's i/d, Royal Canin Gastrointestinal, Purina EN

If you have any concerns, please don't hesitate to contact us.

Regards,
Dr. Kimberly Maciejczyk

Client Signature:
06-07-2024

3 Cobbetts Pond Road
Windham, New Hampshire, 03087
Ph: 603-870-9770
Fax: 603-870-9054
Email: revh@revh-nh.com



ROCKINGHAM EMERGENCY VETERINARY HOSPITAL

BILL TO

Debbie Valente
189 Kelley St
Rimmon Heights
Manchester, New Hampshire, 03102

INVOICE

547740

DATE:

06-07-2024

DUE DATE

06-07-2024

CUSTOMER ID:

245336

ORDER #:

ANIMAL:

Nelson

CLINICAL #:

386767

DESCRIPTION	STAFF MEMBER	QTY	TOTAL
Emergency Exam	Dr. Kimberly Maciejczyk	1	\$190.00
CBC	Dr. Kimberly Maciejczyk	1	\$156.21
Preventive Care Profile Plus	Dr. Kimberly Maciejczyk	1	\$215.00
Brief Clip and Clean	Dr. Kimberly Maciejczyk	1	\$67.62
Cerenia Injection 10mg/ml	Dr. Kimberly Maciejczyk	0.44	\$59.85
Subcutaneous fluids	Dr. Kimberly Maciejczyk	1	\$88.14

Please take a moment to provide feedback on your visit! Go to our website (www.rev-nh.com) and click on the "surveys" link. Your input is greatly appreciated!

Subtotal	\$776.82
Inc. TAX	\$0.00
Total	\$776.82
Paid	\$776.82
Due	\$0.00

Rockingham Emergency Veterinary Hospital
Thank you for the opportunity to serve you today.



Practice
http://www.vecmnh.com/
info@vecmnh.com
+16036666677

Patient
Giovani Rahme
13 YO M DOL
Patient ID: FE2430E23
Visit: 06/18/24

Account

Giovani Rahme

Visit Report: Tuesday, June 18, 2024

Hospital: Veterinary Emergency Center of Manchester - 2743 Brown Ave Manchester NH 03103

Visit

Veterinarian: Anna Schozer

Check In: 06/18/24, 9A

Check Out:

Patient

Giovani Rahme (ID FE2430E23)

DOB: Jun 13, 2011

Sex: Male

Species: Feline

Breed: Domestic Longhair

Weight: None

Invoice #136851

DATE	ID	ORDER	QTY	PRICE	DR	SUBTOTAL
06/18/24	VEC108	Ultrasound 1 cavity	1 ea	\$680.00	INSTINCT	\$680.00
06/18/24	111	Recheck VECM n/c	1 ea	\$0.00	INSTINCT	\$0.00
06/18/24	IL905	IDEXX BODY FLUID ANALYSIS 1 SITE	1 ea	\$488.73	ALS	\$488.73
06/18/24	MVIFNA	MVI - Fine Needle Aspirate	1 ea	\$138.00	ALS	\$138.00

Subtotal \$1,306.73

Total \$1,306.73

Payments \$1,306.73

06/18/24, 1P Credit/Debit Card \$1,306.73

Refunds \$0.00

Payments Total: \$1,306.73

Payments Unapplied: (\$0.00)

Invoice Balance: \$0.00

Account Balance: \$0.00



Mobile Veterinary Imaging

Jennifer McClellan DVM, DACVIM (SAIM)

Jessica Morgan DVM, DACVIM (SAIM)

Tara Lampman DVM, DACVIM (SAIM)

Abdominal Ultrasound Report

Clinic Name: The Veterinary Emergency Center of Manchester

Patient Name: Giovani Rahme

Age: 13yr

Sex: MI

Breed: DLH

Date: 6/18/24

History: Giovani is a 13yo IM DLH who presented to VECM on 6/13/24 for lethargy, vomiting and not eating for 2 days. Recently seen at Rockingham ER on 6/8/24 for vomiting. Lab work had showed anemia and mild azotemia (see results below). Tx with SQ fluids and an injection of Cerenia. PE: Abdomen: Soft, painful with caudal abdominal palpation, no obvious abnormalities. Formed stool palpated in colon. Mild tachycardia. No murmurs auscultated. Pale MM. Negative FELV/FIV/HW snap test. Radiographs: very large stomach distended with possible air, bloated, loss of serosal detail, displacement of intestines caudally, moderate gas distention within small intestines as well, concern possible mass or obstruction present. Rec ATH; owner opted to try outpatient supportive care to see how he responds. Outpatient ultrasound scheduled for Tuesday as next diagnostic step. Tx given today: Butorphanol (prior to radiograph), SQ Fluids and Maropitant.

Pertinent Laboratory Findings: VECM Lab Test 6/13/24:

FIV/FELV/HW: neg x 3

Rockingham ER Lab Tests 6/8/24:

CBC/Chem: BUN 32, Lymph 0.82, Neu 18.68, HGB 8.5, HCT 25.58, MCV 33, RDWc 28.1, MPV 7.8

Current Medications: Capromorelin 30mg/mL Oral Solution | 2 mL | 0.4ml by mouth once daily as needed for appetite stimulation.

Liver: the liver parenchyma is diffusely but mildly mottled throughout; there is a small cystic/anechoic lesion on the left (7.2mm) and a few hyperechoic small focal lesions throughout. Liver size is normal. The portal markings are visible and appear to be normal in number. The margins are smooth and there are no mass lesions seen. The gall bladder wall is thin and the lumen is filled with anechoic material. There is no evidence of bile duct obstruction.

Spleen: margins of the spleen are smooth, and the parenchyma is homogenous; echogenicity is hyperechoic in comparison to liver (normal); overall size is appropriate (6.4mm)

Kidneys: both kidneys are imaged and have normal corticomedullary definition; the margins are smooth bilaterally; no pyelectasia is noted; R: 40mm; L: 37.6mm

Adrenals: the adrenal glands are imaged and have normal architecture; 4.4mm

Bladder: the bladder is full of anechoic material and a small amount of swirling echogenic debris; the wall is uniform and thin throughout; the trigone is imaged and no obstructions or calculi are seen; the proximal urethra is patent

Pancreas: the region was imaged and some mottled pancreatic tissue is noted caudally in the abdomen as well as on the right but the majority of the region is taken up by a large, cystic structure with multiple "compartments"; the contents are anechoic with swirling echogenic material (presumed cellular material); the walls are relatively thin throughout; the lesion measures at least 82.1-X 54mm and extends into the caudal abdomen, displacing all of the small intestinal segments

Stomach/small intestines: the stomach wall appears normal with no loss of layering (1.2mm); the duodenum is imaged and has normal wall layering and no significant thickening (2.3mm); the jejunal segments have normal wall layering and no significant thickening (1.9-2.7mm); the ileocecolic junction is not seen; there are no obvious colonic abnormalities (1.5mm)

Lymph nodes: no lymphadenopathy was detected on today's examination.

Peritoneum: there was no detectable effusion noted in the abdominal cavity; the peritoneal wall appears to be thin and normal

Summary of Findings:

1. **Extremely large pancreatic cystic lesion with swirling cellular debris. This lesion has multiple compartments. Mottled pancreatic tissue can be seen at either end of this lesion and the pancreatic duct appears to "empty" into the lesion along the right limb. Rule out pancreatic cyst, pseudocyst, abscess, or hemorrhagic/necrotic lesion. This may be the result of chronic pancreatitis and ductular "blockage". The patient is emaciated and no other findings support a reason for this as his intestines appear normal. It is unknown if this pancreatic tissue is functional as he could have exocrine pancreatic insufficiency at this time with little to no functional tissue.**
2. **Mild diffuse hepatic mottling. Rule out primary hepatopathy (autoimmune or infectious cholangiohepatitis) vs. ascending inflammatory disease secondary to the pancreatic abnormalities.**
3. **The kidneys are normal in appearance. This does not rule out some degree of CRF and his creatinine could be falsely lowered due to his severe cachexia, but I do not feel that renal disease is the reason for the cachexia.**
4. **There are no obvious changes to account for the anemia, but the anemia of chronic disease is one possibility. It does not appear to be significantly regenerative. It is also possible that the cellular material noted in the pancreatic lesion is blood.**

Recommendations:

1. **For additional diagnostics, consider the following:**
 - a. **Aspiration of the fluid within the pancreatic lesion for fluid cytology and culture.**
 - b. **Urinalysis.**
 - c. **3 view thoracic radiographs.**
 - d. **Anemia PCR panel**
 - e. **Fecal evaluation with giardia**

- f. B12/folate/TLI/spec fPL
2. I cannot make treatment recommendations without additional information from the testing above. If no additional testing is performed, continued supportive care with pain control, appetite stimulants and cerenia can be used. Recommend feeding a high calorie intestinal diet (Hill's Biome or RC GI) to help with weight gain. Probiotics would also be advised if Biome is not the choice. Humane euthanasia should be considered if he is not responding to the therapy. Monitoring should be done with repeated CBC's and weight checks. Repeat a chemistry profile in 3-4 weeks if he is able to gain weight to monitor his renal values (include an SDMA and cystatin B).

Jennifer McClellan, DVM, DACVIM (Small Animal Internal Medicine)



Practice
http://www.vecmnh.com/
info@vecmnh.com
+16036666677

Patient
Giovani Rahme
13 YO M DOL
Patient ID: FE2430E23
Visit: 06/18/24

VISIT

Veterinarian: Anna Schozer
Check in: 06/18/24, 9A
Check Out:
Referring Practice:
None Local (DVM)
(603) 666-6677

PATIENT

Giovani Rahme (ID FE2430E23)
13 YO M DOL (DOB: Jun 13, 2011)
Male
Feline Domestic Longhair
Weight: None
Microchip #: None

ACCOUNT

06/18/2024, 11A

Date	Label	Low Price	High Price
06/18/24	Ultrasound 1 cavity	\$680.00	\$680.00
06/18/24	MVI - Fine Needle Aspirate → pancreas	\$138.00	\$138.00
06/18/24	IDEXX BODY FLUID ANALYSIS 1 SITE	\$488.73	\$488.73
06/18/24	Urinalysis (Complete) → kidney (not enough pee)	\$61.00	\$61.00
06/18/24	Cystocentesis (Bladder)	\$43.00	\$43.00
06/18/24	Radiograph Series → Chest X-RAY	\$285.00	\$285.00
06/18/24	Fecal Exam Floatation (only)	\$41.00	\$41.00
06/18/24	Giardia Snap Test	\$57.00	\$57.00
06/18/24	IDEXX Anemia RealPCR Panel Feline - Anemia	\$329.98	\$329.98
06/18/24	IDEXX GI PANEL 2 W/ SPEC fPL FE • parasite check	\$761.48	\$761.48
Total		\$2,885.19	\$2,885.19
Est. Duration		1 hour	1 hour

If additional treatment is needed that exceeds the estimated range, the hospital will contact me with an updated treatment plan/estimate to obtain my permission to proceed, and I will increase my deposit accordingly. In the event that any urgent care requirements arise and the hospital makes a reasonable attempt but is not able to contact me, I grant permission to render the above named animal whatever emergency and life-stabilizing treatments are deemed necessary by hospital personnel and agree to pay for these emergency and life-stabilizing treatments even if they exceed this estimate. I understand that prices on this treatment plan/estimate are valid for 30 days from the document date, and prices may vary to 15% upon completion of procedures/treatments. I assume financial responsibility for the recommended services and will provide payment in full via cash or credit card at the time my pet is discharged from the hospital.



Rockingham Emergency Vet Hospital

3 Cobbetts Pond Rd
Windham, NH - 03087
603-870-9770

zoetis

Nelson

Patient ID: 154737

Species: Cat

Birthdate: Jun 7, 2022

Owner: Valente, Debbie

Gender: Male

Breed: Domestic Short Hair

Doctor: Maciejczyk, KimberlyDr.

Preventive Care Profile Plus

🕒 Date: Jun 8, 2024 1:50 AM 🖨️ Analyzer SN: 0000V51122 📦 Lot: 4161AE7

	RESULT	LOW	NORMAL	HIGH
BUN Blood Urea Nitrogen	32 * mg/dL	HIGH	10.0	30.0
CRE Creatinine	1.4 mg/dL		0.3	2.1
ALT Alanine Aminotransferase	65 U/L		20.0	100.0
ALP Alkaline Phosphatase	65 U/L		10.0	90.0
AST Aspartate Aminotransferase	37 U/L		12.0	43.0
TBIL Total Bilirubin	0.3 mg/dL		0.1	0.6
GLU Glucose	113 mg/dL		70.0	150.0
CA Calcium	10.3 mg/dL		8.0	11.6
TP Total Protein	7.5 g/dL		5.4	8.2
ALB Albumin	3.1 g/dL		2.2	4.4
GLOB Globulin	4.4 g/dL		1.5	5.7
NA+ Sodium	160 mmol/L		142.0	164.0
K+ Potassium	3.9 mmol/L		3.7	5.8
CL- Chloride	110 mmol/L		95.0	122.0
tCO2 Total Carbon Dioxide	28 * mmol/L	HIGH	15.0	24.0



Hemolysis
0



Lipemia
1+



Icterus
0



Nelson

Patient ID: 154737

Species: Cat
Birthdate: Jun 7, 2022
Owner: Valente, Debbie


Gender: Male
Breed: Domestic Short Hair
Doctor: Maciejczyk, KimberlyDr.

Hematology


Date: Jun 8, 2024 1:48 AM Analyzer SN: 360025357

	RESULT	LOW	NORMAL	HIGH		RESULT	LOW	NORMAL	HIGH
WBC White Blood Cells	20.61 10 ⁹ /l		3.5 20.7		HGB Hemoglobin	8.5 g/dl	LOW	10.0 17.0	
LYM Lymphocyte	0.82 10 ⁹ /l	LOW	0.83 9.1		HCT Hematocrit	25.58 %	LOW	33.7 55.4	
MON Monocyte	1.00 10 ⁹ /l		0.08 1.21		MCV Mean Corpuscular Volume	33 fl	LOW	35.0 52.0	
NEU Neutrophil	18.68 10 ⁹ /l	HIGH	1.63 13.37		MCH Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin	10.9 pg		10.0 16.3	
EOS Eosinophil	0.11 10 ⁹ /l		0.02 0.49		MCHC Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentration	33.1 g/dl		27.0 35.0	
BAS Basophil	0.00 10 ⁹ /l		0.0 0.2		RDWc Red Blood Cell Distribution Width, Coefficient of Variation	28.1 %	HIGH	18.3 24.1	
LYM% Lymphocyte (%)	4.0 %				RDWs Red Blood Cell Distribution Width, Standard Deviation	29.7 fl			
MON% Monocyte (%)	4.8 %				PLT Platelet	192 10 ⁹ /l		125.0 618.0	
NEU% Neutrophil (%)	90.6 %				MPV Mean Platelet Volume	7.8 fl	LOW	8.5 14.9	
EOS% Eosinophil (%)	0.5 %				PCT Plateletcrit	0.15 %			
BAS% Basophil (%)	0.0 %				PDWc Platelet Distribution Width, Coefficient of Variation	28.8 %			
RBC Red Blood Cells	7.75 10 ¹² /l		7.7 12.8		PDWs Platelet Distribution Width, Standard Deviation	8.4 fl			


WBC Histogram




RBC Histogram



PLT Histogram



EOS Histogram





Practice
http://www.vecmnh.com/
info@vecmnh.com
+16036666677

Patient
Giovani Rahme
13 YO M DOL
Patient ID: FE2430E23
Visit: 06/13/24

Account
Sabrina Rahme
Account ID: 24143F
(603) 661-5182
sabrinarahme@gmail.com

Giovani Rahme

Visit Report: Thursday, June 13, 2024

Hospital: Veterinary Emergency Center of Manchester - 2743 Brown Ave Manchester NH 03103

Visit

Veterinarian: Jamie Kule DVM

Check In: 06/13/24, 11A

Check Out: 06/13/24, 1P

Patient

Giovani Rahme (ID FE2430E23)

DOB: Jun 13, 2011

Sex: Male

Species: Feline

Breed: Domestic Longhair

Weight: 4.1kg

Account

Sabrina Rahme (ID 24143F)

2380 Elm St, Manchester, NH 03104, USA

(603) 661-5182

sabrinarahme@gmail.com

Invoice #136630

DATE	ID	ORDER	QTY	PRICE	DR	SUBTOTAL
06/13/24	106	Emergency Exam	1 ea	\$195.00	JMK	\$195.00
06/13/24	120	Radiograph Series	1 ea	\$285.00	JMK	\$285.00
06/13/24	INJ259	Butorphanol 10mg/ml Injection	0.1 mL	\$40.00	JMK	\$40.00
06/13/24	534	FELV/FIV/HW Snap Test	1 ea	\$96.00	JMK	\$96.00
06/13/24	557	Capromorelin 30mg/mL Oral Solution	2 mL	\$35.00	JMK	\$35.00
06/13/24	INJ1604	Fluid Therapy SC < 20kg	1 ea	\$46.00	JMK	\$46.00
06/13/24	902	Maropitant 10mg/mL Injection per mL	0.4 mL	\$40.00	JMK	\$40.00

Subtotal \$737.00

Total \$737.00

Payments \$737.00

06/13/24, 1P Credit/Debit Card \$737.00

Refunds \$0.00

Payments Total: \$737.00

Payments Unapplied: (\$0.00)

Invoice Balance: \$0.00

Account Balance: \$0.00



<http://www.vecmnh.com/> | +16036666677 | info@vecmnh.com

Giovani Rahme (FE2430E23)

Visit Report: Thursday, June 13, 2024

VISIT

Reason: Anorexia, Lethargy
Veterinarian: Jamie Kule DVM
Check In: 06/13/24, 11A
Check Out: 06/13/24, 1P
Referring Practice:
None Local rDVM
(603) 666-6677

PATIENT

Giovani Rahme (ID FE2430E23)
13 YO M DOL (DOB: Jun 13, 2011)
Male
Feline Domestic Longhair
Weight: 4.1kg
Microchip #: None

ACCOUNT

Sabrina Rahme (ID 24143F)
2380 Elm St, Manchester, NH 03104, USA
(603) 661-5182
sabrinarahme@gmail.com

Discharge Instructions, Completed: 06/13/24, 1P

Veterinarian: Jamie Kule DVM

Diagnosis

Anorexia, Lethargy, Anemia, Gastric Distention

Summary & Home Care Instructions

Giovani presented today because he has not been eating for the last 24 hours and did vomit overnight. He was taken in as a stray one week ago and was seen at Rockingham ER over the weekend. He was noted to be anemic and treated with subcutaneous fluids and improved but in the last 24 hours he is lethargic, hiding and not eating.

On presentation, Giovani is quite pale and dehydrated and he is painful in his abdomen. He had lab work that showed he was negative for FIV and FELV. We also performed radiographs that showed that his stomach is extremely distended with gas and concerned for possible bloat vs gastric outflow obstruction.

Outpatient treatment was performed in which we gave him a bolus of fluids under the skin as well as an anti-emetic injection. An appetite stimulant is being sent home as well.

He did receive an injection of a pain medication/sedative while in hospital so he may be a little groggy and sedate for a few hours.

It is recommended that you keep him in a quiet, confined room with his food, water and litter box and monitor what he is eating, drinking and producing.

You have scheduled an outpatient ultrasound for Tuesday but if he is not doing well or improving, we should recheck him prior.

Medication Instructions

Capromorelin 30mg/mL Oral Solution | 2 mL | Refills: 0 | Please give the contents of 1 syringe (0.4ml) by mouth once daily as needed for appetite stimulation. You can start this medication when you arrive home today.

Feeding Instructions

Please try and offer Giovani a variety of foods that may spark his interest. You can try meat flavored baby foods, wet foods, boiled chicken or hamburger.

Recheck Recommendations

If Giovani is continuing not to eat, hiding and acting lethargic or continues to vomit then recommend a recheck



Practice
http://www.vecmnh.com/
info@vecmnh.com
+16036666677

Patient
Giovani Rahme
13 YO M DOL
Patient ID: FE2430E23
Visit: 06/18/24

Account
Sabrina Rahme
Account ID: 24143F
(603) 661-5182
sabrinarahme@gmail.com

Giovani Rahme

Visit Report: Tuesday, June 18, 2024

Hospital: Veterinary Emergency Center of Manchester - 2743 Brown Ave Manchester NH 03103

Visit

Veterinarian: Anna Schozer DVM

Check In: 06/18/24, 9A

Check Out: 06/18/24, 1P

Patient

Giovani Rahme (ID FE2430E23)

DOB: Jun 13, 2011

Sex: Male

Species: Feline

Breed: Domestic Longhair

Weight: None

Account

Sabrina Rahme (ID 24143F)

2380 Elm St, Manchester, NH 03104, USA

(603) 661-5182

sabrinarahme@gmail.com

Invoice #136851

DATE	ID	ORDER	QTY	PRICE	DR	SUBTOTAL
06/18/24	VEC108	Ultrasound 1 cavity	1 ea	\$680.00	INSTINCT	\$680.00
06/18/24	111	Recheck VECM n/c	1 ea	\$0.00	INSTINCT	\$0.00
06/18/24	IL905	IDEXX BODY FLUID ANALYSIS 1 SITE	1 ea	\$488.73	ALS	\$488.73
06/18/24	MVIFNA	MVI - Fine Needle Aspirate	1 ea	\$138.00	ALS	\$138.00

Subtotal \$1,306.73

Total \$1,306.73

Payments \$1,306.73

06/18/24, 1P Credit/Debit Card \$1,306.73

Refunds \$0.00

Payments Total: \$1,306.73

Payments Unapplied: (\$0.00)

Invoice Balance: \$0.00

Account Balance: \$0.00



Practice
http://www.vecmnh.com/
info@vecmnh.com
+16036666677

Patient
Giovani Rahme
13 YO M DOL
Patient ID: FE2430E23

Account
Sabrina Rahme
Account ID: 24143F
(603) 661-5182
sabrinarahme@gmail.com

Giovani Rahme

Hospital: Veterinary Emergency Center of Manchester - 2743 Brown Ave Manchester NH 03103

Patient

Giovani Rahme (ID FE2430E23)
DOB: Jun 13, 2011
Sex: Male
Species: Feline
Breed: Domestic Longhair

Account

Sabrina Rahme (ID 24143F)
2380 Elm St, Manchester, NH 03104, USA
(603) 661-5182
sabrinarahme@gmail.com

Invoice #138516

DATE	ID	DESCRIPTION	QTY	PRICE	DR	SUBTOTAL
06/28/24	2223	Marbofloxacin 25mg Tablet	10 tab	\$65.00	SLJ	\$65.00

Subtotal \$65.00

Total \$65.00

Payments \$65.00

06/28/24, 7P Credit/Debit Card \$65.00


Refunds \$0.00


Payments Total: \$65.00


Payments Unapplied: (\$0.00)

Invoice Balance: \$0.00

Account Balance: \$0.00









Tibbs check up



me Mar 12
to frontend ^



From Rosemary Garcia coldroses13@gmail.com

To frontend bedfordanimalhosp.com
frontend@bedfordanimalhosp.com

Date Mar 12, 2024 at 9:03 AM

Hello,
Tibbs has been sneezing and sounding congested for the last 2 days. Can I please schedule time for Tibbs to come in for his well visit and get him checked out asap? I just get anxious cause of how old he is.

Thank you,
Rose

11:38

97%

Tibbs concern

Inbox



me May 8, 2023

to frontdesk ^



From: Rosemary Garcia coldroses13@gmail.com

To: frontdesk@bedfordanimalhosp.com

Date: May 8, 2023 at 1:16 PM

Hello, this afternoon I noticed a bloody left nostril from Tibbs. He's taken to sleep in my office which is very dry and warm but plus the outdoors may not help. I opened up windows but is there something you can recommend or is it best for him to be seen asap for this? It's seems to be slowly dripping after I first cleaned and he seems fine otherwise, eating and playing but I'm just a little nervous

Thank you,
Rose



frontdesk bedford... May 8, 2023

to me ^



Hello Rosmary,

If there is any kind of discharge that is abnormal for him, then please call us at 7 am tomorrow. We have sick call appointment that we save on a daily basis because we are booked out a few weeks. If it stops or has already stopped, then just watch him to see if it comes back or if he is acting sick in any way and call at that point.

Have a good day
Andrea

11:39

97%



Have a good day
Andrea

Bedford Animal Hospital
33 Old Bedford Road
Bedford, NH, 03110
603.668.0500
Fax 603.472.3243

From: Rosemary Garcia <coldroses13@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, May 8, 2023 1:16 PM

To: frontdesk [bedfordanimalhosp.com](mailto:frontdesk@bedfordanimalhosp.com)
<frontdesk@bedfordanimalhosp.com>

Subject: Tibbs concern

...

VIEW ENTIRE MESSAGE



me May 8, 2023

to frontdesk v



No discharge or anything and honestly it seems like it has stopped or slowing down. I ordered a humidifier for the room just in case but if it comes back I'll let you know.

Thank you!
Rose

...



BEDFORD ANIMAL HOSPITAL, P.C.

33 OLD BEDFORD ROAD • BEDFORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03110
(603) 668-0500 • FAX (603) 472-3243

07/05/2024

To whom it may concern,

Tibbs "Feline" DOB 08/01/2011 owned by Rosemary Garcia was presented to Bedford Animal Hospital on 08/19/2016 for a new pet exam and to establish care with Bedford Animal Hospital. He was owned by the Garcia family and Rosemary had taken him in as her cat. At this time (8/19/2016) Tibbs weighed 17lbs and 10 ounces. The doctor that examined Tibbs found him to be overweight, but otherwise appeared healthy. Tibbs was given all appropriate vaccinations at this time including rabies and distemper. We saw Tibbs again on 10/20/2017 when Rosemary brought the feline in for a problem with his eye. Rosemary accepted all diagnostic options for Tibbs and he was treated for a superficial ulcer on his eye. Rosemary purchased his medication directly from Bedford Animal Hospital and treated the condition accordingly. At this time Tibbs weighed 17lbs and 7 ounces. Rosemary returned with Tibbs on 10/27/2017 as his eye was not completely better, she paid for an exam and purchased more medication to treat his eye at this time. On 10/27/2017 there was no note in weight change from the previous visit seven days prior. Tibbs was seen again by another Dr. in our practice on 11/02/2017. He was diagnosed with a persistent ulcer and more medication was given for Rosemary to continue treat the eye at home. At this time of visit the Dr. had no concerns with Tibbs' weight or quality of life. Rosemary called our practice on 08/26/2022 when she had a concern that Tibbs was acting different after bringing home a dead bird 2 days prior. We recommended she schedule an appointment to have the cat seen by a Dr. Tibbs was seen on 09/14/2022 for a well pet exam. He was given a rabies vaccine and the Dr on duty determined due to Tibbs age that it was safe to discontinue his distemper vaccine at this time. When Tibbs was presented at this appointment, he weighed 11lbs 10 ounces. At this time, it was noted that Tibbs was experiencing oral pain when chewing per his owner's observation at home. It is also noted that Tibbs was on a weight loss formula of cat food per recommendation of our Dr at a previous visit. Rosemary consented to bloodwork and further diagnostics for Tibbs at this time. Tibbs was diagnosed with a fractured upper left canine tooth, and ulceration on the gumline from a lower canine tooth. At this visit the Dr noted severe matting in the patient's coat, with a note that she was unable to brush him out as he was too stressed. Antibiotics were prescribed for the patient's oral condition. The Dr noted that the patient may not tolerate general anesthesia for a dental procedure and recommended evaluating for underlying diseases. At this time the Dr noted Tibbs was "thin", had "aging changes" in his eyes, his coat was matted and that he was experiencing "muscle loss" and "arthritis in his hips". At this time, it was also noted that Tibbs had a grade 2/6 heart murmur. On 09/14/2022 Tibbs bloodwork was reviewed by the Dr on duty, she found his CBC and his chemistry to be within normal limits, with a note of his creatinine being 2.0. Tibbs T4 value was found to be in a grey zone and the Dr recommended further testing or a recheck in 6 months or sooner if the patient continued to lose weight. This was the last time our practice saw Tibbs.

Bedford Animal Hospital



Veterinary
EMERGENCY CENTER
of Manchester

<http://www.vecmnh.com/> | +16036666677 | info@vecmnh.com

Tibbs Garcia (46479)

Visit Report: Saturday, January 01, 2000

VISIT

Reason: Import Archived Data

Veterinarian:

Check In: 01/01/00, 12A

Check Out: 01/01/00, 12A

PATIENT

Tibbs Garcia (ID 46479)

19 YO MC MAIN (DOB: May 30, 2005)

Male Castrated

Feline Maine Coon

Weight: None

Microchip #: None

ACCOUNT

Rosemary Garcia (ID 121886)

552 Hevey Street, Manchester, NH 03102

(603) 716-0604

coldroses13@gmail.com

The following PDF file is attached at the end of this document:

Tibbs MedicalNotes

Medical Note History For Tibbs

PimsCode: 46479

Date: 5/30/2024 7:41:26 PM

Type: MedicalNotes

Provider: Claudia Winden

Note:

Summary: Treatment Consent Form - CLOSED 06/06/2024 23:39:32

Details: Veterinary Emergency Center Manchester

2743 Brown Ave.

Manchester, NH 03103

(603) 666-6677

Scanned Document(s)

5/30/2024

Patient Name: Rosemary Garcia Patient: Tibbs

Address: 552 Hevey Street Species: Feline

Manchester, NH 03102 Breed: Coon, Maine

Sex: Neutered Male

Phone Number: (603) 716-0604 Color: Brown & Black

Weight 4.6 kilograms

Attached: Treatment Consent Form

Date: 5/30/2024 4:27:36 PM

Type: MedicalNotes

Provider: Dr. Anna Schozer

Note:

Summary: resp distress - CLOSED 05/31/2024 23:31:49

Details: Veterinary Emergency Center Manchester

2743 Brown Ave.

Manchester, NH 03103

(603) 666-6677

Physical Exam

5/30/2024

Patient Name: Rosemary Garcia Patient: Tibbs

Address: 552 Hevey Street Species: Feline

Manchester, NH 03102 Breed: Coon, Maine

Sex: Neutered Male

Phone Number: (603) 716-0604 Color: Brown & Black

Weight: 4.6 kilograms

Age: 19 Yrs. 0 Mos.

Attending Clinician: Anna Schozer

SUBJECTIVE: Tibbs presented for trouble breathing over the past 1.5 hours. Tibbs has a history of asthma but has never been on medication for it. He has had episodes of difficulty breathing over the past few months, but they normally resolve on their own. Tibbs began open mouth breathing this afternoon. He is still eating/drinking normally with no vomiting or diarrhea. He is indoor/outdoor and UTD on rabies.

OBJECTIVE:

Body weight: 4.6kg

Body temperature: 101.3°F

HR: 180

RR: 40

O elects DNR at triage, but approves O2

P placed in O2 after triage exam

SWO re: PE/history/update - placed P in O2. disc that while this could be asthma flare up, would also be concerned for other underlying causes in an older cat such as heart disease or cancer. would recc starting with chest xrays and bloodwork (cbc, chem, lytes, proBNP --- or proBNP at minimum). quoted O - O hesitant. disc starting with just chest rays and making further plan from there -- O approves

TXR (RL): no obvious mass, pleural effusion, or pulmonary edema

SWO re: update - only able to take single xray (compared to 3 view) as P became aggressive and dyspneic. do not see anything obvious such as mass, pleural effusion, pulmonary edema, etc. can try to get other views of xray but would need to give P mild sedation (should also help with breathing). next step would be bloodwork to further assess -- but P would also need mild sedation for that. can get O estimate for everything recommended. O unsure if they have any additional funds for further dx/tx. O asking if we do payment plans - disc not through the hospital but do accept care credit and scratch pay. O asked if we would refuse to give P back to them if we did more testing than they could afford. disc that we would not perform any tests/treatment without O consent, but they are able to decline dx/tx and leave AMA. O would like to take P home for monitoring. disc concern that without knowing what is going on with P, and/or trying to treat it, that P may become dyspneic at home and pass away; O would like a few minutes to discuss, then will bring in estimate; O declines further diagnostics, approves torb.

Butorphanol: 0.1ml IM

DISCHARGE INSTRUCTIONS

Thank you for bringing Tibbs to VECM. Tibbs presented for difficulty breathing. On physical exam, Tibbs had an increased respiratory effort and lung sounds. We took a single chest xray which showed no obvious underlying cause for his respiratory signs. At this time you elect to postpone further diagnostics and wish to treat him symptomatically. Tibbs received an injection of a medication to help with airway inflammation and mild sedation. You understand that without identifying and treating the underlying cause, Tibbs' breathing may progressively worsen and would be at risk for passing away.

Please monitor for any continued/worsening changes in respiratory rate/effort, coughing, wheezing, open mouth breathing, vomiting, diarrhea, lethargy, inappetence, or collapse. Should you notice any of these signs or have any questions/concerns, please contact us or your primary veterinarian.

Sincerely,

Jr. Anna Schozer

Date: 5/30/2024 4:19:32 PM

Type: MedicalNotes

Provider: VECM Staff

Note:

Summary: Check-In Form NEW - CLOSED 05/31/2024 23:31:49

Details: Check-In Form

5/30/2024

Patient Name: Rosemary Garcia Patient: Tibbs

Patient ID: 121886 Pat ID: 46479

Address: 552 Hevey Street Species: Feline

Manchester, NH 03102 Breed: Coon, Maine

Phone Number: (603) 716-0604 Sex: Neutered Male

Referring DVM: None Local rDVM Color: Brown & Black

Arrival Time: 16:19 Age: 19 Yrs. 0 Mos.

Open mouthed breathing

TRIAGE Technician: _____ Time of Assessment: _____

Presenting Complaint: _____

Duration of illness/condition: _____

Underlying medical conditions: _____

Current meds/last dose admin: _____

Recent travel/boarding/daycare? No Yes If yes, describe: _____

WEIGHT: _____ TEMPERATURE: _____

Respiratory rate/ventilatory nature: _____ Eupneic Increased effort Dyspneic

Heart rate: _____ Pulse quality: Normal Bounding Poor Weak Unable to palpate

MM color: Pink Brick red Pale pink White Icteric Cyanotic CRT: _____

Level of consciousness: BAR QAR Lethargic Obtunded Stuporous Comatose

ambulatory: Yes No Behavior Code: Caution Go slow Normal

Emergency Severity Index 1 2 3 4 5

OUTPATIENT Technician: _____ Exam Room: _____ Patient location: _____ Time: _____