```
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA
2
3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4
                 Plaintiff,
5
                                    23-CR-36
        VS.
6
    ALEXANDER LEDVINA,
7
                 Defendant.
8
9
                           APPEARANCES:
10
    ATTORNEY ADAM JOHN VANDER STOEP, U.S. Attorney's Office,
    111 Seventh Avenue S.E., Box 1, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401,
    appeared on behalf of the United States.
11
    ATTORNEY MICHAEL K. LAHAMMER, Lahammer Law Firm, 425
12
    Second Street S.E., Suite 1010, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401,
13
    appeared on behalf of the Defendant.
14
15
                            BENCH TRIAL,
16
               HELD BEFORE THE HON. C.J. WILLIAMS,
17
    on the 16th day of November, 2023, at 111 Seventh Avenue
    S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa, commencing at 8:58 a.m., and
18
19
    reported by Patrice A. Murray, Certified Shorthand
2.0
    Reporter, using machine shorthand.
21
    Transcript Ordered: 7/18/24
    Transcript Completed: 8/8/24
22
23
                Patrice A. Murray, CSR, RMR, FCRR
                          Court Reporter
24
                            PO Box 10541
                     Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52410
25
                    PAMurrayReporting@gmail.com
```

1	IN	DEX	
2	EXHIBITS	PAGE	
3	Exhibit 1	13	3
4	* *	* * *	
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

(The following proceedings were held in open court.) 1 The matter now before the Court is 2 THE COURT: United States of America versus Alexander Wesley Ledvina, 3 case number 23-CR-36. This matter comes on for a bench 4 5 trial. The United States is represented by Assistant United States Attorney Adam Vander Stoep. The defendant 6 7 is personally present and represented by defense Attorney 8 Michael Lahammer. The Court has received the parties' pleadings in 9 10 this case, including the notice of bench trial filed by the defendant at document number 47, the joint 11 stipulation at document number 52, the government's trial 12 13 brief at 55, the defense trial brief at document number 14 57, and the government's reply brief at document number 15 60. Before we move into this trial, I want to talk with 16 17 the defendant about his waiver. The joint stipulation contains language in which -- and the joint stipulation 18 19 is signed by the defendant -- in which he has waived his 2.0 right to a jury trial. But I just want to make sure that 2.1 we're on the same page. 22 Mr. Ledvina, you understand you have a right to have 23 this matter tried before a jury? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: And it's your decision after

talking with Mr. Lahammer that you want to have the Court decide this issue?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

2.0

2.1

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

Any further record that the government wants to make on the waiver of jury trial, Mr. Vander Stoep?

MR. VANDER STOEP: Your Honor, I guess, there is some clarification that the government wants to go over prior to the waiver of the jury trial and everything that's happening with the bench trial.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. VANDER STOEP: And that's specifically, I know in conversations with the Court during status conferences, it was the understanding that this bench trial was being done to preserve a legal issue, that being the as-applied challenge to the constitutionality of the statutes, specifically, a vagueness challenge as to the terms "unlawful user" and "addict."

The thing that the government wants to clarify was, after receiving the defendant's brief on this matter on Monday, it looked like there was the argument regarding the as-applied issue as to what does it mean to be an "unlawful user," what does it mean to be an "addict," and then whether or not those, as applied to the defendant's conduct, are unconstitutionally vague to him. The only

question is, in that defendant memo it also appeared that there was a factual dispute or a factual element that was being challenged, specifically, an argument under Rehaif regarding the defendant's knowledge of -- I know this is complicated -- unlawful use of a controlled substance.

And, again, the government acknowledges that the "unlawful user" is the term that is questioned as to whether or not that is void for vagueness, raised facially before trial and now as applied.

2.0

2.1

But the question is, from a standpoint of his factual knowledge of did he know that controlled substances were generally illegal and, then, use of those controlled substances was prohibited or against the law, just from a general illegality standpoint, as opposed to what does "unlawful user" mean, if there's a factual dispute regarding knowledge or one of the elements that needs to be proven by the government in this case, that would be an issue that would implicate acceptance of responsibility, and, as well, if it is actually a factual dispute, that is something that the government would want to then have a jury trial on.

I've had a conversation with defense counsel on this. I think we clarified the argument. It was not an argument of his knowledge element as to, you know, the way the law is presently constituted, which I know the

Eighth Circuit jury instructions say "unlawful user is someone who uses controlled substances without a valid prescription," which he stipulates to both using controlled substances and doing so without ever having a valid prescription. My understanding was it's an as-applied challenge as to how can he know what an unlawful user is because of the vague nature of that term. And if I'm incorrect with that, defense counsel can correct the record on it.

2.0

So my understanding, clarifying that, it was not a challenging factual elements that the government needs to prove per se. It was just more of what does that term mean in this context, is it unconstitutional -- unconstitutionally vague as applied to the defendant.

I just wanted to make sure that we're all on the same page here as to what is being argued and also being asked of Your Honor to decide in this, because it was a little confusing with the brief that was filed on Monday by the defense as to what the ultimate issues are here in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Vander Stoep.
Mr. Lahammer.

MR. LAHAMMER: We would agree there's no factual challenge in this case, Your Honor. We're not factually challenging anything. Mr. Ledvina recognizes

that there are laws which prohibit the possession of controlled substances. He recognizes that, and that if you possess controlled substances without a prescription or without any other valid legal reason, it's illegal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Our arguments are, particularly under Rehaif, challenging the vagueness of "unlawful user" or "addict," if we're talking about the 4473 forms for the ATF. But. certainly no factual challenge here. We do -- the reason for this is to preserve acceptance of responsibility. And it's my understanding, in speaking with the government, that that is preserved, at least the 2 The 3rd level we'd like to argue at sentencing levels. The government's going to, I believe -- and would apply. he can correct me if I'm wrong -- object to that, but I think we're on the same page as far as the 2 levels for acceptance.

THE COURT: All right. Very good.

Mr. Vander Stoep, do you want to add anything regarding the 3rd level for acceptance, what the government's position is?

MR. VANDER STOEP: Yes, Your Honor. As defense counsel indicated, the 2 levels, like it talks about in the commentary, it would be something that in rare circumstances the defendant can still get those 2 levels by going to trial to exercise a constitutional challenge.

In this case, the void for vagueness challenge that's being made as applied. So under that circumstance, as long as there's no factual dispute as to the elements, yes, they would be preserving that, and then he would get the 2 levels.

2.0

2.1

As for that 3rd level, just on a very simple reading of that 3rd level, it indicates that the government can move for that 3rd level if the defendant has assisted authorities in the investigation or prosecution of his own misconduct by timely notifying authorities of his intention to enter a plea of guilty, thereby, permitting the government to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the government and the Court to allocate their resources efficiently.

Ultimately, he has not entered a plea of guilty, and we are currently at a bench trial. So just based off of a reading of the 3rd level and what comes off of that, it would not apply, and the government would not be moving for the 3rd level, because we are here at a bench trial, preparing for a bench trial, and doing that with the Court at the time.

THE COURT: All right. Understood.

And so the bottom line is, I need to have a go from both parties here as if we're launching a rocket ship.

Are we a go on a bench trial here from the government's

1 standpoint given this conversation? 2 MR. VANDER STOEP: May I have one second, Your 3 Honor? 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 (Brief pause.) Your Honor, based off of the 6 MR. VANDER STOEP: 7 clarification of the record today, that there's no 8 factual challenge, it's just to preserve the as-applied issue, the government is okay with proceeding with the 9 10 bench trial here today. THE COURT: Mr. Lahammer? 11 12 MR. LAHAMMER: We are a go, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. Very good. We will 14 proceed then with this bench trial. As I understood it 15 from the parties, given our prior status conference that 16 this was going to be a trial based largely, if not 17 completely, on a factual stipulation entered into by the parties, that joint stipulation is at document number 52, 18 19 and I have reviewed that. As I mentioned during the 2.0 status conference, when we talked about setting this 2.1 matter for a bench trial, I indicated it was my intent 22 to, nevertheless, open it up to both parties to offer any 23 additional evidence that they wish to offer as part of 24 this bench trial in addition to the stipulation. Before 25 I find out if there's any additional evidence, I just

```
1
    want to make sure, are there any changes or any
2
    amendments that either party wants to make to the joint
                  Anything on behalf of the United States?
 3
    stipulation?
              MR. VANDER STOEP:
                                  Your Honor, the only
4
5
    amendment -- I apologize -- is reflected in the trial
    brief from the government and also the trial reply, which
6
7
    I have conferred with defense counsel, and there was a
8
    typographical error in one of the paragraphs.
                                                     I believe
9
    it was paragraph 10, if I'm not mistaken.
10
                           Having to do with 2021 versus 2022.
              THE COURT:
11
              MR. VANDER STOEP:
                                  That's correct.
                                                   Any time it
    reflects 2021, that would have been a typo.
12
                                                   It should
13
    have been the year 2022.
14
              THE COURT: Very good.
15
         And, Mr. Lahammer, do you agree to that amendment?
16
                              I do, Your Honor.
              MR. LAHAMMER:
17
              THE COURT: Very good. Anything else from the
18
    government on the stipulation?
19
              MR. VANDER STOEP:
                                  Nothing on the stipulation.
2.0
    There is additional evidence, but I know Your Honor is
2.1
    taking that up later.
22
              THE COURT:
                           I will in a moment.
23
         Mr. Lahammer, anything else on the stipulation?
24
              MR. LAHAMMER:
                              No, Your Honor.
25
                                       Now let's move to
              THE COURT:
                           All right.
```

whether there's additional evidence. In the government's 1 2 reply brief, the government has attached Exhibit 1, which is a collection of the firearms transaction records 3 4 having to do with the purchase of the firearms. 5 moving that into evidence, Mr. Vander Stoep? MR. VANDER STOEP: 6 Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Lahammer? MR. LAHAMMER: We would object, Your Honor, 8 9 based on the violation of the joint stipulation in lieu 10 of trial evidence, dock 52. Page 1 specifically says "Mr. Ledvina and the United States stipulate and agree 11 the following facts are true and may be considered by the 12 13 Court without further evidence being offered." Clearly, 14 an agreement. And admitting any new evidence would 15 violate it. Even in their own trial brief, at doc 55, 16 "The parties stipulated and agreed that the facts 17 contained in the filing are true and may be considered by the Court without further evidence being offered." 18 What 19 we're saying is this violates the agreement between the 2.0 parties, and we believe it's pretty straightforward that 2.1 neither we or the government could present additional 22 evidence. Thank you, Judge. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 Mr. Vander Stoep. 25 MR. VANDER STOEP: Your Honor, the language

says that they "may be considered without further evidence." It does not say that these are the sole facts that the Court must consider in this bench trial. This is additional evidence. It's also contemplated by what Your Honor discussed during the status conference, about that Your Honor would be willing to take additional evidence. The plain language and plain terms of the joint stipulation does not preclude additional evidence being offered at this bench trial.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. I am going to accept Government's Exhibit 1. The language in the joint stipulation does not bar additional evidence. It simply says that "the following facts are accepted without further evidence being offered." Lawyers know how to draft contracts. There are -- it's a common clause in And it's often in, if not always, in the plea contracts. agreements that the parties sign on a regular basis in this court, a provision that says this is the complete agreement by the parties. If the parties had intended this to be the evidence and the only evidence that the Court would ever accept, the parties could have easily said so in this stipulation. They did not. And I don't read it as barring additional evidence. And it would be inconsistent as well with, during our status conference, my indication that I intended to allow the parties to

present additional evidence if they wanted at this bench 1 2 Neither party objected to it at the time. trial. And so I don't find that the admission of Exhibit 1 is in 3 violation of any agreement that the parties have reached 4 So Exhibit 1 will be admitted. 5 in this case. (Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was received.) 6 7 THE COURT: Does the government have any 8 additional evidence it wishes to present, either in the 9 form of exhibits or testimony at this trial? MR. VANDER STOEP: 10 No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Mr. Lahammer? 12 No further evidence, Your Honor. MR. LAHAMMER: 13 THE COURT: All right. So I do want to offer 14 the parties -- well, I quess, first of all, I'd just note 15 that this does complete the evidence then before the Court, and I'll be basing my ruling on the evidence that 16 is consistent -- or that consists of the joint 17 stipulation and the Exhibit 1. 18 19 Mr. Vander Stoep, do you want to present argument 2.0 regarding what you believe the evidence shows in this 2.1 case? 22 Yes, Your Honor, briefly. MR. VANDER STOEP: 23 Your Honor, the evidence in this case, as well as the 24 elements as to what needs to be proven, are essentially 25 laid out in the government's trial memo found at document

55. I'll just kind of hit some of the highlights as to what the government needs to prove and how that has been proven.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Mr. Ledvina has been charged with two counts. One count is for unlawful user in possession of a firearm.

That essential -- or that count has three essential elements.

One, on or about August 11, 2022, in the Northern District of Iowa, the defendant knowingly possessed a firearm. As Your Honor can see from the joint stipulation, the defendant stipulates to possession of multiple firearms on that date.

Two, at the time that he possessed the firearm, he was prohibited from possessing a firearm, because he was and he knew he was an unlawful user of a controlled And, Your Honor, as was indicated in the substance. reply brief, unlawful user is defined in the Eighth Circuit jury instructions as an individual who uses controlled substances without a valid prescription. Ιn the stipulation, the defendant acknowledges that for a period of six months, March of 2022 up and to August of 2022, August 11th of 2022, he was using marijuana, cocaine, and THC. He also acknowledges that at no point in time during that time period, and at no point in time at all, was the defendant ever prescribed with marijuana, THC, or cocaine. So under that term, "unlawful user," he is an unlawful user of controlled substances. And he admits in the stipulation to knowingly using those substances. Marijuana, he admits to using it five to six times a week from approximately March of 2022 up until July of 2022. And then in August of 2022, he admits to still consistently using marijuana.

2.0

2.1

Third, the firearm was transported across a state line at some time during or before the defendant's possession of it. Again, in the stipulation, the defendant agrees that all of those firearms that were seized from his residence in Cedar Rapids on August 11, 2022, met the definition of firearm. They were able to expel a projectile by way of an explosive. And he stipulated that all of those firearms had crossed through interstate commerce at some point in time, either prior to his possession or during his possession.

As to the second count that the defendant is charged with, false statement made during the purchase of a firearm, section 924(a)(1)(A), there are four elements.

One, on July 29, 2022, in the Northern District of Iowa, he knowingly made a representation in an ATF Form 4473. Again, in the stipulation, he acknowledges that he did on that date go to a firearms dealer in the Northern District of Iowa, filled out ATF Form 4473, and made a

representation on that form. The representation specifically being that he was not an unlawful user of or addicted to marijuana, or any depressant, stimulant, narcotic drug, or any other controlled substance.

2.0

2.1

He also concedes element two, that he made that representation to a federally licensed firearms dealer, which is what that dealer in the Northern District of Iowa was.

Third, the representation was false. That is established through the factual stipulations, because the defendant admits and stipulates that he was using controlled substances -- those controlled substances being marijuana and cocaine -- during that time period, the same time period that he was in possession of firearms.

And fourth, the defendant knew that representation was untrue when he made the representation because, again, he acknowledges that he knew that he was using those substances, he knew those substances were controlled substances, and he knew that he never before had a prescription for any of those substances that he was using.

Additionally, as is reflected in Government's Exhibit 1, the ATF form 4473s that the defendant would sign and fill out every time he would purchase a

firearm -- so in this case, there are 15 of these

forms -- that question, where he's asked if he's an

unlawful user of or addicted to marijuana, and then it

goes on, there is a warning that's contained underneath

that question. The warning reads as follows: "The use

or possession of marijuana remains unlawful under federal

law, regardless of whether it has been legalized or

decriminalized for medicinal or recreational purposes in

the state where you reside." Every single time the

defendant filled out that form, that warning would have

been on there, and he would have been reminded that

marijuana use is unlawful and illegal.

2.1

Your Honor, based off of the stipulations, based off of the jury instructions and the state of the law in the Eighth Circuit, it's the government's position that all elements of the offense have been proven beyond a reasonable doubt. As such, it's the government's position that the defendant should be found guilty as to both counts. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Vander Stoep. In the stipulation that the parties entered at document number 52, at paragraph 15, page 6, is a reference and incorporation of Joint Exhibit 1, which is a series of text messages located on the defendant's phone. That's been provided to the Court. It is 777 pages long. I

have thumbed through it. But as another court once wrote in connection with a motion for summary judgment, "Judges are not like pigs where we are to root out truffles." So what from this text message download of 777 pages do you want me to focus on and what in it do you think supports your position?

2.0

2.1

MR. VANDER STOEP: Thank you, Your Honor. As the joint stipulation does state, these are text messages from the defendant's phone that was seized from him. I will just go through a few of these text messages to highlight for Your Honor. I believe in Your Honor's copy at the bottom of every page it should have a page number; is that correct?

THE COURT: That's correct.

MR. VANDER STOEP: All right. I will refer to page number just to direct the Court's attention to what I am referring to.

On page 2, there is a message from a number that ends in 0120, Dylan Gremm, and it asks the defendant:

"Do a half G for \$50" -- or sorry, "Do a half G for 50?"

Moving to page 7 of the Joint Exhibit 1, another, in the middle of that -- in the middle of that page, from a number ending in 5877, a Dale Deaton, there's a message to the defendant that says: "I've got a buddy looking for bud. Can I forward him your number?"

1 On page 12, the second message, the blue message, a message again from the Mr. Dale Deaton, the message to 2 3 the defendant says: "I'm looking for 1 or snow." Moving to page 99, middle of the page, the second 4 5 blue square, a message from a number ending in 1545: "You should come over and smoke. Ruth got her dab pen 6 7 We should drink, but hasn't smoked real bud for a while. bro. Jena can come if she wants." 8 The response from the defendant is, "I don't think 9 10 she does." The following page, page 100, at the very top, first 11 12 blue message, "Do you want to come over? Hit a line, and 13 come chill, bro." 14 Next, moving to page 162. The very top message on 15 that page, the first blue box, is a message from a number That message 16 1938, Dallas Thirston, to the defendant. says: "I'm in class until about 1. 17 Then I was going to see if you wanted to go get your food for some bud." 18 19 The next message on that page is: "Bring the weed 2.0 with you." 2.1 Moving over to the next page, 163. The first 22 message from Mr. Thirston to the defendant, it says: 23 "Bring the weed with you because I need to come straight 24 home afterwards. I have things to do. 5 Gs for \$50." 25 That is the second message on page 163.

Turning to page 164, first blue message, also from 1 Mr. Thirston to the defendant: "Can't spend more than 2 My sister said she don't give me the card." 3 50. Second message, from Mr. Thirston to the defendant: 4 "You better hurry up and decide before 4:30." 5 Moving to page 163 [sic], messages from the 6 7 defendant, back to Mr. Thirston: "I'm on my way." And the second message, "if you had cash." 8 9 THE COURT: I think you mean 165. 10 MR. VANDER STOEP: I apologize, Your Honor. That is 165. 11 Thank you. Turning to page 166, first message from Mr. Thirston 12 13 to the defendant: "Bring the weed because, like I said, 14 I got S, " and then it's got three stars, "to do after this." 15 The second message from Mr. Thirston to the defendant: "1 G for \$10 you spend." 16 Moving to page 167, again, another message from 17 Mr. Thirston to the defendant: "I'm giving you a better 18 19 deal than I give anyone else. Anyway, I got people 2.0 that'll give me a quarter for what I do for you, so." 2.1 The next message from Mr. Thirston to the defendant: 22 "Just don't screw me over, please, or this will be the 23 last time we ever do anything like this." 24 Moving to page 168, first message from Mr. Thirston 25 back to the defendant: "Call me when you're almost

```
1
    here."
         And the defendant responds, "If I wanted to pay
2
    dollar for dollar for groceries, I would just go myself
3
4
    and spend my cash like I always do."
         Moving to page 169, from Mr. Thirston to the
5
    defendant: "For 50 bucks, make it worth my while with
6
7
    weed. If not, I'll just walk out of the store."
         The second message from Mr. Thirston, on page 169:
8
9
    "Just give me what you gave me last time. We'll call it
10
    good."
         Moving to page 170, the defendant responds back to
11
                   "Dallas, I can go get 10 a G in cash, and
12
    Mr. Thirston:
13
    go spend it. I'm not doing that."
         The second message from the defendant to the -- to
14
15
    Mr. Thirston says: "I'm actually losing money because I
16
    have to drive across town. There is zero benefit for
   me."
17
         Moving to page 171, message from Mr. Thirston to the
18
    defendant: "P, just give me what you gave me last time."
19
2.0
         And the defendant's response:
                                         "For the same amount
2.1
    of food?"
22
         Moving to page 72, message from Mr. Thirston to the
23
    defendant:
               "You spent about 60 bucks last time, so,
24
    yeah."
25
         Defendant's response:
                                 "No.
                                       It was 90."
```

Moving to page 209, the very bottom message in blue, from number ending 8940, in the defendant's phone is M'Lynn. The message reads: "Can I get a G? So I can make it last. LOL."

Moving to page 240, a message in blue at the bottom of the page from number 1127, in defendant's phone as

2.0

2.1

of the page from number 1127, in defendant's phone as

Marcus Bechtold to the defendant, that reads: "Me and my

friend are just chilling. He got a little blow from

someone. You tryin' to chill. We need some bud and he

needs a contact. He's a close friend of mine. Wouldn't

bring a stranger to you."

Moving to page 316, the second blue message from the top, a message from a number ending in 9983, in the defendant's phone as Jenaya, and the message says: "I'm ready to smoke."

Moving to page 348, once again, the second blue message from the top, again, from that same number, to Jenaya, and the message to the defendant reads: "Did you roll one?"

And defendant's response, "I will, YS."

Moving to page 366, this is towards the bottom of the page, the second to the last message, also from the number in defendant's phone for Jenaya, and the message says: "You got one rolled?"

And the defendant's response: "Yup."

Moving to page 439, very top of the page, green message from the defendant, once again to that same number listed in defendant's phone as Jenaya, the defendant messages her saying: "Want to hang out in a little bit?" Her following message back says: "And do what?" Defendant's response: "Smoke and talk. Then IDK. Wing it like usual. LOL." Moving to page 576, the middle of the page, the second green message box in that page, a message once again from the defendant to the number in his phone as "We can just watch something on Jenaya, and it says: Netflix and smoke." Moving to page 705, the first blue message, so it's the second message on that page, from a number ending 9928 in the defendant's phone as Mason Taylor, a message to the defendant says: "Could I buy some blow from ya, sir?" The defendant's response to Mr. Taylor says: "No. Wrong number." And Mr. Taylor's response is: "Hahahaha. STFU." Moving to page 768, the first blue message on that page, so middle of the page, there is a message from an individual with the name Kyle that says: "Got any bud?" Moving to page 771, the last green message box on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

that page, the message says: "I don't got coke for you,
so now I'm not your friend anymore. Is that it?"

And finally, page 777, very top message on that page, first green box, a message from the defendant to Mase that says: "Landon just asked for my number so that Connor could score some snow. LOL."

Your Honor, all of these messages show that people are reaching out to the defendant for snow, or weed, or asking for Gs, and they're talking prices. This is all consistent with the defendant's stipulation as well that he's involved with using marijuana, using cocaine, and it all supports that he knew what he was doing, was involved in the knowing use of these controlled, unlawful, illegal substances, and it supports both of the charges that he is being charged with in this case here today. And once again, the government asks that Your Honor find him guilty as to both of the two counts.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Lahammer.

2.1

MR. LAHAMMER: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't know if I should deem this a motion for judgment of acquittal or closing arguments in this case after the -- all the evidence has been submitted, but I guess either --

THE COURT: I'll consider it as both.

MR. LAHAMMER: Thank you, Judge. We argue that Mr. Ledvina's indictment should be dismissed because it violates the Second Amendment to the U.S. Constitution both on its face and as applied under the facts of this First off, I would note a recent Eastern District of Pennsylvania case Tuesday that came out, Williams v. Garland, 17-CV-2641, where the Court found Title 18 922(q)(1), felon in possession, was unconstitutional as applied to OWI convictions because there's no tradition abandoning firearm ownership based on a person's history of alcoholism. That goes more to our motion to dismiss at the onset of this case rather than the as-applied arguments in this case, but we would still argue that it's applicable.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

My arguments today aren't a recitation of the ones we already made in our motion to dismiss and our trial briefs. We believe those are well set out in those documents. What we want to direct today our arguments towards is the as-applied challenge tied to the facts of this case as set forth in the stipulation and the exhibits before the Court. We're not disputing what the facts are, as I told the Court earlier. We are arguing there are inferences that can be drawn from those facts, particularly where Mr. Ledvina would have had subjective knowledge that his use of controlled substances was

unlawful. This comes down to whether under the stipulated facts the statutes are unconstitutionally vague as applied to him in the circumstances.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Now, the government in their brief based their argument on Count 1 on Eighth Circuit cases that are -that unlawful user is a person who regularly uses drugs and with a temporal nexus between the drug use and the The Eighth Circuit has not firearm possession. considered whether the usage was unlawful in the sense that the use violated federal or applicable state law. As discussed in our brief, neither federal law, nor Iowa law, makes the use of controlled substances unlawful. None of the cases the government cites, nor any other Eighth Circuit case that I'm aware of, discusses whether the applicable state law prohibits the use of controlled Generally, what the cases discuss is the substances. need for a frequency of use requirement and a temporal nexus to make the statute and the term "unlawful user" constitutionally permissible, instead of unconstitutionally vague, as we are arguing. The cases the government cites, three are from Missouri, one from South Dakota. Missouri appears to criminalize possession, as does South Dakota, but not Iowa. So the key here is the argument that the Eighth

Circuit has never addressed the issue of the use having

to be unlawful in that it must be against federal or applicable state law to use a controlled substance. The Court shouldn't even get to the frequency or temporal proximity until it's determined whether the use itself was unlawful.

2.0

2.1

On Count 2 in the government's brief, they skim over the elements, but they don't -- didn't anticipate the arguments that "addicted to" defines "unlawful user." It comes down to whether these terms, "unlawful user" or "addicted to," are mutually exclusive or disjunctive, or does it mean that it's conjunctive and both have to apply. When he signed the form stating "no," was it because he knew he wasn't an unlawful user and an addict?

So it's worth noting in Rehaif, the U.S. Supreme
Court case related to the knowing element of firearm
possession and being a felon, the Supreme Court
identified kind of a collateral question of law, saying
ignorance of the law can be an excuse. We would submit
that "unlawful user" or "addict" on Count 2 requires a
showing Mr. Ledvina knew that his use of a controlled
substance was a violation of state or federal law.

Briefly, on Rahimi, argued last week at the U.S.

Supreme Court, justices seemed to concentrate on

dangerousness. We don't have that in that we are dealing

with a user question and not a felon question.

As to the reply brief, our argument is still there's no federal or Iowa statute that makes the use of a controlled substance unlawful. Congress intended that an unlawful user be someone who uses controlled substance in violation of federal or applicable state law. The Eighth Circuit jury instruction is contrary to law and the plain meaning of "unlawful user." The Treasury regulation they cite cannot supplement that requirement. We would argue that the government should not be allowed to bring up the Treasury regulation in a reply brief as that creates a whole host of issues as to whether the regulation comports with the law or is even within the authority of the Treasury Department.

2.0

Their case, Courtney, is not on point. First, they're trying to argue that he could not be found in possession of a controlled substance based on a UA showing that he had used. Second, it was a supervised release revocation hearing, and conditions of supervised release specifically prohibit use. We don't have that here.

The government's trying to conflate "possession" and "use," where the statute is tied to "unlawful user," not "unlawful possessor." And that's the issue, that the law prohibits possession but not use.

Again -- or going to the ATF Form 4473 in the

1 government's exhibits, that's not relevant because it's 2 an incorrect statement of the law for the reasons we've 3 stated: No law prohibits use. Thank you, Judge. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 It is the government's burden here, so I'm going to 7 allow the government to make a rebuttal closing argument if it wishes. 8 9 MR. VANDER STOEP: Can I have one moment, Your 10 Honor. 11 THE COURT: You may. MR. VANDER STOEP: 12 Thank you. 13 (Brief pause.) 14 MR. VANDER STOEP: Thank you, Your Honor. Just 15 a few things I want to focus on in this case in my 16 rebuttal argument here. One is the distinction between 17 "possession" versus "use," and the argument that's being advanced of there's no law that prohibits use. 18 19 Honor, one of the things that -- in a trial on this case, 2.0 commonsense can be used. Use of a controlled substance, 2.1 a controlled substance, is unlawful. There are two cases 22 that were submitted to the Court in the government's 23 reply brief -- one from the Fourth Circuit, one from the 24 Fifth Circuit -- that talks about if you are using a 25 controlled substance, that necessarily means that there

was intentional ingestion of that substance. You had possessed that substance. It talks about how there could be other circumstances where maybe it's involuntarily If you involuntarily inhale something or being used. another thing, that maybe somebody walks up to you with a syringe loaded full of a controlled substance and stabs you with that syringe, that could be involuntary usage. However, using a controlled substance -- specifically, the facts as applied to the defendant in this case, his Second Amendment challenge, all the facts that he stipulated to -- he is knowingly smoking marijuana. Не admits to knowingly using cocaine. That type of usage, knowing usage, is unlawful. And the defendant is not permitted to do -- to do that. And the Court can use its commonsense in finding that use of a controlled substance, cocaine and marijuana, substances that the defendant stipulated are controlled substances, was unlawful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

23

24

25

Additionally, looking at the ATF Form 4473, every time the defendant would sign that, there is a notice on there saying that using marijuana is unlawful. Because the use of marijuana, common sense, is unlawful. You cannot be using marijuana or any other controlled substance without -- as with the Eighth Circuit has and they're law -- without a valid prescription to do so. If

the defendant had a valid prescription for usage of marijuana, or THC, or cocaine, then that usage would not be unlawful under Eighth Circuit law. However, under Eighth Circuit law, the defendant's usage of that controlled substance, cocaine and marijuana, was unlawful, was illegal.

2.0

As we discussed here today at the beginning of this bench trial, there is no factual challenge as to the elements. It is a question of what does as -- what does the "unlawful user" and "addict" mean as applied to the defendant. Does his usage of these controlled substances qualify him as an unlawful user?

Additionally, looking at that second element -- or that second count that the defendant's charged with, the 4473, making a knowingly false statement on that form, the defendant argues that the "or" maybe means that it is a synonymous term for "unlawful user," so, therefore, he would not think that he was an unlawful user because you need to be addicted to a substance to be an unlawful user. Your Honor, again, the Court can use its commonsense looking at this question: Are you an unlawful user of or addicted to controlled substances?

It lays it out right there. It is -- it is an "or,"

It lays it out right there. It is -- it is an "or, disjunctive "or." Unlawful user or addicted to. That also tracks the same language of the 922(g)(3), unlawful

1 user of or addicted to a controlled substance. Again, based off of all of the elements that the 2 government must prove in this case, the law in the Eighth 3 Circuit, the factual stipulations that the defendant has 4 5 stipulated to and agreed to, as well as commonsense, all of the elements in this case have been proven beyond a 6 7 reasonable doubt, and the government respectfully requests that Your Honor find the defendant quilty as to 8 both counts - possession of a firearm as an unlawful user 9 10 of controlled substances, and making a false statement on 11 the ATF Form 4473. Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. 13 That will complete the trial in this All right. I am going to take this under advisement. 14 matter. Ι 15 will get a ruling out in writing as soon as I can. 16 Anything else before we adjourn on this trial, 17 Mr. Vander Stoep? No, Your Honor. 18 MR. VANDER STOEP: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Lahammer? 2.0 No, Your Honor. MR. LAHAMMER: Thank you. 2.1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. That concludes this trial. 22 23 (Proceedings concluded at 9:40 a.m.) 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I, Patrice A. Murray, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of Iowa, do hereby certify that at the time and place heretofore indicated, a bench trial was held before the Honorable C.J. Williams; that I reported in shorthand and transcribed to the best of my ability the proceedings of said bench trial; and that the foregoing transcript is a true record of all proceedings had on the taking of said bench trial at the above time and place.

I further certify that I am not related to or employed by any of the parties to this action, and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have set my hand this 8th day of August, 2024.

<u>/s/ Patrice A Murray</u>

Patrice A. Murray, CSR, RMR, FCRR Court Reporter PO Box 10541 Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52410

\$	23-CR-36 [2] - 1:5, 3:4	9	allow [2] - 12:25, 29:7
·	240 [1] - 22:5		allowed [1] - 28:9
	29 [1] - 15:21		almost [1] - 20:25
\$10 [1] - 20:16		90 [1] - 21:25	amendment [2] - 10:5, 10:15
\$50 [2] - 18:20, 19:24	3	922(g)(1 [1] - 25:8	Amendment [2] - 25:3, 30:10
		922(g)(3 [1] - 31:25	amendments [1] - 10:2
1		924(a)(1)(A [1] - 15:20	America [1] - 3:3
	316 [1] - 22:12	99 [1] - 19:4	AMERICA [1] - 1:3
la ::: 22:12	348 [1] - 22:16	9928 [1] - 23:16	amount [1] - 21:20
/s [1] - 33:12	366 [1] - 22:21	9983 [1] - 22:13	anticipate [1] - 27:7
•	3rd [7] - 7:12, 7:19, 8:6, 8:7,	9:40 [1] - 32:23	anyway [1] - 20:19
0	8:8, 8:17, 8:19		apologize [2] - 10:5, 20:10
		A	APPEARANCES [1] - 1:9
0120 [1] - 18:19	4		appeared [3] - 1:11, 1:13,
0120[1] 10.10		_	5:1
4		a.m [2] - 1:18, 32:23	
1	425 [1] - 1:12	abandoning [1] - 25:10	applicable [5] - 25:14, 26:10
	439 [1] - 23:1	ability [1] - 33:4	26:15, 27:2, 28:5
1 [16] - 1:10, 2:3, 11:2, 11:10,	4473 [7] - 7:7, 15:23, 15:25,	able [1] - 15:13	applied [15] - 4:16, 4:22,
12:11, 13:3, 13:5, 13:6, 13:18,	28:25, 30:19, 31:15, 32:11	accept [2] - 12:10, 12:21	4:24, 5:9, 6:6, 6:14, 8:2, 9:8,
16:24, 17:23, 18:21, 19:3,	4473s [1] - 16:24	acceptance [4] - 5:18, 7:9,	25:4, 25:9, 25:12, 25:19, 26:3
9:17, 20:16, 26:5	47 [1] - 3:11	7:16, 7:19	30:9, 31:10
10 [2] - 10:9, 21:12	4:30 [1] - 20:5	accepted [1] - 12:13	apply [3] - 7:13, 8:18, 27:12
• • •		acknowledges [5] - 5:6,	argue [5] - 7:12, 25:1, 25:13,
100 [1] - 19:11	5	14:20, 14:23, 15:23, 16:18	28:8, 28:15
1010 [1] - 1:12	•		argued [2] - 6:16, 27:22
10541 [2] - 1:24, 33:14		acquittal [1] - 24:22	argues [1] - 31:16
11 [2] - 14:8, 15:12	5 [1] - 19:24	action [2] - 33:7, 33:9	arguing [2] - 25:22, 26:20
111 [2] - 1:10, 1:17	50 [3] - 18:20, 20:3, 21:6	Adam [1] - 3:6	argument [11] - 4:21, 5:3,
1127 [1] - 22:6	52 [4] - 3:12, 9:18, 11:10,	ADAM [1] - 1:10	5:23, 5:24, 13:19, 26:5, 26:24
11th [1] - 14:22	17:22	add [1] - 7:18	28:1, 29:7, 29:16, 29:17
12 [1] - 19:1	52401 [2] - 1:10, 1:12	addict [6] - 4:18, 4:23, 7:6,	arguments [6] - 7:5, 24:22,
13 [1] - 2:3	52410 [2] - 1:24, 33:14	27:13, 27:19, 31:10	25:13, 25:15, 25:18, 27:8
15 [2] - 17:1, 17:22	55 [3] - 3:13, 11:15, 14:1	addicted [8] - 16:3, 17:3,	
1545 [1] - 19:5	57 [1] - 3:14	27:8, 27:10, 31:19, 31:22,	as-applied [6] - 4:16, 4:22,
162 [1] - 19:14	576 [1] - 23:9	31:24, 32:1	6:6, 9:8, 25:12, 25:19
163 [3] - 19:21, 19:25, 20:6		addition [1] - 9:24	Assistant [1] - 3:5
164 [1] - 20:1	5877 [1] - 18:23	additional [12] - 9:23, 9:25,	assisted [1] - 8:8
165 [2] - 20:9, 20:11	•	10:20, 11:1, 11:21, 12:4, 12:6,	ATF [7] - 7:7, 15:22, 15:25,
166 [1] - 20:12	6	12:8, 12:12, 12:23, 13:1, 13:8	16:24, 28:25, 30:19, 32:11
167 [1] - 20:17		additionally [3] - 16:23,	attached [1] - 11:2
	6 [1] - 17:22	30:19, 31:13	attention [1] - 18:16
168 [1] - 20:24	60 [2] - 3:15, 21:23	addressed [1] - 26:25	ATTORNEY [2] - 1:10, 1:12
169 [2] - 21:5, 21:8	00 [2] - 3.13, 21.23	adjourn [1] - 32:16	attorney [1] - 33:8
16th [1] - 1:17	7	admission [1] - 13:3	Attorney [2] - 3:6, 3:7
17-CV-2641 [1] - 25:7	7	admits [5] - 15:3, 15:4, 15:6,	Attorney's [1] - 1:10
170 [1] - 21:11			August [6] - 14:8, 14:21,
171 [1] - 21:18	7 [1] - 18:21	16:11, 30:12	14:22, 15:6, 15:12, 33:10
18 [1] - 25:7	7/18/24 [1] - 1:21	admitted [1] - 13:5	authorities [2] - 8:9, 8:10
1938 [1] - 19:16	705 [1] - 23:14	admitting [1] - 11:14	authority [1] - 28:12
		advanced [1] - 29:18	Avenue [2] - 1:10, 1:17
2	72 [1] - 21:22	advisement [1] - 32:14	avoid [1] - 8:12
	768 [1] - 23:22	afterwards [1] - 19:24	
	771 [1] - 23:25	agree [3] - 6:23, 10:15, 11:11	aware [1] - 26:14
2 [8] - 7:11, 7:15, 7:22, 7:24,	777 [3] - 17:25, 18:4, 24:3	agreed [2] - 11:16, 32:5	
:5, 18:18, 27:6, 27:19	_	agreement [4] - 11:14,	В
2021 [2] - 10:10, 10:12	8	11:19, 12:19, 13:4	
2022 [11] - 10:10, 10:13,		agreements [1] - 12:17	bar [1] - 12:12
4:8, 14:21, 14:22, 15:5, 15:6,	0/0/04 *** 4.04	agrees [1] - 15:11	
15:13, 15:21	8/8/24 [1] - 1:21	alcoholism [1] - 25:11	barring [1] - 12:23
2023 [1] - 1:17	8940 [1] - 22:2		based [10] - 8:16, 9:6, 9:16,
2024 [1] - 33:10	8:58 [1] - 1:18	ALEXANDER [1] - 1:6	11:9, 17:13, 25:10, 26:4,
209 [1] - 22:1	8th [1] - 33:10	Alexander [1] - 3:3 allocate [1] - 8:13	28:16, 32:2
		2110C2T0 [4] - X:1:X	basing [1] - 13:16

for a complete copy of the transcript.

Case 1:23-cr-00036-CJW-MAR Document 99 Filed 08/08/24 Page 34 of 40

basis [1] - 12:17 Bechtold [1] - 22:7 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:16 beginning [1] - 31:7 behalf [3] - 1:11, 1:13, 10:3 bench [19] - 3:4, 3:10, 4:10, 4:14, 8:16, 8:19, 8:20, 8:25, 9:10, 9:14, 9:21, 9:24, 12:3, 12:9, 13:1, 31:8, 33:3, 33:4, 33.5 **BENCH** [1] - 1:15 benefit [1] - 21:16 best [1] - 33:4 better [2] - 20:5, 20:18 between [3] - 11:19, 26:7, 29:16 beyond [2] - 17:16, 32:6 bit [1] - 23:5 blow [2] - 22:8, 23:17 blue [11] - 19:1, 19:5, 19:12, 19:15, 20:1, 22:1, 22:5, 22:12, 22:16, 23:14, 23:22 **bottom** [5] - 8:23, 18:12, 22:1, 22:5, 22:21 Box [3] - 1:10, 1:24, 33:14 box [4] - 19:15, 23:10, 23:25, 24.4 brief [15] - 3:13, 3:14, 4:20, 6:18, 10:6, 11:2, 11:15, 14:17, 26:4, 26:11, 27:6, 28:1, 28:10, 29.23 Brief [2] - 9:5, 29:13 briefly [2] - 13:22, 27:22 briefs [1] - 25:17 bring [5] - 19:19, 19:23, 20:13, 22:11, 28:9 bro [2] - 19:8, 19:13 **bucks** [2] - 21:6, 21:23 bud [5] - 18:25, 19:7, 19:18, 22:9. 23:24 buddy [1] - 18:24 burden [1] - 29:6 buy [1] - 23:17

C

C.J [2] - 1:16, 33:3
call [2] - 20:25, 21:9
cannot [2] - 28:8, 30:23
card [1] - 20:3
case [25] - 3:4, 3:10, 5:17,
6:20, 6:24, 8:1, 13:5, 13:21,
13:23, 17:1, 24:15, 24:22,
25:5, 25:6, 25:12, 25:13,
25:20, 26:14, 27:15, 28:14,
29:15, 29:19, 30:9, 32:3, 32:6
cases [5] - 26:5, 26:13,
26:16, 26:20, 29:21
cash [3] - 20:8, 21:4, 21:12
Cedar [6] - 1:10, 1:12, 1:18,

1:24, 15:12, 33:14 certainly [1] - 7:8 Certified [2] - 1:19, 33:2 certify [2] - 33:2, 33:7 challenge [11] - 4:16, 4:17, 6:6, 6:24, 7:8, 7:25, 8:1, 9:8, 25:19, 30:10, 31:8 challenged [1] - 5:3 challenging [3] - 6:11, 6:25, 7.6 changes [1] - 10:1 charged [4] - 14:4, 15:18, 24:15, 31:14 charges [1] - 24:14 chill [2] - 19:13, 22:9 chilling [1] - 22:8 Circuit [14] - 6:1, 14:18, 17:15, 26:5, 26:8, 26:14, 26:25, 28:6, 29:23, 29:24, 30:24, 31:3, 31:4, 32:4 circumstance [1] - 8:2 circumstances [3] - 7:24, 26:3, 30:3 cite [1] - 28:8 cites [2] - 26:13, 26:21 **clarification** [2] - 4:8, 9:7 clarified [1] - 5:23 clarify [1] - 4:19 **clarifying** [1] - 6:10 class [1] - 19:17 clause [1] - 12:15 clearly [1] - 11:13 close [1] - 22:10 closing [2] - 24:22, 29:7 cocaine [8] - 14:23, 15:1, 16:13, 24:11, 30:12, 30:16, 31:2, 31:5 coke [1] - 24:1 collateral [1] - 27:17 **collection** [1] - 11:3 commencing [1] - 1:18 **commentary** [1] - 7:23 commerce [1] - 15:16 **common** [2] - 12:15, 30:22 **commonsense** [4] - 29:20, 30:15, 31:21, 32:5 complete [3] - 12:18, 13:15, 32:13 Completed [1] - 1:21

completely [1] - 9:17

complicated [1] - 5:5

comports [1] - 28:12

concentrate [1] - 27:23

concluded [1] - 32:23

concludes [1] - 32:22

conditions [1] - 28:18

conference [4] - 9:15, 9:20,

conduct [1] - 4:25

12:5, 12:24

concedes [1] - 16:5

Congress [1] - 28:3 conjunctive [1] - 27:11 **connection** [1] - 18:2 Connor [1] - 24:6 consider [2] - 12:3, 24:25 considered [4] - 11:12, 11:17, 12:1, 26:9 consistent [2] - 13:17, 24:10 consistently [1] - 15:7 consists [1] - 13:17 constituted [1] - 5:25 Constitution [1] - 25:3 constitutional [1] - 7:25 constitutionality [1] - 4:16 constitutionally [1] - 26:19 contact [1] - 22:10 contained [2] - 11:17, 17:4 contains [1] - 3:18 contemplated [1] - 12:4 context [1] - 6:13 contracts [2] - 12:15, 12:16 contrary [1] - 28:6 controlled [36] - 5:5, 5:11, 5:13. 6:2. 6:4. 7:2. 7:3. 14:15. 14:19, 15:2, 16:4, 16:12, 16:20, 24:13, 25:25, 26:12, 26:15, 27:2, 27:20, 28:3, 28:4, 28:16, 29:20, 29:21, 29:25, 30:6, 30:8, 30:15, 30:17, 30:23, 31:5, 31:11, 31:22, 32:1, 32:10 conversation [2] - 5:22, 9:1 conversations [1] - 4:13 convictions [1] - 25:9 copy [1] - 18:11 correct [5] - 6:9, 7:14, 10:11, 18:13, 18:14 counsel [5] - 5:22, 6:8, 7:22, 10:7, 33:8 **count** [4] - 14:5, 14:6, 15:18, 31:14 Count [3] - 26:5, 27:6, 27:19 counts [4] - 14:4, 17:19, 24:17, 32:9 **COURT** [32] - 1:1, 3:2, 3:25, 4:4, 4:11, 6:21, 7:17, 8:22, 9:4, 9:11, 9:13, 10:10, 10:14, 10:17, 10:22, 10:25, 11:7, 11:23, 12:10, 13:7, 13:11, 13:13, 17:20, 18:14, 20:9, 24:18, 24:25, 29:5, 29:11, 32:12, 32:19, 32:21 Court [24] - 1:23, 3:2, 3:9, 4:1, 4:13, 8:13, 8:21, 11:13, 11:18, 12:3, 12:21, 13:16, 17:25, 25:7, 25:21, 25:22, 27:3, 27:15, 27:16, 27:23, 29:22, 30:14, 31:20, 33:13

conferred [1] - 10:7

conflate [1] - 28:21

confusing [1] - 6:18

court [3] - 3:1, 12:18, 18:1 Court's [1] - 18:16 Courtney [1] - 28:14 creates [1] - 28:10 criminalize [1] - 26:22 crossed [1] - 15:15 CSR [2] - 1:23, 33:13

D

dab [1] - 19:6 Dakota [2] - 26:22, 26:23 Dale [2] - 18:23, 19:2 **Dallas** [2] - 19:16, 21:12 dangerousness [1] - 27:24 date [2] - 14:12, 15:24 deal [1] - 20:19 dealer [3] - 15:24, 16:6, 16:7 dealing [1] - 27:24 Deaton [2] - 18:23, 19:2 decide [3] - 4:2, 6:17, 20:5 decision [1] - 3:25 decriminalized [1] - 17:8 deem [1] - 24:21 defendant [56] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:17, 3:19, 5:1, 6:14, 7:24, 8:8, 14:9, 14:11, 14:20, 14:25, 15:11, 15:18, 16:11, 16:16, 16:24, 17:10, 17:18, 18:19, 18:24, 19:3, 19:9, 19:16, 19:22, 20:2, 20:4, 20:7, 20:13, 20:16, 20:18, 20:21, 20:25, 21:2, 21:6, 21:11, 21:14, 21:19, 21:23, 22:7, 22:18, 23:2, 23:4, 23:11, 23:17, 24:4, 24:8, 30:9, 30:13, 30:17, 30:20, 31:1, 31:11, 31:16, 32:4, 32:8 **DEFENDANT** [2] - 3:24, 4:3 **Defendant** [2] - 1:7, 1:13 defendant's [21] - 4:20, 4:24, 5:4, 15:9, 17:24, 18:9, 21:20, 21:25, 22:2, 22:6, 22:14, 22:20, 22:23, 22:25, 23:3, 23:7, 23:16, 23:19, 24:10, 31:4, 31:14 defense [7] - 3:7, 3:13, 5:22, 6:8, 6:19, 7:21, 10:7 defined [1] - 14:17 defines [1] - 27:8 definition [1] - 15:13 **Department** [1] - 28:13 depressant [1] - 16:3 determined [1] - 27:4 direct [2] - 18:16, 25:18 discuss [1] - 26:16 discussed [3] - 12:5, 26:11, 31:7 discusses [1] - 26:14

disjunctive [2] - 27:10, 31:24

Contact Patrice Murray at PAMurrayReporting@gmail.com

dismiss [2] - 25:11, 25:16 dismissed [1] - 25:2 dispute [4] - 5:2, 5:16, 5:20, disputing [1] - 25:21 distinction [1] - 29:16 **District** [5] - 14:9, 15:21, 15:25, 16:7, 25:5 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:1 doc [1] - 11:15 dock [1] - 11:10 document [7] - 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 9:18, 13:25, 17:21 documents [1] - 25:18 dollar [2] - 21:3 done [1] - 4:15 doubt [2] - 17:17, 32:7 down [2] - 26:1, 27:9 download [1] - 18:4 draft [1] - 12:15 drawn [1] - 25:23 drink [1] - 19:7 drive [1] - 21:16 drug [2] - 16:4, 26:7 drugs [1] - 26:6 during [9] - 4:13, 9:19, 12:5, 12:24, 14:24, 15:9, 15:17, 15:19, 16:13 **Dylan** [1] - 18:19 E easily [1] - 12:21 Eastern [1] - 25:5 efficiently [1] - 8:14 Eighth [12] - 6:1, 14:17, 17:15, 26:5, 26:8, 26:14, 26:24, 28:5, 30:24, 31:3, 31:4, 32:3 either [4] - 10:2, 13:8, 15:16, 24:24

element [5] - 5:2, 5:24, 16:5, 27:15, 31:13 elements [11] - 5:16, 6:11, 8:3, 13:24, 14:7, 15:20, 17:16, 27:7, 31:9, 32:2, 32:6 employed [2] - 33:7, 33:8 employee [1] - 33:8 ending 151 - 18:23, 19:5. 22:2. 22:13. 23:15 ends [1] - 18:19 enter [1] - 8:11 entered [3] - 8:15, 9:17, 17:21 error [1] - 10:8 essential [2] - 14:6 essentially [1] - 13:24 **established** [1] - 16:10 evidence [27] - 9:23, 9:25, 10:20, 11:1, 11:5, 11:10,

11:13, 11:14, 11:18, 11:22, 12:2, 12:4, 12:7, 12:8, 12:12, 12:14, 12:20, 12:23, 13:1, 13:8, 13:12, 13:15, 13:16, 13:20, 13:23, 24:23

exclusive [1] - 27:10

excuse [1] - 27:18

exercise [1] - 7:25

Exhibit [10] - 2:3, 11:2, 12:11, 13:3, 13:5, 13:6, 13:18, 16:24, 17:23, 18:21

exhibits [3] - 13:9, 25:21, 29:1

EXHIBITS [1] - 2:2

expel [1] - 15:14

F

explosive [1] - 15:14

face [1] - 25:4 facially [1] - 5:9 facts [11] - 11:12, 11:16, 12:2, 12:13, 25:4, 25:19, 25:22, 25:23, 26:2, 30:9, 30:10 factual [14] - 5:2, 5:11, 5:15, 5:19, 6:11, 6:24, 7:8, 8:3, 9:8, 9:17, 16:10, 31:8, 32:4 **factually** [1] - 6:25 false [4] - 15:19, 16:9, 31:15, 32:10 far [1] - 7:15 FCRR [2] - 1:23, 33:13 federal [7] - 17:6, 26:10, 26:11, 27:1, 27:21, 28:2, 28:5 federally [1] - 16:6 felon [3] - 25:8, 27:16, 27:25 **few** [2] - 18:10, 29:15 Fifth [1] - 29:24 **filed** [2] - 3:10, 6:18 filing [1] - 11:17 fill [1] - 16:25 filled [2] - 15:25, 17:10 finally [1] - 24:3 financially [1] - 33:9 find [4] - 9:25, 13:3, 24:16, finding [1] - 30:15 firearm [12] - 14:5, 14:10, 14:13, 14:14, 15:8, 15:13, 15:20, 17:1, 25:10, 26:8, 27:15, 32:9 firearms [8] - 11:3, 11:4, 14:12, 15:11, 15:15, 15:24, 16:6, 16:15 Firm [1] - 1:12 first [12] - 13:14, 19:11,

five [1] - 15:4 focus [2] - 18:5, 29:15 following [5] - 3:1, 11:12, 12:13, 19:11, 23:6 follows [1] - 17:5 food [2] - 19:18, 21:21 FOR [1] - 1:1 foregoing [1] - 33:5 form [6] - 13:9, 16:1, 16:24, 17:10, 27:12, 31:15 Form [5] - 15:22, 15:25, 28:25, 30:19, 32:11 forms [2] - 7:7, 17:2 forth [1] - 25:20 forward [1] - 18:25 four [1] - 15:20 fourth [1] - 16:16 Fourth [1] - 29:23 frequency [2] - 26:17, 27:3 friend [3] - 22:8, 22:10, 24:2 full [1] - 30:6

G

Garland [1] - 25:7 general [1] - 5:14 generally [2] - 5:12, 26:16 **get** [7] - 7:24, 8:4, 19:18, 21:12, 22:3, 27:3, 32:15 give [5] - 20:3, 20:19, 20:20, 21:9, 21:19 given [2] - 9:1, 9:15 giving [1] - 20:18 government [27] - 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 5:6, 5:17, 5:20, 6:11, 7:11, 8:7, 8:12, 8:13, 8:18, 9:9, 10:6, 10:18, 11:2, 11:21, 13:7, 14:2, 24:16, 26:4, 26:13, 26:21, 28:9, 29:7, 32:3, 32:7 government's [14] - 3:12, 3:14, 7:13, 7:20, 8:25, 11:1, 13:25, 17:15, 17:17, 27:6, 28:21, 29:1, 29:6, 29:22 Government's [2] - 12:11, green [4] - 23:1, 23:10, 23:25, 24:4 Gremm [1] - 18:19 groceries [1] - 21:3 Gs [2] - 19:24, 24:9 guess [3] - 4:7, 13:14, 24:23 guilty [5] - 8:11, 8:15, 17:18, 24:17, 32:8

Н

Hahahaha [1] - 23:21 half [2] - 18:20 hand [1] - 33:10 hang [1] - 23:4

happening [1] - 4:10 hearing [1] - 28:18 HELD [1] - 1:16 held [2] - 3:1, 33:3 hereby [1] - 33:2 hereto [1] - 33:8 heretofore [1] - 33:3 highlight [1] - 18:11 highlights [1] - 14:1 history [1] - 25:10 hit [2] - 14:1, 19:12 home [1] - 19:24 HON [1] - 1:16 Honor [38] - 3:24, 4:3, 4:7, 6:17, 6:24, 7:21, 9:3, 9:6, 9:12, 10:4, 10:16, 10:20, 10:24, 11:6, 11:8, 11:25, 12:5, 12:6, 13:10, 13:12, 13:22, 13:23, 14:10, 14:16, 17:13, 18:7, 18:11, 20:10, 24:7, 24:16, 24:20, 29:10, 29:14, 29:19, 31:20, 32:8, 32:18, 32:20 Honor's [1] - 18:11

Honor's [1] - 18:11 Honorable [1] - 33:3 host [1] - 28:11 hurry [1] - 20:5

identified [1] - 27:17 **IDK** [1] - 23:7 ignorance [1] - 27:18 illegal [5] - 5:12, 7:4, 17:12, 24:13, 31:6 illegality [1] - 5:14 implicate [1] - 5:18 **IN** [2] - 1:1, 33:10 including [1] - 3:10 inconsistent [1] - 12:24 incorporation [1] - 17:23 incorrect [2] - 6:8, 29:2 **INDEX** [1] - 2:1 indicated [4] - 7:22, 9:21, 14:16, 33:3 indicates [1] - 8:7 indication [1] - 12:25 indictment [1] - 25:2 individual [2] - 14:18, 23:24 **inferences** [1] - 25:23 ingestion [1] - 30:1 inhale [1] - 30:4 instead [1] - 26:19 **instruction** [1] - 28:6 instructions [3] - 6:1, 14:18, 17:14 intended [3] - 12:19, 12:25, 28:3 intent [1] - 9:21 intention [1] - 8:11

Contact Patrice Murray at PAMurrayReporting@gmail.com

19:15, 19:21, 20:1, 20:12,

20:24, 23:14, 23:22, 24:4,

25:5. 28:14

intentional [1] - 30:1 interested [1] - 33:9 interstate [1] - 15:16 investigation [1] - 8:9 involuntarily [2] - 30:3, 30:4 involuntary [1] - 30:7 involved [2] - 24:11, 24:12 IOWA [1] - 1:1 lowa [13] - 1:10, 1:12, 1:18, 1:24, 14:9, 15:22, 15:25, 16:8, 26:11, 26:23, 28:2, 33:2, 33:14 issue [7] - 4:2, 4:15, 4:22, 5:18, 9:9, 26:25, 28:23 issues [2] - 6:19, 28:11 itself [1] - 27:4

J

Jena [1] - 19:8 Jenaya [5] - 22:14, 22:18, 22:23, 23:3, 23:12 JOHN [1] - 1:10 joint [11] - 3:11, 3:17, 3:18, 9:18, 10:2, 11:9, 12:8, 12:11, 13:17, 14:10, 18:8 Joint [2] - 17:23, 18:21 Judge [3] - 11:22, 25:1, 29:4 Judges [1] - 18:2 judgment [2] - 18:2, 24:21 July [2] - 15:6, 15:21 jury [9] - 3:20, 3:23, 4:6, 4:9, 5:21, 6:1, 14:18, 17:14, 28:6 justices [1] - 27:23

Κ

key [1] - 26:24 kind [2] - 14:1, 27:17 knowing [3] - 24:13, 27:15, 30:13 knowingly [6] - 14:9, 15:3, 15:22, 30:11, 30:12, 31:15 knowledge [5] - 5:4, 5:11, 5:16, 5:24, 25:25 Kyle [1] - 23:24

L

LAHAMMER [10] - 1:12, 6:23, 9:12, 10:16, 10:24, 11:8, 13:12, 24:20, 25:1, 32:20 Lahammer [11] - 1:12, 3:8, 4:1, 6:22, 9:11, 10:15, 10:23, 11:7, 13:11, 24:19, 32:19 laid [1] - 13:25 Landon [1] - 24:5 language [5] - 3:18, 11:25, 12:7, 12:11, 31:25

largely [1] - 9:16 last [8] - 20:23, 21:9, 21:19, 21:23, 22:4, 22:22, 23:25, 27:22 launching [1] - 8:24 Law [1] - 1:12 law [23] - 5:13, 5:25, 17:7, 17:14, 26:10, 26:11, 26:12, 26:15, 27:2, 27:17, 27:18, 27:21, 28:5, 28:6, 28:12, 28:23, 29:2, 29:3, 29:18, 30:25, 31:3, 31:4, 32:3 laws [1] - 7:1 lawyers [1] - 12:14 lays [1] - 31:23 least [1] - 7:11 Ledvina [7] - 3:3, 3:22, 6:25, 11:11, 14:4, 25:24, 27:20 **LEDVINA** [1] - 1:6 Ledvina's [1] - 25:2 legal [2] - 4:15, 7:4 legalized [1] - 17:7 level [7] - 7:12, 7:19, 8:6, 8:7, 8:8, 8:17, 8:19 levels [5] - 7:12, 7:15, 7:22, 7:24, 8:5 licensed [1] - 16:6 lieu [1] - 11:9 line [3] - 8:23, 15:9, 19:12 listed [1] - 23:3 loaded [1] - 30:6 located [1] - 17:24 **LOL** [3] - 22:4, 23:8, 24:6 looked [1] - 4:21 looking [5] - 18:24, 19:3, 30:19, 31:13, 31:21 losing [1] - 21:15

М

M'Lynn [1] - 22:3 machine [1] - 1:20 made [7] - 8:2, 15:19, 15:22, 15:25, 16:5, 16:17, 25:16 make [9] - 3:20, 4:5, 6:15, 10:1, 10:2, 21:6, 22:4, 26:18, 29:7 makes [2] - 26:12, 28:2 making [2] - 31:15, 32:10 March [2] - 14:21, 15:5 Marcus [1] - 22:7 marijuana [17] - 14:22, 14:25, 15:4, 15:7, 16:3, 16:13, 17:3, 17:6, 17:12, 24:11, 30:11, 30:16, 30:21, 30:22, 30:23, 31:2, 31:5 Mase [1] - 24:5 Mason [1] - 23:16 matter [6] - 3:2, 3:4, 3:23, 4:20, 9:21, 32:14

mean [7] - 4:22, 4:23, 5:15, 6:13, 20:9, 27:11, 31:10 meaning [1] - 28:7 means [2] - 29:25, 31:16 medicinal [1] - 17:8 memo [2] - 5:1, 13:25 mentioned [1] - 9:19 message [50] - 18:4, 18:18, 18:23, 19:1, 19:2, 19:5, 19:12, 19:14, 19:15, 19:16, 19:19, 19:22, 19:25, 20:1, 20:4, 20:8, 20:12, 20:15, 20:17, 20:21, 20:24, 21:8, 21:14, 21:18, 21:22, 22:1, 22:3, 22:5, 22:12, 22:13, 22:14, 22:17, 22:18, 22:22, 22:23, 23:2, 23:6, 23:10, 23:14, 23:15, 23:16, 23:22, 23:23, 23:25, 24:1, 24:3, 24:4 messages [6] - 17:24, 18:8, 18:10, 20:6, 23:4, 24:7 met [1] - 15:13 MICHAEL [1] - 1:12 Michael [1] - 3:8 middle [5] - 18:22, 19:4, 23:9, 23:23 mine [1] - 22:10 misconduct [1] - 8:10 Missouri [2] - 26:21, 26:22 mistaken [1] - 10:9 moment [2] - 10:22, 29:9 Monday [2] - 4:21, 6:18 money [1] - 21:15 months [1] - 14:21 motion [4] - 18:2, 24:21, 25:11, 25:16 move [3] - 3:16, 8:8, 10:25 moving [23] - 8:18, 11:5, 18:21. 19:4. 19:14. 19:21. 20:6, 20:17, 20:24, 21:5, 21:11, 21:18, 21:22, 22:1,

22:5, 22:12, 22:16, 22:21, 23:1, 23:9, 23:14, 23:22, 23:25

MR [28] - 4:7, 4:12, 6:23, 7:21, 9:2, 9:6, 9:12, 10:4, 10:11, 10:16, 10:19, 10:24, 11:6, 11:8, 11:25, 13:10, 13:12, 13:22, 18:7, 18:15, 20:10, 24:20, 25:1, 29:9, 29:12, 29:14, 32:18, 32:20 multiple [1] - 14:12

Murray [5] - 1:19, 1:23, 33:2, 33:12, 33:13

must [3] - 12:3, 27:1, 32:3 mutually [1] - 27:10

Ν

name [1] - 23:24

narcotic [1] - 16:4 nature [1] - 6:7 necessarily [1] - 29:25 need [5] - 8:23, 19:23, 22:9, 26:17, 31:19 needs [5] - 5:17, 6:11, 13:24, 14:2, 22:10 Netflix [1] - 23:13 never [2] - 16:20, 26:25 nevertheless [1] - 9:22 new [1] - 11:14 next [4] - 19:14, 19:19, 19:21. 20:21 nexus [2] - 26:7, 26:18 **none** [1] - 26:13 Northern [4] - 14:8, 15:21, 15:24, 16:7 **NORTHERN** [1] - 1:1 note [2] - 13:14, 25:5 nothing [1] - 10:19 **notice** [2] - 3:10, 30:20 notifying [1] - 8:10 noting [1] - 27:14 November [1] - 1:17 **number** [24] - 3:4, 3:11, 3:12, 3:13, 3:14, 9:18, 17:22, 18:12, 18:16, 18:18, 18:23, 18:25, 19:5, 19:15, 22:2, 22:6, 22:13, 22:17, 22:23, 23:3, 23:11, 23:15, 23:20, 24:5

0

object [2] - 7:14, 11:8 objected [1] - 13:2 **OF** [2] - 1:1, 1:3 offense [1] - 17:16 offer [3] - 9:22, 9:23, 13:13 offered [4] - 11:13, 11:18, 12:9. 12:14 Office [1] - 1:10 often [1] - 12:16 once [5] - 18:1, 22:16, 23:2, 23:10, 24:15 one [14] - 5:16, 9:2, 10:8, 14:4, 14:8, 15:21, 22:19, 22:24, 26:21, 29:9, 29:16, 29:19, 29:23 ones [1] - 25:15 onset [1] - 25:12 open [2] - 3:1, 9:22 opposed [1] - 5:14 Ordered [1] - 1:21 **OWI** [1] - 25:9 own [2] - 8:10, 11:15 ownership [1] - 25:10

Ρ

PAGE [1] - 2:2

Contact Patrice Murray at PAMurrayReporting@gmail.com

17:6, 25:8, 26:8, 26:23, 27:16, page [52] - 3:21, 6:16, 7:15, real [1] - 19:7 ruling [2] - 13:16, 32:15 28:16, 28:21, 28:24, 29:17, 11:10, 17:22, 18:12, 18:16, reason [2] - 7:4, 7:8 Ruth [1] - 19:6 18:18, 18:21, 18:22, 19:1, reasonable [2] - 17:17, 32:7 19:4, 19:11, 19:14, 19:15, possessor [1] - 28:23 S reasons [1] - 29:2 19:19, 19:21, 19:25, 20:1, preclude [1] - 12:8 rebuttal [2] - 29:7, 29:16 20:6, 20:12, 20:17, 20:24, preparing [2] - 8:12, 8:20 received [2] - 3:9, 13:6 **S.E** [3] - 1:10, 1:12, 1:18 21:5, 21:8, 21:11, 21:18, prescribed [1] - 14:25 receiving [1] - 4:20 score [1] - 24:6 21:22. 22:1. 22:5. 22:6. 22:12. prescription [7] - 6:3, 6:5, recent [1] - 25:5 screw [1] - 20:22 22:16, 22:21, 22:22, 23:1, 7:3, 14:19, 16:21, 30:25, 31:1 recitation [1] - 25:15 **se** [1] - 6:12 23:9, 23:10, 23:14, 23:15, present [5] - 3:7, 11:21, recognizes [2] - 6:25, 7:2 second [18] - 9:2, 15:18, 23:22, 23:23, 23:25, 24:1, 13:1, 13:8, 13:19 record [4] - 4:5, 6:9, 9:7, 19:1, 19:4, 19:25, 20:4, 20:8, 24:3. 24:4 presently [1] - 5:25 33:5 20:15, 21:8, 21:14, 22:12, pages [2] - 17:25, 18:4 preserve [3] - 4:15, 7:9, 9:8 records [1] - 11:3 22:16, 22:22, 23:10, 23:15, PAMurrayReporting@ preserved [1] - 7:11 recreational [1] - 17:8 28:17, 31:13, 31:14 gmail.com [1] - 1:25 preserving [1] - 8:4 refer [1] - 18:15 **Second** [3] - 1:12, 25:3, paragraph [2] - 10:9, 17:22 pretty [1] - 11:20 reference [1] - 17:22 30:10 paragraphs [1] - 10:8 prices [1] - 24:9 referring [1] - 18:17 section [1] - 15:20 part [1] - 9:23 proceed [1] - 9:14 reflected [2] - 10:5, 16:23 **see** [2] - 14:10, 19:18 particularly [2] - 7:5, 25:24 **proceeding** [1] - 9:9 reflects [1] - 10:12 seized [2] - 15:12, 18:9 parties [16] - 8:24, 9:15, proceedings [3] - 3:1, 33:4, regarding [5] - 4:21, 5:4, sense [2] - 26:9, 30:22 9:18, 9:22, 11:16, 11:20, 33:5 5:16, 7:19, 13:20 sentencing [1] - 7:12 12:17, 12:19, 12:21, 12:25, **Proceedings** [1] - 32:23 regardless [1] - 17:7 series [1] - 17:23 13:4, 13:14, 17:21, 33:7, 33:8 prohibit [2] - 7:1, 28:19 regular [1] - 12:17 **set** [3] - 25:17, 25:20, 33:10 parties' [1] - 3:9 prohibited [2] - 5:13, 14:14 regularly [1] - 26:6 setting [1] - 9:20 party [2] - 10:2, 13:2 prohibits [4] - 26:15, 28:24, regulation [3] - 28:7, 28:10, Seventh [2] - 1:10, 1:17 Patrice [5] - 1:19, 1:23, 33:2, 29:3, 29:18 ship [1] - 8:24 33:12, 33:13 **projectile** [1] - 15:14 Rehaif [3] - 5:3, 7:5, 27:14 **Shorthand** [2] - 1:19, 33:2 pause [2] - 9:5, 29:13 prosecution [1] - 8:9 related [2] - 27:15, 33:7 shorthand [2] - 1:20, 33:4 pay [1] - 21:2 prove [3] - 6:12, 14:2, 32:3 relative [1] - 33:8 show [1] - 24:7 pen [1] - 19:6 proven [5] - 5:17, 13:24, release [2] - 28:18, 28:19 **showing** [2] - 27:20, 28:17 Pennsylvania [1] - 25:6 14:3, 17:16, 32:6 relevant [1] - 29:1 **shows** [1] - 13:20 people [2] - 20:19, 24:7 provided [1] - 17:25 remains [1] - 17:6 sic [1] - 20:6 **per** [1] - 6:12 provision [1] - 12:18 reminded [1] - 17:11 **sign** [3] - 12:17, 16:25, 30:20 period [4] - 14:21, 14:24, proximity [1] - 27:4 **reply** [7] - 3:14, 10:6, 11:2, signed [2] - 3:19, 27:12 16:13, 16:14 purchase [3] - 11:4, 15:19, 14:17, 28:1, 28:10, 29:23 simple [1] - 8:6 **permissible** [1] - 26:19 16:25 reported [2] - 1:19, 33:4 simply [1] - 12:12 permitted [1] - 30:14 purposes [1] - 17:8 Reporter [4] - 1:20, 1:23, single [1] - 17:9 permitting [2] - 8:11, 8:13 33:2, 33:13 sister [1] - 20:3 person [1] - 26:6 Q representation [7] - 15:22, **six** [2] - 14:21, 15:4 person's [1] - 25:10 16:1, 16:6, 16:9, 16:16, 16:17 skim [1] - 27:6 personally [1] - 3:7 represented [2] - 3:5, 3:7 qualify [1] - 31:12 smoke [4] - 19:6, 22:15, **phone** [9] - 17:24, 18:9, 22:2, requests [1] - 32:8 quarter [1] - 20:20 23:7, 23:13 22:6, 22:14, 22:23, 23:3, requirement [2] - 26:17, 28:8 questioned [1] - 5:7 smoked [1] - 19:7 23:11, 23:16 requires [1] - 27:19 smoking [1] - 30:11 **pigs** [1] - 18:3 reside [1] - 17:9 R **snow** [3] - 19:3, 24:6, 24:8 place [2] - 33:3, 33:6 residence [1] - 15:12 sole [1] - 12:2 plain [3] - 12:7, 28:6 resources [1] - 8:14 someone [3] - 6:2, 22:9, 28:4 **Plaintiff** [1] - 1:4 respectfully [1] - 32:7 Rahimi [1] - 27:22 soon [1] - 32:15 plea [3] - 8:11, 8:15, 12:16 responds [2] - 21:2, 21:11 raised [1] - 5:8 sorry [1] - 18:20 pleadings [1] - 3:9 Rapids [6] - 1:10, 1:12, 1:18, response [8] - 19:9, 21:20, South [2] - 26:22, 26:23 PO [2] - 1:24, 33:14 1:24, 15:12, 33:14 21:25, 22:20, 22:25, 23:7, speaking [1] - 7:10 point [4] - 14:23, 14:24, rare [1] - 7:23 23:19, 23:21 specifically [7] - 4:12, 4:17, 15:16, 28:14 rather [1] - 25:12 responsibility [2] - 5:19, 7:9 5:3, 11:10, 16:2, 28:19, 30:8 position [4] - 7:20, 17:15, reviewed [1] - 9:19 reached [1] - 13:4 $\textbf{spend} \ [4] \textbf{ - } 20\text{:}2, \ 20\text{:}16, \ 21\text{:}4,$ 17:18, 18:6 revocation [1] - 28:18 reaching [1] - 24:8 21.13 possess [1] - 7:3 read [1] - 12:23 **RMR** [2] - 1:23, 33:13 spent [1] - 21:23 possessed [3] - 14:9, 14:13, reading [2] - 8:6, 8:17 rocket [1] - 8:24 **square** [1] - 19:5 reads [4] - 17:5, 22:3, 22:7, **roll** [1] - 22:19 **stabs** [1] - 30:6 possessing [1] - 14:14 rolled [1] - 22:24 standpoint [3] - 5:10, 5:14, possession [17] - 7:1, 14:5, ready [1] - 22:15 root [1] - 18:3 14:11, 15:10, 15:17, 16:14,

stars [1] - 20:14 state [9] - 15:8, 17:9, 17:14, 18:8, 26:10, 26:15, 27:2, 27:21, 28:5 State [1] - 33:2 statement [4] - 15:19, 29:2, 31:15, 32:10 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:3 States [6] - 1:11, 3:3, 3:5, 3:6, 10:3, 11:11 stating [1] - 27:12 status [5] - 4:13, 9:15, 9:20, 12:5, 12:24 statute [3] - 26:18, 28:2, 28:22 statutes [2] - 4:17, 26:2 **STFU** [1] - 23:21 still [4] - 7:24, 15:7, 25:13, 28:1 **stimulant** [1] - 16:3 stipulate [1] - 11:11 stipulated [6] - 11:16, 15:15, 26:2, 30:11, 30:17, 32:5 stipulates [3] - 6:3, 14:11, 16:11 stipulation [24] - 3:12, 3:17, 3:18, 9:17, 9:18, 9:24, 10:3, 10:18, 10:19, 10:23, 11:9, 12:8, 12:12, 12:22, 13:18, 14:11, 14:20, 15:3, 15:10, 15:23, 17:21, 18:8, 24:10, 25:20 stipulations [3] - 16:10, 17:13, 32:4 **STOEP** [20] - 1:10, 4:7, 4:12, 7:21, 9:2, 9:6, 10:4, 10:11, 10:19, 11:6, 11:25, 13:10, 13:22, 18:7, 18:15, 20:10, 29:9, 29:12, 29:14, 32:18 **Stoep** [9] - 3:6, 4:6, 6:21, 7:18, 11:5, 11:24, 13:19, 17:20, 32:17 store [1] - 21:7 straight [1] - 19:23 straightforward [1] - 11:20 stranger [1] - 22:11 Street [1] - 1:12 subjective [1] - 25:24 submit [1] - 27:18 submitted [2] - 24:23, 29:22 substance [20] - 5:5, 14:16, 16:4, 27:2, 27:21, 28:3, 28:4, 28:16, 29:20, 29:21, 29:25, 30:1, 30:2, 30:6, 30:8, 30:16, 30:24, 31:5, 31:19, 32:1 **substances** [24] - 5:12, 5:13, 6:2. 6:4. 7:2. 7:3. 14:19. 15:2. 15:4, 16:12, 16:19, 16:20, 16:21, 24:14, 25:25, 26:12, 26:16, 30:16, 30:17, 31:11, 31:22, 32:10

Suite [1] - 1:12 summary [1] - 18:2 supervised [2] - 28:17, 28:18 supplement [1] - 28:8 supports [3] - 18:5, 24:12, 24:14 Supreme [3] - 27:14, 27:16, 27:23 synonymous [1] - 31:17 syringe [2] - 30:6, 30:7

T

talk [2] - 3:16, 23:7

talked [1] - 9:20

talking [3] - 4:1, 7:7, 24:9 talks [3] - 7:22, 29:24, 30:2 Taylor [2] - 23:16, 23:19 **Taylor's** [1] - 23:21 temporal [3] - 26:7, 26:17, 27:3 **term** [6] - 5:7, 6:8, 6:12, 15:1, 26:18, 31:17 terms [3] - 4:18, 12:7, 27:9 testimony [1] - 13:9 text [4] - 17:24, 18:4, 18:8, 18:10 that'll [1] - 20:20 **THC** [3] - 14:23, 15:1, 31:2 **THE** [36] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:16, 3:2, 3:24, 3:25, 4:3, 4:4, 4:11, 6:21, 7:17, 8:22, 9:4, 9:11, 9:13, 10:10, 10:14, 10:17, 10:22, 10:25, 11:7, 11:23, 12:10, 13:7, 13:11, 13:13, 17:20, 18:14, 20:9, 24:18, 24:25, 29:5, 29:11, 32:12, 32:19, 32:21 thereby [1] - 8:11 therefore [1] - 31:17 third [2] - 15:8, 16:9 Thirston [16] - 19:16, 19:22, 20:2, 20:4, 20:7, 20:12, 20:15, 20:18, 20:21, 20:24, 21:5, 21:8, 21:12, 21:15, 21:18, 21:22 three [3] - 14:6, 20:14, 26:21 thumbed [1] - 18:1 tied [2] - 25:19, 28:22 timely [1] - 8:10 Title [1] - 25:7 today [6] - 9:7, 9:10, 24:15, 25:15, 25:18, 31:7 top [6] - 19:11, 19:14, 22:13, 22:17, 23:1, 24:3 towards [2] - 22:21, 25:19 town [1] - 21:16 tracks [1] - 31:25 tradition [1] - 25:9

transaction [1] - 11:3 transcribed [1] - 33:4 Transcript [2] - 1:21, 1:21 transcript [1] - 33:5 transported [1] - 15:8 Treasury [3] - 28:7, 28:10, 28:13 TRIAL [1] - 1:15 trial [41] - 3:5, 3:10, 3:12, 3:13, 3:16, 3:20, 4:6, 4:9, 4:10, 4:15, 5:9, 5:21, 7:25, 8:12, 8:16, 8:19, 8:20, 8:25, 9:10, 9:14, 9:16, 9:21, 9:24, 10:5, 10:6, 11:10, 11:15, 12:3, 12:9, 13:2, 13:9, 13:25, 25:16, 29:19, 31:8, 32:13, 32:16, 32:22, 33:3, 33:4, 33:5 tried [1] - 3:23 true [3] - 11:12, 11:17, 33:5 truffles [1] - 18:3 tryin' [1] - 22:9 trying [2] - 28:15, 28:21 Tuesday [1] - 25:6 turning [2] - 20:1, 20:12 two [5] - 14:4, 14:13, 16:5, 24:17, 29:21 type [1] - 30:12 typo [1] - 10:12 typographical [1] - 10:8

U

U.S [4] - 1:10, 25:3, 27:14, 27:22 **UA**[1] - 28:16 ultimate [1] - 6:19 ultimately [1] - 8:15 unconstitutional [2] - 6:13, 25:8 unconstitutionally [4] -4:25, 6:14, 26:2, 26:20 under [10] - 5:3, 7:5, 8:2, 15:1, 17:6, 25:4, 26:1, 31:3, 32:14 underneath [1] - 17:4 understood [2] - 8:22, 9:14 UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:3 United [6] - 1:11, 3:3, 3:5, 3:6, 10:3, 11:11 unlawful [50] - 4:18, 4:23, 5:5, 5:7, 5:15, 6:1, 6:7, 7:6, 14:5, 14:15, 14:17, 15:1, 15:2, 16:2, 17:3, 17:6, 17:12, 24:13, 26:1, 26:6, 26:9, 26:12, 26:18, 27:1, 27:5, 27:8, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 28:3, 28:4, 28:7, 28:22, 28:23, 29:21, 30:13, 30:18, 30:21, 30:22, 31:3, 31:6, 31:10, 31:12, 31:17, 31:18, 31:19, 31:22, 31:24, 31:25,

32:9 untrue [1] - 16:17 **up** [7] - 9:22, 10:21, 14:21, 15:5, 20:5, 28:9, 30:5 usage [8] - 26:9, 30:7, 30:12, 30:13, 31:1, 31:2, 31:4, 31:11 use [27] - 5:5, 5:12, 17:5, 17:12, 24:13, 25:25, 26:7, 26:10, 26:12, 26:15, 26:17, 26:25, 27:2, 27:4, 27:20, 28:2, 28:19, 28:22, 28:24, 29:3, 29:17, 29:18, 29:20, 30:14, 30:15, 30:22, 31:20 used [3] - 28:17, 29:20, 30:4 user [33] - 4:18, 4:23, 5:7, 5:15, 6:1, 6:7, 7:6, 14:5, 14:15, 14:17, 15:1, 15:2, 16:2, 17:3, 26:6, 26:18, 27:8, 27:9, 27:13, 27:19, 27:25, 28:4, 28:7, 28:22, 31:10, 31:12, 31:17, 31:18, 31:20, 31:22, 31:24, 32:1, 32:9 uses [4] - 6:2, 14:18, 26:6, 28:4 using [16] - 1:20, 6:3, 14:22, 15:3, 15:4, 15:7, 16:11, 16:18, 16:22, 24:11, 29:24, 30:8, 30:12, 30:21, 30:23 usual [1] - 23:8

V

vague [5] - 4:25, 6:7, 6:14,

26:3, 26:20 vagueness [4] - 4:17, 5:8, 7:6, 8:1 **valid** [6] - 6:2, 6:5, 7:4, 14:19, 30:25, 31:1 **VANDER** [20] - 1:10, 4:7, 4:12, 7:21, 9:2, 9:6, 10:4, 10:11, 10:19, 11:6, 11:25, 13:10, 13:22, 18:7, 18:15, 20:10, 29:9, 29:12, 29:14, 32.18 Vander [9] - 3:6, 4:6, 6:21, 7:18, 11:5, 11:24, 13:19, 17:20, 32:17 versus [3] - 3:3, 10:10, 29:17 violate [1] - 11:15 violated [1] - 26:10 violates [2] - 11:19, 25:3 **violation** [4] - 11:9, 13:4, 27:21, 28:5 **void** [2] - 5:8, 8:1 **VS** [1] - 1:5

W

waived [1] - 3:19 waiver [3] - 3:17, 4:6, 4:9

Contact Patrice Murray at PAMurrayReporting@gmail.com

walk [1] - 21:7 walks [1] - 30:5 want [13] - 3:16, 3:20, 4:1, 5:20, 7:18, 10:1, 13:13, 13:19, 18:5, 19:12, 23:4, 25:18, 29:15 wanted [4] - 6:15, 13:1, 19:18, 21:2 wants [5] - 4:5, 4:8, 4:19, 10:2, 19:8 warning [3] - 17:4, 17:5, 17:10 watch [1] - 23:12 weed [5] - 19:19, 19:23, 20:13, 21:7, 24:8 week [2] - 15:5, 27:22 Wesley [1] - 3:3 **WHEREOF** [1] - 33:10 whole [1] - 28:11 **WILLIAMS** [1] - 1:16 **Williams** [2] - 25:6, 33:3 willing [1] - 12:6 wing [1] - 23:8 wish [1] - 9:23 wishes [2] - 13:8, 29:8 **WITNESS** [1] - 33:10 worth [2] - 21:6, 27:14 writing [1] - 32:15 wrote [1] - 18:1 Υ

year [1] - 10:13 **YS** [1] - 22:20 yup [1] - 22:25

Ζ

zero [1] - 21:16

Contact Patrice Murray at PAMurrayReporting@gmail.com

Page 40 of 40