

**CH-100**

**Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders**

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Read *Can a Civil Harassment Restraining Order Help Me? (form CH-100-INFO)* before completing this form. Also fill out *Confidential CLETS Information (form CLETS-001)* with as much information as you know.

**FILED**  
 Superior Court of California  
 County of San Francisco  
 JUN 06 2024  
 CLERK OF THE COURT  
 BY: *[Signature]* Deputy Clerk  
**JAMES XIONG**

**1 Person Seeking Protection**

a. Your Full Name: Sarrita Anastasia Adams Age: [Redacted]  
 Your Lawyer (if you have one for this case)  
 Name: \_\_\_\_\_ State Bar No.: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Your Address (If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)  
 Address: [Redacted]  
 City: [Redacted] State: CA Zip: [Redacted]  
 Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Fill in court name and street address:  
**Superior Court of California, County of**  
 San Francisco Superior Court  
 Civic Center Courthouse  
 400 McAllister Street, Room 103  
 San Francisco, CA 94102-4514

Court fills in case number when form is filed.  
 Case Number:  
**CCH-24-587004**

**2 Person From Whom Protection Is Sought**

Full Name: Amy Gulley Age: [Redacted]  
 Address (if known): [Redacted]  
 City: [Redacted] State: PA Zip: [Redacted]

**3 Additional Protected Persons**

a. Are you asking for protection for any other family or household members?  Yes  No *If yes, list them:*

Full Name	Gender	Age	Lives with you?	How are they related to you?
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____
_____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	_____

Check here if there are more persons. Attach a sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3a—Additional Protected Persons" for a title. You may use form MC-025, Attachment.

b. Why do these people need protection? (Explain below):  
 Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 3b—Why Others Need Protection" for a title.  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

**This is not a Court Order.**

4 Relationship of Parties

How do you know the person in (2)? (Explain below):

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 4—Relationship of Parties" for a title.

These people are stalking me online, I have no contact all relationship with these individuals, and I have never met them. They became aware of me because I was featured in various media articles

5 Venue

Why are you filing in this county? (Check all that apply):

- a. The person in (2) lives in this county.
b. I was harassed by the person in (2) in this county.
c. Other (specify): My business is based in this County, and they are harassing me because I started a business

6 Other Court Cases

a. Have you or any of the persons named in (3) been involved in another court case with the person in (2)?

Yes No (If yes, check each kind of case and indicate where and when each was filed.)

Table with columns: Kind of Case, Filed in (County/State), Year Filed, Case Number (if known). Rows include Civil Harassment, Domestic Violence, Divorce, Nullity, Legal Separation, etc.

b. Are there now any protective or restraining orders in effect relating to you or any of the persons in (3) and the person in (2)? No Yes (If yes, attach a copy if you have one.)

7 Description of Harassment

Harassment means violence or threats of violence against you, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed you and caused you substantial emotional distress. A course of conduct is more than one act.

a. Tell the court about the last time the person in (2) harassed you.

(1) When did it happen? (provide date or estimated date): Between August 2023 - Present day

(2) Who else was there?

Since at least August 2023, Amy Gulley has been harassing me online, she is engaged in an extensive stalking campaign. She has established a subreddit page where she routinely seeks to defame me, prevent me from doing business, impersonate my business, and smears my name and despite repeated efforts to stop her she refuse to stop stalking me.

This is not a Court Order.



7 a. (3) How did the person in 2 harass you? (Explain below):

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(3)—Describe Harassment" for a title.

Amy Gulley, using various internet aliases has been stalking me online, she repeatedly threatens to reveal information about me claiming I am a fake or fraud. No such information exists but she continues to claim that she can prove her false claims. She has been posting sections of my divorce judgment online, and misrepresenting portions of my divorce. She encourages others to join in her abuse, and they follow and stalk me online, and repost my movements on Amy Gulley's subreddit page, they then distort the content, deliberately misrepresenting information. They are using the subreddit page to harm my business, as they are impersonating my business by using my business name. Despite asking Amy Gulley to stop she has made it clear that they will continue to harass me.

(4) Did the person in 2 use or threaten to use a gun or any other weapon?

Yes No (If yes, explain below):

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(4)—Use of Weapons" for a title.

(5) Were you harmed or injured because of the harassment?

Yes No (If yes, explain below):

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7a(5)—Harm or Injury" for a title.

This stalking has seriously harmed my ability to carry out my business practice. Every day I have to deal with this abusive content online. I have written to Reddit and asked them to remove the abusive content, citing their rules and they have ignored all my efforts to stop the ongoing abuse. I have lost a significant amount of business and the ongoing abuse is harming my reputation.

(6) Did the police come? Yes No

If yes, did they give you or the person in 2 an Emergency Protective Order? Yes No

If yes, the order protects (check all that apply):

Me The person in 2 The persons in 3.

(Attach a copy of the order if you have one.)

b. Has the person in 2 harassed you at other times?

Yes No (If yes, describe prior incidents and provide dates of harassment below):

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 7b—Previous Harassment" for a title.

They are constantly harassing me online. Amy Gulley, and multiple individuals associated with her harass me daily.

This is not a Court Order.



**Check the orders you want.**

**8  Personal Conduct Orders**

I ask the court to order the person in (2) not to do any of the following things to me or to any person to be protected listed in (3):

- a.  Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.
- b.  Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.
- c.  Other (specify):
  - Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 8c—Other Personal Conduct Orders," for a title.

Immediately remove any information about me or my company Science on Trial, Inc, from their social media accounts, including the subreddit <https://reddit.com/r/scienceontrial> and <https://reddit.com/r/sarritaadams> prevent them creating any further accounts of a similar nature. Remove or aliases of impersonating accounts relating to me or my business. Remove all any information posted by these individuals or their aliases from Google, Twitter/X and any public forum *The person in (2) will be ordered not to take any action to get the addresses or locations of any protected person unless the court finds good cause not to make the order.*

**9  Stay-Away Orders**

a. I ask the court to order the person in (2) to stay at least 100 yards away from (check all that apply):

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| (1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Me.                     | (8) <input type="checkbox"/> My vehicle.                 |
| (2) <input type="checkbox"/> The other persons listed in (3).   | (9) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): |
| (3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> My home.                | <u>Online spaces, my company website, or any other</u>   |
| (4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> My job or workplace.    | <u>website owned by me.</u>                              |
| (5) <input type="checkbox"/> My school.                         | _____  |
| (6) <input type="checkbox"/> My children's school.              | _____  |
| (7) <input type="checkbox"/> My children's place of child care. | _____  |

b. If the court orders the person in (2) to stay away from all the places listed above, will he or she still be able to get to his or her home, school, or job?  Yes  No (If no, explain below):

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 9b—Stay-Away Orders," for a title.

**10  Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, and Ammunition**

Does the person in (2) own or possess any firearms (guns), firearm parts, or ammunition? This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531).  Yes  No  I don't know

*If the judge grants a protective order, the person in (2) will be prohibited from owning, possessing, purchasing, receiving, or attempting to purchase or receive firearms (guns), firearm parts, and ammunition while the protective order is in effect. The person in (2) will also be ordered to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts within their immediate possession or control.*

**This is not a Court Order.**



**11**  **Temporary Restraining Order**

I request that a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) be issued against the person in **(2)** to last until the hearing. I am presenting form CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order*, for the court's signature together with this *Request*.

Has the person in **(2)** been told that you were going to go to court to seek a TRO against him or her?

Yes  No (If you answered no, explain why below):

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 11—Temporary Restraining Order" for a title.

**12**  **Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice of Hearing**

You must have your papers personally served on the person in **(2)** at least five days before the hearing, unless the court orders a shorter time for service. (Form CH-200-INFO explains What Is "Proof of Personal Service"? Form CH-200, Proof of Personal Service, may be used to show the court that the papers have been served.)

If you want there to be fewer than five days between service and the hearing, explain why below:

Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 12—Request to Give Less Than Five Days' Notice" for a title.

This abuse has been going on for nearly a year, and it is destroying my mental well-being. The attacks are relentless and they are designed to prevent me from running my business. I have no relationship with Amy Gulley and the people who assist who in their stalking campaign. I am concerned that they will only elevate their attacks if they are giving too much notice.

**13**  **No Fee for Filing or Service**

- a.  There should be no filing fee because the person in **(2)** has used or threatened to use violence against me, has stalked me, or has acted or spoken in some other way that makes me reasonably fear violence.
- b.  The sheriff or marshal should serve (notify) the person in **(2)** about the orders for free because my request for orders is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.
- c.  There should be no filing fee and the sheriff or marshal should serve the person in **(2)** for free because I am entitled to a fee waiver. (You must complete and file form FW-001, Application for Waiver of Court Fees and Costs.)

**14**  **Lawyer's Fees and Costs**

I ask the court to order payment of my  lawyer's fees  Court costs.

The amounts requested are:

Item	Amount	Item	Amount
_____	\$ _____	_____	\$ _____
_____	\$ _____	_____	\$ _____
_____	\$ _____	_____	\$ _____

Check here if there are more items. Put the items and amounts on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 14—Lawyer's Fees and Costs" for a title.

**This is not a Court Order.**



Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**15**  **Possession and Protection of Animals**

I ask the court to order the following:

- a.  That I be given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which I own, possess, lease, keep, or hold, or which reside in my household.  
*(Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)*

\_\_\_\_\_

- I request sole possession of the animals because *(specify good cause for granting order):*  
 Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 15a—Possession of Animals" for a title.

\_\_\_\_\_

- b.  That the person in **2** must stay at least \_\_\_\_\_ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

**16**  **Additional Orders Requested**

I ask the court to make the following additional orders *(specify)*:

- Check here if there is not enough space for your answer. Put your complete answer on the attached sheet of paper or form MC-025 and write "Attachment 16—Additional Orders Requested," for a title.

Remove their online postings, subreddits and comments about me because they amount to stalking and harassment as per Cal. Penal Code § 646.9 and Cal. Penal Code 653.2

\_\_\_\_\_

**17** Number of pages attached to this form, if any: 32

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Lawyer's name (if any)*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Lawyer's signature*

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the information above and on all attachments is true and correct.

Date: 06/06/2024

Sarrita Adams  
*Type or print your name*

\_\_\_\_\_  
*Sign your name*

**This is not a Court Order.**

1 Sarrita Adams  
2 Science on Trial, Inc  
3 San Francisco, CA 94104  
4 650 204-1361  
5 sarrita@scienceontrial.com

6 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
7 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

8 SARRITA ANASTASIA ADAMS,  
9 Plaintiff,

Case No.: T.B.D

vs.

DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF CIVIL  
HARASSMENT ORDER

10 AMY GULLEY AKA MRJUSTICEGOSSIPGIRL AND  
11 DOES I-20,

Defendant

12 INTRODUCTION

13 Beginning in August/July 2023 and continuing to the present day, Ms. Amy Gulley, of [REDACTED]

14 [REDACTED] using multiple aliases including but not limited to MrJusticeGossipGirl,  
15 FyrestarOmega, along with several unidentified individuals using aliases (see EXHIBIT A) has orchestrated a  
16 prolonged and intensive campaign of cyberstalking and harassment, via the online social media platforms Reddit, X,  
17 and Facebook.

18 From August 2023 - September 2023, this stalking and harassing behavior took the form of  
19 multiple posts on a subreddit moderated by Ms. Amy Gulley under the alias Fyerstar Omega. It is believed that this  
20 harassment was instigated through Ms. Gulley's communications with Emeritus Professor Richard Gill, of Leiden  
21 University, Netherlands. On August 10, 2023, Prof. Gill had established with Dr. Adams the status of her  
22 educational qualifications. Subsequent to receiving this information, Prof. Gill doxed (exposed personal/private  
23 identifying information on an anonymous social media platform) Dr. Adams to Ms. Gulley, apparently encouraging  
24 her to initiate a smear campaign against Dr. Adams for the purposes of substantially harming her career and business  
25 prospects. On August 23, 2023, Ms. Gulley under the alias Fyrestar Omega began making false and unsubstantiated  
26 claims about Dr. Adams' educational credentials. Ms. Gulley had obtained this erroneous and false information from  
27 Prof. Gill.

28 DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF CIVIL HARASSMENT ORDER - I

1           At this same time, Prof. Gill was spreading numerous false claims about Dr. Adams educational  
2 credentials across social media platforms including on his own blog. (EXHIBIT D) Crucially, Prof. Gill appears to  
3 be the primary source of the many false claims made about Dr. Adams. Prof. Gill falsely claimed to others that he  
4 was an associate of Dr. Adams and intimated that he had a long history with Dr. Adams. In reality, Dr. Adams had  
5 no past relationship with Prof. Gill, and had only met with him on two/three prior occasions, the first time being in  
6 May 2023. Each meeting was as part of a wider group meeting, and no personal discussions took place.

7           Beginning August 2023, Prof. Gill made repeated false claims that Dr. Adams had experienced  
8 various mental health crises, and had dropped out of her PhD Program in 2017. He stated that she had failed to  
9 complete her PhD dissertation, and that she was misrepresenting herself. Prof. Gill was firmly aware that he either  
10 had no information supporting his claims, or worse that he was fabricating this information simply to inflict  
11 reputational damage on Dr. Adams. Although not relevant to this present request, Prof. Gill's behavior was  
12 apparently motivated by a perceived slight owing to Dr. Adams not wishing to collaborate with him on a  
13 professional basis. Notably, Prof. Gill had previously displayed this pattern of behavior towards other science  
14 professionals, where in one instance he was implicated in a two year long series of investigations having falsely  
15 accused scientists outside his discipline of fraud, plagiarism, and dishonesty. Prof. Gill was required to submit a  
16 statement recanting his false claims.

17           As a consequence of Prof. Gill's action, Ms. Gulley, either separately or as a part of a wider  
18 strategy, initiated a stalking and harassing campaign beginning on September 28, 2023, under the new alias  
19 MrJusticeGossipGirl. This behavior has taken the form of a curated subreddit solely targeting Dr. Adams and her  
20 business Science on Trial, Inc, along with over 3000 Twitter posts of the same nature. These posts set out to  
21 persistently smear, and defame Dr. Adams, and harm her ability to conduct her business.

#### 22 23           **SUMMARY OF AMY GULLEY'S STALKING AND HARASSING BEHAVIOR**

- 24
- 25           1. Beginning in August 2023, and continuing to this day, Ms. Gulley repeatedly and falsely claims that Sarrita  
26           Adams is a 'fake', 'a fraud', and a 'charlatan,' and that she is running her business for unlawful purposes.  
27           (EXHIBIT B). Ms. Gulley has no personal or professional relationship with Dr. Adams nor her  
28



1           **business. In plain language, Ms. Gulley is an internet stalker, who struggles to separate her online**  
2           **obsessions with real life.**

3           **2. Ms. Gulley, under the alias MrJusticeGossipGirl has created a subreddit page under the handle**  
4           **https://www.reddit.com/r/scienceontrial this subreddit consists of 100s of posts. ). The subreddit created**  
5           **by Ms. Gulley uses the name of Dr. Adams California Incorporated Business, Science on Trial, Inc,**  
6           **this is aimed at impersonating the business to attract potential customers. (EXHIBIT B)**

7           **3. Ms. Gulley additionally claims, without evidence that Sarrita Adams lied about her educational**  
8           **qualifications. This claim forms a significant basis of her harassing conduct, where she repeatedly states**  
9           **that Sarrita Adams should not be trusted under the basis that Ms. Gulley believes that Adams educational**  
10           **qualifications from the University of Cambridge cannot be substantiated by Ms. Gulley individually**  
11           **(EXHIBIT C). Importantly Ms. Gulley has no cause or basis to inquire or investigate any element of**  
12           **Adams' life and it is apparent that she is doing so simply due to a disturbed obsession.**

13           **4. Ms. Gulley's reddit posts reveal a disturbed harassment campaign, where she demonstrates clear cut**  
14           **cyberstalking actions, where she "willfully, maliciously, and repeatedly follows or harasses someone and**  
15           **makes a credible threat with the intent to place the victim or their family in reasonable fear for their**  
16           **safety."( Penal Code § 646.9).**

17           **5. Ms. Gulley details how she has repeatedly sought to disrupt the business operations of Dr. Adams**  
18           **company, Science on Trial, Inc through using numerous fake aliases to contact the business making**  
19           **frivolous inquiries and accusations. Ms. Gulley has posted the evidence of this conduct on the subreddit**  
20           **used to harass Dr. Adams. (See EXHIBIT E)**

21           **6. Ms. Gulley has further demonstrated harassing behavior through selectively referencing portions of**  
22           **Dr. Adams' contentious divorce proceedings, even going so far as to reference portions from an**  
23           **unpublished court of appeal opinion (EXHIBIT F). Despite clear guidelines stipulating that unpublished**  
24           **opinions cannot be relied upon by parties outside of the original action, Ms. Gulley cites from the**  
25           **opinion; fully aware that she is not permitted to rely on the contents of the opinion to claims her**  
26           **assertions are grounded in fact. Importantly, Ms. Gulley uses the opinion to bolster unfounded**  
27           **allegations regarding the difficulties in Dr. Adams' marriage, thereby portraying Dr. Adams in a**  
28           **deliberately negative light, for the purposes of harming her reputation.**

1 7. Ms. Gulley engaged in threatening conduct, by clearly stating that the creation of her subreddit exists  
2 for the sole purpose of damaging Dr. Adams' reputation. Ms. Gulley has repeatedly stated that she will  
3 continue to maintain her stalking and harassment until she stops Dr. Adams from running Science on Trial,  
4 Inc. (EXHIBIT G -- See Tweets). As an example Ms. Gulley has stated in Twitter/X post:



5 **mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjg...** · 01/04/2024  
6 It's true, I have said that I will set r/  
7 scienceontrial to private when Science on Trial  
8 the company ceases to exist. However, it is an  
9 archive of Sarrita's own words. So if she finds  
10 it harassing, that is her own fault.  
11 #sc: ... #sarritaadams @forensic\_sci\_

11 8. Along with the subreddit account Ms. Gulley has established a Twitter/X account under the alias  
12 MrJusticeGossipGirl. (EXHIBIT 6) This account is solely focused at harassing Dr. Adams and her  
13 business. In the profile header Ms. Gulley prominently features a link to her subreddit account. Ms.  
14 Gulley and her aliases have posted over 3000 tweets since October 2023 focused on harassing Dr.  
15 Adams.

16 9. Ms. Gulley encourages other anonymous users of the social media platforms to stalk, harass and  
17 intimidate Dr. Adams (EXHIBIT A).


18 10. Ms. Gulley's conduct is intended to induce fear, and distress in Dr. Adams. This is being achieved owing to  
19 her subreddit posts featuring prominently in Google searches of Dr. Adams and her business, Science on  
20 Trial, Inc. (EXHIBIT H summary google results)

21 11. Ms. Gulley has engaged in a widespread stalking campaign through Reddit and Twitter/X where she details  
22 her ongoing pattern of following Dr. Adams online, throughout the day, on a daily basis. Ms. Gulley's  
23 identification was determined through her statement that she had been following Dr. Adams on LinkedIn,  
24 on May 28, 2024. A search of visits to Dr. Adams' LinkedIn page reveals that Ms. Gulley was the  
25 individual that visited Dr. Adams LinkedIn page (EXHIBIT I). It was already known to Dr. Adams that  
26 Ms. Gulley was associated with a reddit account under the username FyrestarOmega.  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: June 6, 2024

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Sarrita Anastasia Adams

1 Sarrita Adams  
Science on Trial, Inc  
2 San Francisco, CA 94104  
650 204-1361  
3 sarrita@scienceontrial.com

4  
5 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
6 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

7 SARRITA ANASTASIA ADAMS,

8 Plaintiff,

9 vs.

10 AMY GULLEY AKA MRJUSTICEGOSSIGIRL AND  
DOES 1-20,

11 Defendant

Case No.: T.B.D

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
**DECLARATION OF FLORIDE ROSA BELLINI IN  
SUPPORT OF A CIVIL HARASSMENT ORDER**

I, Floride Rosa Bellini, declare as follows:

1. I have personally witnessed the behavior of Amy Gulley, also known by her aliases FyrestarOmega and MrJusticeGossipGirl, which has constituted a prolonged and intensive campaign of cyberstalking and harassment directed at Dr. Sarrita Adams. This behavior has been ongoing since at least August 2023.
2. I have made repeated efforts to stop this behavior by directly communicating with Ms. Gulley. Despite my multiple attempts to address and resolve the issue through dialogue and reasoning, Ms. Gulley has consistently refused to cease her actions. She has shown a clear intent to continue her harassment campaign, which has included defamatory and false statements about Dr. Adams' professional and personal life.
3. On Monday, June 3, 2024, I took the step of contacting Ms. Gulley via LinkedIn. In my message, I explicitly requested that she stop harassing Dr. Adams and remove the abusive subreddit she had created, which was dedicated to defaming and discrediting Dr. Adams and her business, Science on Trial, Inc.
4. Within one hour of sending this message to Ms. Gulley, she deleted her entire LinkedIn account. This sudden action suggests an acknowledgment of her wrongful conduct and an attempt to evade further

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

accountability. Concurrently, she began the process of deleting the numerous Reddit posts she had made under the alias FyrestarOmega, which were part of her sustained harassment campaign against Dr. Adams.

5. I am also aware that Amy Gulley operates under the alias MrJusticeGossipGirl. This alias has been used extensively to further her harassment campaign against Dr. Adams on various social media platforms, including Reddit and Twitter/X.

6. Despite these actions, it is clear that Ms. Gulley has only taken these steps in response to being confronted directly and that her underlying intent to harm and harass Dr. Adams remains unchanged. Her previous behavior and her evasive response indicate a pattern of malicious conduct intended to cause distress and damage to Dr. Adams' reputation and professional standing..

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: June 6, 2024



Floride Rosa Bellini

## EXHIBIT A

### Amy Gulley's Aliases and Associates

# EXHIBIT A - Amy Gulley's Aliases and Associates



**Paid Police Bot**

@BotPaid58722

\*BEEP BOOP\* Nothing to see here.

Follow



**Smelly Cat**

@SmellyCat625560

UK Health Security Agency appointed C\*nt Hunter. Nobody likes a Judas.

#LucyLetby is guilty.

Follow



**Deb Roberts**

@DebRoberts22249

Lucy Letby is guilty. Insulin is the smoking gun.

Follow



**mrjusticegossipgirl**

@mrjgossipgirl

The Justice Girl r/sciencecentral

Follow



**Paul Breach**

@pessrose14911 Follows you

breachyboiii ✨ @gill1109 fan account 🌈. I am a mixed race autistic woman, therefore I am exempt from criticism, thanks.

Follow



**Deb Roberts**

@DebRoberts17282

Follow



**Deb Roberts**

@DebRoberts3

Follow



**Richard Gill**

@gill1109

statistician and scientist

Follow



**LawHealthTech**

@LawHealthTech

Dr Law, Health and Tech on Substack ([lawhealthandtech.substack.com](http://lawhealthandtech.substack.com))

Follow



**Terry Patricks**

@TPatricks22258

Follow



**m321**

@jor4321


Follow

## EXHIBIT B

Proof of Stalking, claims of fraudulent business practice




## EXHIBIT B Proof of Stalking, claims of fraudulent business practice

←  r/scienceontrial • 8 mo. ago  
MJusticeGossipGirl

**Sarrita Adams, author of the CONSPRIACY SITE rexvlucyletby2023.com, who incorporated her business Science on Trial as a FOR PROFIT BUSINESS, who LIED about her PhD, who is WRONG about the evidence, who has NO PUBLIC PEER REVIEW, who is SELLING MERCH, is now accepting donations for Science on Trial.**

<http://www.scienceontrial.com/science>


←  r/scienceontrial • 8 mo. ago  
MJusticeGossipGirl

**For the newcomers, a reminder that Sarrita Adams created r/sciencelucyletby before Science on Trial**

Sarrita Adams has publicly stated that r/sciencelucyletby is her subreddit, and u/aggravating-south-28 has publicly said they created r/sciencelucyletby and is still lead moderator there.


Sarrita desperately wants people to be ignorant of her erratic past on reddit, she wants people to ignore the public documents showing her erratic behavior in her divorce. All this, she wants you to ignore while you give her money (at least £25, you cheapskate).

Anyone wanting to know more about Sarrita Adams should look into the post and comment history of u/aggravating-south-28

←  r/scienceontrial • 7 mo. ago  
MJusticeGossipGirl


**The fraudulent organization, "Science on Trial," has changed strategy. Sarrita Adams names the first member of the Board of Directors**

Lies, lies, and more lies. They flow like water from Sarrita Adams.

←  r/scienceontrial • 8 mo. ago  
MJusticeGossipGirl

**About donations and merch shops - Sarrita Adams is a fraud, and it's clear when looking at the organizations she compares herself to**

This morning, Sarrita Adams commented the following on reddit:

 r/sciencecentral · 8mo ago



About donations and merch shops - Sarrita Adams is a fraud, and it's clear when looking at the organizations she compares herself to


9 votes · 14 comments

 r/sciencecentral · 7mo ago

Science on Trial is already a fraud and a farce. Now that Richard Gill is part of leadership, here are more reasons it will never be serious

12 votes · 17 comments

 r/sciencecentral · 7mo ago


How did we get here? A wild to detail the genesis of Science on Trial, and the fraud perpetuated by Sarrita Adams


5 votes · 2 comments

 r/sciencecentral · 7mo ago

Science on Trial can't get financial backers because of Sarrita Adams' credibility issues


11 votes · 17 comments



 r/sciencecentral · 7mo ago

The fraudulent organization, "Science on Trial," has changed strategy. Sarrita Adams names the first member of the Board of Directors


13 votes · 26 comments



 r/sciencecentral · 7mo ago

Sarrita Adams has erased all evidence of her prior claims of having a PhD. Here are several times it happened.

10 votes · 10 comments



Posts   Comments   Media

Relevance ▾   All Time ▾   Safe search off ▾

Show results from all of Reddit →

r/scienceontrial · 6mo ago

Sarrita Adams still trying to use fake credentials to make Science on Trial happen

7 votes · 2 comments

r/scienceontrial · 7mo ago

Sarrita "Tashania Curtis" Adams

9 votes · 53 comments

r/scienceontrial · 6mo ago

An apparent use of some donations to Science on Trial - and statements by Sarrita Adams

6 votes · 17 comments

r/scienceontrial · 5mo ago

Science on Trial continues to represent itself as more than it is - a website of lies created by a woman who complains having a PhD

9 votes · 5 comments

r/scienceontrial · 8mo ago

The book chapter may be at the root of Sarrita Adams' lies

3 votes · 8 comments

r/scienceontrial · 7mo ago

A totally true and accurate post that briefly appeared on Science on Trial's forum this morning





mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjgossipgirl · Feb 7

Lo! Sarrita Adams finally changed her handle for #science on trial away from

As a reminder, she has a regular degree in natural sciences and an incomplete phd in microbiology.

Anything else is cosplay.

Retweeted by @mrjgossipgirl



Follow

## Science On Trial

@Forensic\_Sci\_

Highly skilled life scientists - meeting the needs of the legal profession in the inclusion of complex scientific evidence in the criminal justice system.

Science & Technology San Francisco, CA

scienceontrial.com Joined July 2023

139 Following 926 Followers

Not followed by anyone you're following

### Location



543 Market Street San Francisco California 94108




EXHIBIT C

Claims surrounding PhD


11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100

# EXHIBIT C - Claims surrounding PhD

 r/sciencecentral · 7mo ago

**Sarrita Adams has erased all evidence of her prior claims of having a PhD. Here are several times it happened.**


10 votes · 10 comments




 r/sciencecentral · 6mo ago

**Science on Trial continues to represent itself as more than it is - a website of lies created by a woman who complains having a PhD**


9 votes · 5 comments



 r/sciencecentral · 5mo ago

**Richard Gill has finally come clean about the lack of credentials held by Sarrita Adams, founder of Science on Trial**

11 votes · 25 comments



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjg... · 21/03/2024

Replying to @weston\_10

@betheforensic224 · 2024-03-21 10:00

Evidence in court needs to stand up to cross examination, where credibility is key. An incomplete PhD, spotty legal history, & erratic social media presence will not cut it. Also, having been 2x published a decade ago she knows - real research involves more than searching pubmed

   4  59 



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjg... · 25/05/2024

Replying to @Jessrose19811 @Luciftian and @Puch39484957

I don't think Sarrita lying to the court would be an improvement. Then all her spousal support awarded over several years would have been based on fraud. That would be an expensive lie! Plus, she would had to have gotten a phd without her ex knowing. Would be pretty difficult...

 1    40 



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjg... · 27/05/2024

Replying to @mrjusticegossipgirl @forensic\_sci and others

I'm sure she showed you a very nice document. It is worthless. She is a liar.

@Jessrose19811 is right, people knew since June. Everyone respected her privacy until she was named by the press for her efforts. Note, the press ALSO only said she claimed a PhD - not that she has one

 3   2  131 



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjg... · 15/05/2024

Sarrita Adams (@forensic\_sci) claims that @RachelAviv & her fact checker were in regular contact with her regarding the validity of the clinical evidence re: Lucy Letby.

Reminder that Sarrita Adams did not complete her biochemistry PhD and has zero clinical experience #LucyLetby

Exhibit D

Richard Gill

## Exhibit D - Richard Gill

On Friday, Aug 18, 2023 at 8:26 am, Richard Gill <gill1109@gmail.com> wrote:  
I am not associating myself with your work.  
I do not inform anyone on internet who you are.

On 18 Aug 2023, at 15:57, Sarrita adams <sarrita@railroadchildren.org> wrote:

Richard,


I will not repeat myself again and I will now be blocking your emails. I want nothing to do with you. Please remove any of my diagrams from your website, and stop associating yourself with me or any of my work. You are not to continue informing people on the internet of who I am, it is because of you confirming my identity that I am being stalked and my colleagues are being harassed.

Please leave me alone. I believe you to be a dangerous individual and your terrible conduct will serve only to inflict harm on all those who associate with you.

There is nothing left to say. Please leave me alone,

Sarrita

--  
Sent from Canary

 Rex vs Lucy Letby page - private chat

 replied to Kirsten

Have you got a link please where she has stated that she has not actual...

Sarrita never said in public that she is currently studying for it. She never even said in public that she is going to finish it. She finished writing the main part of a thesis (she gave me a copy; she has not put it online). It does not have a title page. It does not have a preface explaining who did what. Her draft was submitted, examined, approved, and accepted subject to making some corrections. I suspect minor ones. It is not complete since it does not have the necessary and very important introductory section explaining who did what, how much of the research is her own. She did not do her PhD research "between California and Cambridge". She did it solely in California and worked solely with researchers in California. Her thesis and the three published research papers are about molecular biology, not about biochemistry etc etc. The papers were completed by her California colleagues and two of them have a long list of co-authors. The third, and biggest one, is by herself and her California supervisor (head of the department where she worked). That professor is the one who finished the paper for them both, and it was published and published after she had effectively "dropped out". Probably the other two papers were also published later too. I can check when they were submitted, by whom, and when they were accepted. ~~Anyone is interested I can fill in more details but really I think this is a waste of time. She should not call herself Dr and she should not say she has a PhD from Cambridge because she quite simply doesn't. Could she still get it? I doubt anyone in Cambridge is interested in helping her through the last steps, and there is no reason that they should do. (But who knows).~~





Re: vs Lucy Letby page - private chat

Eleanor

IMPORTANT - please read!

Dear members and followers,

Over the course of the next few days (or weeks) we will be running a series of posts exposing potential fraudulent activity currently taking place which is abusing Lucy's cause as well as emotionally exploiting vulnerable people.

In our upcoming posts, we will be talking about Sarrita Adams and a campaign she leads under the name of Science on Trial. Our posts will disclose information aiming to tackle misinformation, lies, and fake science and hopefully help people to make an informed view before donating money or their time to an individual whose motivations are clearly questionable.

From day one of our Facebook page has been clear that our main focus has been on analysing whether the evidence provided Lucy Letby a fair trial, our position still remains that the evidence did not support a fair verdict.

We have been laser focused on that and have avoided things that we feel detract from that. We were happy to work with people on this where we felt there was a common aim, where we were all pulling in the same direction. But we have distanced ourselves from those whom we felt contradicted our aims. We admit this may have caused tensions but we can't be responsible for how they respond to the focus we have. We have also put a disclaimer on our page to try and be clear on that. However given recent comments all over the internet (please see link below) we now need to formalise our commitment to the cause of Lucy and be clear and unequivocal about those we feel do not share this aim - a fair trial.

As such we can no longer support, share information with or have any contact, official or unofficial, with Sarrita Adams, Science on Trial, or their affiliates and supporters. We are sorry if this decision and upcoming posts may make some feel upset or unsettled, rest assured this decision has been duly considered.

To be clear we are focussed on ensuring Lucy has a fair trial, not distractions, and we feel Sarrita comes with distractions and dangerous liabilities that can no longer be ignored.

Please do take the time to look at the collection of information already gathered on Reddit by following the link below; over the next few days, some relevant information will be shared on this page - all are encouraged to take account of what will be shared.

<https://www.reddit.com/r/scienceontrial/>



Eleanor

Are you staying in the group @Richard Gill? @Sally Hart?



Supporters of said activities are not welcomed here apologies.

Peritonitis - C...  
nts orde... Sam

Richard replied to Eleanor

Apologies @Richs.d.Gill but we don't

This group does what its owners/managers say. That's up to them. I have no objection to its aims and governance. I do think there is room for a number of enterprises, we don't compete, we complement one another. This is what I learnt from the case of Lucia de Berk: do not over-organize! Often, indeed, the left hand should not know what the right hand is doing. And vice versa. There are so many fronts we must fight on. Among the elite of society. At grass roots base. Scientists, not scientists. The medical world. The legal world. The men's world, the women's world. The old, the young. Social media, main stream media.

you are perf... Ch

October 24, 2023, 10:27 PM

ould lo... td

so blind as s... +td

Eleanor replied to Richard

## EXHIBIT E

Disrupting Business Practices, interfering SoT

# EXHIBIT E - Disrupting Business Practices, interfering SoT



**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjgossipgirl · Apr 2

So Sarrita, when I say I will take down my subreddit if Science on Trial ceases to exist - I say that not as an offer, but as a courtesy. Move on from this venture, and I will be happy to help you so far as I am able. But I will not allow you to lie without preserving that record



164



**r/scienceontrial** · 7 mo. ago  
MrJusticeGossipGirl

## Science on Trial is offering itself for forensic science consulting for district attorneys - here's a reminder of the last time Sarrita Adams met with a district attorney

Now that Science on Trial has shut down its forum, Sarrita Adams has launched a site offering to contract with district attorneys in the United States.

<https://www.scienceontrial.com/services-3>



**r/scienceontrial**  
@MrJusticeGossipGirl · 208d



## Reflecting on the reasons I have been banned from Science on Trial

With any luck, shutting down the Science on Trial forums will force its remaining members to touch grass and emerge from their mass delusion, of which they are victims. Here are a few examples of the dangerous speech that Sarrita wants to shield them from:

### Ban #1

Profile name: Human

Banned 20/9/23 for this comment, which included a screenshot of two of Sarrita's reddit comments and asking her what happened to reports she had promised back in May/June

### Ban #2

Profile name: Jane Smith

Banned 30/9/23 for this comment, asking who performed the peer review of [rexlucyletby2023.com](http://rexlucyletby2023.com). This was, of course, after earlier removals questioning why SoT would need



**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjgossipgirl · Apr 2

And finally, whatever I would choose to do with my subreddit, page one of a Google search of "Sarrita Adams" does enough even without me. Googling "Science on Trial" has a fun page 1 result as well not on my subreddit

4/

its members to solicit expert opinions and publicly asking why SoT filed as a corporation.

### Ban #3

Profile name: Minnie Johnson

Banned 18/10/23 for this comment, asking for Sarrita to confirm or deny once and for all if she held the title PhD.

### Ban #4

Profile name: Tim Smythe

Banned 8/11/23 for this comment pressing the issue of the unsubstantiated claim on [rexlucyletby2023.com](http://rexlucyletby2023.com) that Child L's mum had gestational diabetes.

Just a sampling of Sarrita Adams' very scientific claims about neonatal care at CoCH

**SoT Meeting Sunday 22nd October**  
59 views · 16h ago · ...more


Science on Trial 128 Subscribe

Share Download Clip

EXHIBIT F

Divorce Details, erroneous citations

# EXHIBIT F - Divorce Details, erroneous citations



The court gave its decision nearly 3 months later.  
 ... v. Adams, Cal: Court of Appeal, 1st Appellate Dist.,...

## D. Statement of Decision

On January 25, 2018, the trial court issued its statement of decision. The court set forth a detailed analysis of the opposed support factors under section 4320 and emphasized its careful consideration of Dr. Adams's medical challenges in making its order. The court found that at trial, Dr. Adams "appeared lucid, intelligent and competent." The court observed that her "blanket statement" claiming to be a "dependent adult" and her asserted need for "further vocational training" were "offered without any expert assessment or testimony" and were "insufficient for the court to conclude that she cannot complete her dissertation in a timely manner and obtain employment." While remarking that Dr. Adams "undoubtedly has challenges that have delayed her completion of her Ph.D.," the court determined she did not meet her burden to prove those challenges prevented her from obtaining gainful employment.

12:26 PM · Jun 3, 2024 · 455 views



r/sciencecentral · 8mo ago

**Sarrita Adams has misrepresented the legal rulings in her divorce yet again. It's time to set the record straight**

10 votes · 24 comments



r/sciencecentral · 8mo ago


**A completely redacted court document about no one in particular, with selected highlights**

7 votes · 7 comments



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjgossipgirl · May 24


For example, when Sarrita refused to comply with the court ordered sale of her marital home, her cooperation was eventually forced.



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjgossipgirl · May 24

What happens when you Google "Sarrita Adams vs"?

Is this the person whose ideas are actually based in the rule of law, and unimpeachable research?



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjg... · 27/05/2024

Replying to @Luciflrian @Jessrose19811 and 4

That Sarrita was the primary aggressor in domestic violence was the court's opinion. That Sarrita told the court she didn't have her phd was fact. Shall I post the link? Then we can discuss fact vs. opinion in greater detail. Circular saws and all!



System will redact names for privacy reasons.  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...


System will redact names for privacy reasons.  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...  
 ...

# EXHIBIT G

Twitter Account and posts

# EXHIBIT G - Twitter Account and posts

← **mrjusticegossipgirl**  
 2,077 posts



**mrjusticegossipgirl**  
 @mrjgossipgirl

The Justice Girl  
 r/sciencecentral

Joined October 2023

40 Following 57 Followers

Not followed by anyone on your feed

Posts Replies Media Likes

4 Pinned

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjgossipgirl · Apr 5  
 My latest about Sarrita Adams' failed venture with Science on Trial here:  
[https://www.sciencecentral.com/2024/04/05/sarrita-adams-science-on-trial-2024-04-05/](#)

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 01/04/2024  
 It's true, I have said that I will set r/sciencecentral to private when Science on Trial the company ceases to exist. However, it is an archive of Sarrita's own words. So if she finds it harassing, that is her own fault.  
 #SarritaAdams #ScienceOnTrial #ForensicSci

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 21/03/2024  
 The Sarrita Adams' "grift" is over for Sarrita Adams. Her "science" could never stand up to scrutiny. Rather than let it be examined by a wider audience, she's thrown all her toys out the pram. Those who supported her work would be wise to finally rethink their choices

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 26/04/2024  
 Replying to @sarritaadams · Apr 26, 2024

One more thing Sarrita. You have me blocked on this platform (a block that I do not violate to contact you), and I'm posting in my own subreddit. That means anything I say that you see, you've sought out. Kind of undercuts your whole stalking claim.....

1 2 4 11 139

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 07/02/2024  
 Lol Sarrita Adams finally changed her handle for [https://www.sciencecentral.com/2024/02/07/sarrita-adams-science-central-2024-02-07/](#)

As a reminder, she has a regular degree in natural sciences and an incomplete phd in microbiology.

Anything else is cosplay.

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 22/05/2024  
 Remember when Sarrita Adams @forensic\_sci was telling her followers of the grants she was applying for so she could live off her grift? She didn't get those, but she's still trying!

#sciencecentral #sarritaadams #lucylethy #maud

[reddit.com/r/sciencecentral/](https://www.reddit.com/r/sciencecentral/)

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 01/04/2024  
 And finally, whatever I would choose to do with my subreddit, page one of a Google search of "Sarrita Adams" does enough even without me. Googling "Science on Trial" has a fun page 1 result as well not on my subreddit 4/

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 29/05/2024  
 Blind Spots - The Fatal Flaw of Sarrita Adams and Science on Trial  
 My latest here:  
[reddit.com/r/sciencecentral/](https://www.reddit.com/r/sciencecentral/)  
 #lucylethy #sciencecentral #sarritaadams #richardgill @forensic\_sci\_ @gill1109 @rachelaviv @NewYorker

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 27/04/2024  
 OMG 😱 <48 hours after posting a blog on sciencecentral.com falsely accusing me of stalking, Sarrita Adams "notifies" 3 brand new accounts she accuses of being me. This would not be the first time she has attempted to harass me for telling the truth about her @ForensicSci

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 27/05/2024  
 Another reason, of course, is that Sarrita Adams does not have a PhD, a fact that other reporters were far more honest about last August.

"According to her LinkedIn profile" 🙄

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 28/04/2024  
 Not that I believe US law enforcement told Sarrita anything of the kind, but if they did, the Americans deserve far more from their tax dollars. @forensic\_sci\_ #sarritaadams #sciencecentral #whoharassingwho #stillnotscaredofher #nowongitsfunny #sipstee

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjg... · 04/02/2024  
 Since Sarrita Adams has blocked me again, just a brief thread to respond to some of her accusations: #sciencecentral #sarritaadams @EdgarMoose43433 1/

**mrjusticegossipgirl** @mrjgossipgirl · May 23  
 Remember when Sarrita Adams @forensic\_sci\_ was telling her followers of the grants she was applying for so she could live off her grift? She didn't get those, but she's still trying!

# EXHIBIT H

## Summary of Google Results



# EXHIBIT H - Summary of Google Results



Sarrita adams



All Images News Videos Maps More

Tools



Reddit · r/scienceontrial

20+ comments · 7 months ago

## Sarrita Adams says "Fuck you, pay me" about questioning ...

Sarrita Adams says "Fuck you, pay me" about questioning her on Science on Trial. Goodness! Sarrita Adams has yet to learn a lesson she has been ...

Sarrita Adams has publicly admitted she does not hold the title ... 7 Oct 2023

Does Sarrita Adams, author of rexvlucyletby2023.com and ... 28 Sept 2023

I raised the matter of Sarrita Adams' credentials : r/scienceontrial 8 Oct 2023

Sarrita Adams has erased all evidence of her prior claims of ... 27 Oct 2023

More results from www.reddit.com



Science on Trial

<https://www.scienceontrial.com>

## Science on Trial | Sarrita Adams

Founder, Sarrita Adams, is the brain behind Science on Trial, which provides consistent, high-quality scientific analysis and expertise in legal cases with ...



X · gill1109

20+ likes · 7 months ago

## Richard Gill

RexvsLucyLetby Sarrita Adams is not fraudulent. I am not taking any money from anyone. You are the fraud around here, a cheat and a thief.



X (Twitter)

<https://twitter.com/mrjgossipgirl>

## mrjusticegossipgirl (@mrjgossipgirl) · X



Sat watching Bridgeton before bed, maybe I should have gone with "Mr Justice Whistledown"?

Sat watching Bridgeton before bed and wondering why I didn't go with "Mr Justice Whistledown"?  
pic: [twitter.com/AsLb3mo](https://twitter.com/AsLb3mo)



Thought Sarrita caught me but it's just a fire in the neighborhood. Hoping everyone affected is safe.



Science on Trial  
https://www.scienceontrial.com

### Science on Trial | Sarrita Adams

Founder, Sarrita Adams, is the brain behind Science on Trial, which provides consistent, high-quality scientific analysis and expertise in legal cases with ...

#### The Lucy Letby Case

Science on Trial was the first organisation to highlight the ...

#### About Us

Sarrita Adams, Founder of Science on Trial, aims to improve ...

#### Join the Campaign

The Lucy Letby Trial was based on unreliable expert evidence and ...

#### Blog

Science on Trial's case blog is a journey through the landscape ...

#### Our Business

Sarrita Adams' expertise in genetic disorders and human disease ...

More results from scienceontrial.com >



Reddit · r/lucyletby  
30+ comments · 8 months ago

### Science On Trial - Who Is The Ring Leader? : r/lucyletby

The individual attempting to push misinformation under the guise of being a Cambridge PhD has been revealed to be a fraud through her divorce ...

7 answers · Top answer: Ah yes, the fraudulent "scientist". What you've asked is pretty much ...

Science on Trial promises interviews with experts and ... - Reddit 28 Nov 2023

On Science on Trial, asking about the Lucy Letby Intervention ... 14 Nov 2023

More results from www.reddit.com

EXHIBIT I

Identification of Amy Gulley

# EXHIBIT I - Identification of Amy Gulley



mrjusticegossipgirl @mrjgossipgirl · May 28

Another reason, of course, is that Sarrita Adams does not have a PhD, a fact that other reporters were far more honest about last August.

"According to her LinkedIn profile"

The screenshot shows the LinkedIn profile of Sarrita Adams, a Life Science Consultant and Small Business Owner. The page includes a navigation bar with Home, My Network, Jobs, Messaging, Notifications, and Me. The main content area displays 'Who's viewed your profile' with filters for 'Last 90 days' and 'Bergey's Electric Inc'. A bar chart shows profile views for the week of May 22, 2024, with 1 viewer from Bergey's Electric Inc, a 100% increase from the previous week. The right sidebar contains account management options like 'View Profile', 'Account', 'Premium features', 'Settings & Privacy', 'Help', 'Language', 'Manage', 'Posts & Activity', 'Company: Science on Trial', 'Job Posting Account', and 'Sign Out'.

## Your profile view highlights

**Bergey's Electric Inc**  
Top company

## More details about who's viewing you

Companies

Bergey's Electric Inc · 100%



Amy Gulley · 3rd Estimator at Bergey's Electric Inc

Bergey's Electric Inc - Tufts University Telford, Pennsylvania, United States


123 followers · 123 connections



About

My experience in the construction industry began with an office manager position in a masonry company, which provided a good foundation for the ability to provide solid financial masonry estimates. As Estimator, I had the opportunity to price b... see more

Nothing much, just about 8 months of progress. Swipe right to go back in time



Archived post. New comments cannot be posted and votes cannot be cast.

7 0 Share

Search Comments

PyrestarOmega 67 · 2d ago

- current routine:
- light co-wash with Shea moisture coconut & hibiscus conditioner and finger detangle
- brush through up/down with detangler brush
- spin green tea super moist leave-in conditioner
- missjessie's pillow soft curls
- glaze over night 4 in microfibre wrap
- light application of kinky curly curling custard in the a.m. and air dry
- wash her with shampoo with Shea moisture coconut & hibiscus shampoo and full condition with same conditioner, and full hour treatment of spin honey miracle hair mask.
- Quanta suggestions!

7 0 Share

LinkedIn Member

LinkedIn Member · 3rd

MONDAY

Flora Bellini · 5:17 PM

FAO Mr JGG

Hope you are keeping well.

Please immediately remove your Science on Trial subreddit <https://www.reddit.com/r/scienceontrial/>

In the event that you do not, we have sufficient information to pass your details on to local law enforcement.

All the best.

r/curlyhair · 2 yr. ago [deleted]


Nothing much, just about 8 months of progress. Swipe right to go back in time

Archived post. New comments cannot be posted and votes cannot be cast.

Archived post. New comments cannot be posted and votes cannot be cast.

Sorry, this post was deleted by the person who originally posted it.

7 0 Share



Unhappy-News7402 · 6h

Im late to reddit, so i must have missed this. who is a fake scientists and what makes them fake ?

PyrestarOmega MCD · 6h

The fake scientist is a former member of this sub, who was banned for harassing me, as well as deleting her own threads then claiming censorship by me. She also refused to adhere to rule 4

She claimed to be a Cambridge-educated PhD in related fields, but remained fully anonymous. She made a number of debunked and erroneous claims, but the conspiracy theories spouted by her and a few others have poisoned discussion of this trial on this platform and some others.

7 0 Share

 Rex vs Lucy Letby page - private chat

 MrJusticeGossipGirl

3 results X

Eleanor Bovington added a participant.

Eleanor

 @MrJusticeGossipGirl Welcome

Someone replied to Eleanor

@MrJusticeGossipGirl Welcome

Hi everyone. I understand our current interests are aligned. I am here to assist in any way I can

Eleanor replied to participant

Hi everyone. I understand our current interests are aligned. I am here t...

 Thank you! It is all a little unsettled at the moment, so it would be good to hear that your views about the verdicts to reassure people the work reddit is doing is not against providing Lucy a fair trial.


MrJusticeGossipGirl

Right. My opinion on the verdicts are that if they are ever overturned, it won't be connected in any way to the actions of Sarrita Adams.

That of course does imply that if there is a valid reason to overturn a verdict or verdicts, that they should be vacated. I am not here to argue if that is or is not the case, and have no interest in subverting the discussions of this chat to that end.

 Rex vs Lucy Letby page - private chat

 paul breach

 Eleanor Bovington added Paul Breach to the group.

Eleanor Bovington added Paul Breach to the group.

Eleanor

 @Paul Breach Welcome! 😊 🗣️ ⋮

Paul

 Hello. Thanks for the add.

**CH-109**

**Notice of Court Hearing**

**1 Person Seeking Protection**

a. Your Full Name:

Sarrita Anastatsia Adams

Your Lawyer (if you have one for this case):

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ State Bar No.: \_\_\_\_\_

Firm Name: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Your Address (If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.)

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ State: CA Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Telephone: \_\_\_\_\_ Fax: \_\_\_\_\_

Email Address: \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

**FILED**

San Francisco County Superior Court

JUN 07 2024

CLERK OF THE COURT

By: [Signature] Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:

Superior Court of California, County of

San Francisco Superior Court  
Civic Center Courthouse  
400 McAllister Street, Room 103  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4514

Court fills in case number when form is filed.

Case Number **CCN-24-587004**

**2 Person From Whom Protection Is Sought**

Full Name: Amy Gulley

The court will complete the rest of this form.

**3 Notice of Hearing**

A court hearing is scheduled on the request for restraining orders against the person in 2:

Name and address of court if different from above:

Hearing Date

Date: JUL-2-2024 Time: 8:30AM  
Dept.: 505 Room: 505

To the person in 2:

- If you attend the hearing (in person, by phone, or by videoconference) and the judge grants a restraining order against you, the order will be effective immediately, and you could be arrested if you violate the order.
- If you do not attend the hearing, the judge may still grant the restraining order that could last up to five years. After you receive a copy of the order, you could be arrested if you violate the order.

**4 Temporary Restraining Orders (Any orders granted are on form CH-110, served with this notice.)**

a. Temporary Restraining Orders for personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders, are (check only one box below):

- (1)  GRANTED until the court hearing.
- (2)  All DENIED until the court hearing. (Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)
- (3)  Partly GRANTED and partly DENIED until the court hearing. (Specify reasons for denial in b, below.)



Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

b. Reasons for denial of some or all of those personal conduct and stay-away orders as requested in form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, are:

- (1)  The facts as stated in form CH-100 do not sufficiently show acts of violence, threats of violence, or a course of conduct that seriously alarmed, annoyed, or harassed the person in ① and caused substantial emotional distress.
- (2)  Other (specify):  As stated on Attachment 4b.

\_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

FACTS ALLEGED DO NOT SUPPORT ALL THE ORDERS REQUESTED

⑤ Confidential Information Regarding Minor

- a.  A Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential (form CH-160) was made and GRANTED. (See form CH-165, Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential, served with this form.)
- b. If the request was granted, the information described in item ⑦ on the order (form CH-165) must be kept CONFIDENTIAL. The disclosure or misuse of the information is punishable as a sanction, with a fine of up to \$1,000 or other court penalties.

⑥ Service of Documents for the Person in ①

At least  five  \_\_\_\_\_ days before the hearing, someone age 18 or older—not you or anyone to be protected—must personally give (serve) a court's file-stamped copy of this form CH-109 to the person in ② along with a copy of all the forms indicated below:

- a. CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (file-stamped)
- b.  CH-110, *Temporary Restraining Order* (file-stamped) IF GRANTED
- c. CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders* (blank form)
- d. CH-120-INFO, *How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?*
- e.  CH-170, *Notice of Order Protecting Information of Minor* and CH-165, *Order on Request to Keep Minor's Information Confidential* (file-stamped) IF GRANTED
- f.  Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6.7.24



Judicial Officer  
JUDGE MARIA EVANGELISTA





Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**To the Person in ① :**

- The court cannot make the restraining orders after the court hearing unless the person in ② has been personally given (served) a copy of your request and any temporary orders. To show that the person in ② has been served, the person who served the forms must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-200, *Proof of Personal Service*, may be used.
- For information about service, read form CH-200-INFO, *What Is "Proof of Personal Service"?*
- You may ask to reschedule the hearing if you are unable to find the person in ② and need more time to serve the documents, or for other good reasons. Read form CH-115-INFO, *How to Ask for a New Hearing Date*.
- You must attend the hearing if you want the judge to make any of the orders you requested on form CH-100, *Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*. Bring any evidence or witnesses you have. For more information, read form CH-100-INFO, *Can a Civil Harassment Restraining Order Help Me?*

**To the Person in ② :**

- If you want to respond to the request for orders in writing, file form CH-120, *Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders*, and have someone age 18 or older—not you or anyone to be protected—mail it to the person in ①.
- The person who mailed the form must fill out a proof of service form. Form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail*, may be used. File the completed form with the court before the hearing and bring a copy with you to the court hearing.
- Whether or not you respond in writing, go to the hearing if you want the judge to hear from you before making an order. You may tell the judge why you agree or disagree with the orders requested.
- You may bring witnesses and other evidence.
- At the hearing, the judge may make restraining orders against you that could last up to five years and may order you to turn in to law enforcement, or sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you own or possess. This includes firearm receivers and frames, and any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531).
- If you are unable to attend your court hearing or need more time to prepare your case, you may ask to reschedule your court date. Read form CH-115-INFO, *How to Ask for a New Hearing Date*.



**Request for Accommodations**

Assistive listening systems, computer-assisted real-time captioning, or sign language interpreter services are available if you ask at least five days before the hearing. Contact the clerk's office or go to [www.courts.ca.gov/forms](http://www.courts.ca.gov/forms) for *Disability Accommodation Request* (form MC-410). (Civ. Code, § 54.8.)

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

**—Clerk's Certificate—**

I certify that this *Notice of Court Hearing* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Clerk's Certificate  
[seal]

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy

Clerk stamps date here when form is filed.

Person in ① must complete items ①, ②, and ③ only.

① Protected Person

a. Your Full Name: Sarrita Anastasia Adams

Your Lawyer (if you have one for this case):

Name: State Bar No.:

Firm Name:

b. Your Address (If you have a lawyer, give your lawyer's information. If you do not have a lawyer and want to keep your home address private, you may give a different mailing address instead. You do not have to give telephone, fax, or email.):

Address:

City: State: CA Zip:

Telephone: Fax:

Email Address:

FILED
San Francisco County Superior Court
JUN 07 2024
CLERK OF THE COURT
By: Deputy Clerk

Fill in court name and street address:
Superior Court of California, County of
San Francisco Superior Court
Civic Center Courthouse
400 McAllister Street, Room 103
San Francisco, CA 94102-4514

Court fills in case number when form is filed.
Case Number:
CCH-24-587004

② Restrained Person

(Give all the information you know. Information with a star (\*) is required to add this order to the California police database. If age is unknown, give an estimate.)

\*Full Name: AMy Gulley \*Age: Date of Birth:
\*Race: White Height: Weight: Hair Color: Eye Color:
\*Gender: M F Nonbinary Home Address:
City: State: PA Zip:
Relationship to Protected Person: None - Internet Stalker

③ Additional Protected Persons

In addition to the person named in ①, the following family or household members of that person are protected by the temporary orders indicated below:

Table with columns: Full Name, Gender, Age, Household Member?, Relation to Protected Person. Includes checkboxes for Yes/No.

Check here if there are additional persons. List them on an attached sheet of paper and write "Attachment 3—Additional Protected Persons" as a title. You may use form MC-025, Attachment.

④ Expiration Date

The court will complete the rest of this form.

This Order expires at the end of the hearing scheduled for the date and time below:

Date: July 2, 2024 Time: 8:30 a.m. p.m.

This is a Court Order

To the Person in 2 :

The court has granted the temporary orders checked as granted below. If you do not obey these orders, you can be arrested and charged with a crime. You may be sent to jail for up to one year, pay a fine of up to \$1,000, or both.

5 Personal Conduct Orders

Not Requested  Denied Until the Hearing  Granted as Follows:

a. You must not do the following things to the person named in 1

and to the other protected persons listed in 3:

- (1)  Harass, intimidate, molest, attack, strike, stalk, threaten, assault (sexually or otherwise), hit, abuse, destroy personal property of, or disturb the peace of the person.
- (2)  Contact the person, either directly or indirectly, in any way, including, but not limited to, in person, by telephone, in writing, by public or private mail, by interoffice mail, by email, by text message, by fax, or by other electronic means.
- (3)  Take any action to obtain the person's address or location. If this item (3) is not checked, the court has found good cause not to make this order.
- (4)  Other (specify):

Other personal conduct orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 5a(4).

*Do not make any social media posts about or impersonate plaintiff and her companions. Silence or inaction on any public or social media platform will be harassing. Posts shall be removed.*

b. Peaceful written contact through \_\_\_\_\_ if a process server or other person for service of legal papers related to a court case is allowed and does not violate this order. However, you may have your papers served by mail on the person in 1.

6 Stay-Away Order

Not Requested  Denied Until the Hearing  Granted as Follows:

a. You must stay at least 100 yards away from (check all that apply):

- (1)  The person in 1
- (2)  Each person in 3
- (3)  The home of the person in 1
- (4)  The job or workplace of the person in 1
- (5)  The school of the person in 1
- (6)  The school of the children of the person in 1
- (7)  The place of child care of the children of the person in 1
- (8)  The vehicle of the person in 1
- (9)  Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

b. This stay-away order does not prevent you from going to or from your home or place of employment.

7 No Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition

a. You cannot own, possess, have, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or in any other way get any prohibited items listed in b on the next page.

This is a Court Order.



7 b. Prohibited items are:

- (1) Firearms (guns);
- (2) Firearm parts, meaning receivers, frames, or any item that may be used as or easily turned into a receiver or frame (see Penal Code section 16531); and
- (3) Ammunition. **(4) body armor.**

c. You must:

- (1) Sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts in your immediate possession or control. This must be done within 24 hours of being served with this Order.
- (2) File a receipt with the court within 48 hours of receiving this Order that proves that your firearms (guns) and firearm parts have been turned in, sold, or stored. (You may use *Receipt for Firearms and Firearm Parts* (form CH-800) for the receipt.)

d.  The court has received information that you own or possess a firearm (gun), firearm parts, or ammunition.

8 Possession and Protection of Animals

Not Requested     Denied Until the Hearing     Granted as Follows (specify):

a.  The person in ① is given the sole possession, care, and control of the animals listed below, which are owned, possessed, leased, kept, or held by him or her, or reside in his or her household. (Identify animals by, e.g., type, breed, name, color, sex.)

b.  The person in ② must stay at least \_\_\_\_\_ yards away from, and not take, sell, transfer, encumber, conceal, molest, attack, strike, threaten, harm, or otherwise dispose of, the animals listed above.

9 Other Orders

Not Requested     Denied Until the Hearing     Granted as Follows (specify):

Additional orders are attached at the end of this Order on Attachment 9.

To the Person in ①:

10 Mandatory Entry of Order Into CARPOS Through CLETS

This Order must be entered into the California Restraining and Protective Order System (CARPOS) through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS). (Check one):

- a.  The clerk will enter this Order and its proof-of-service form into CARPOS.
- b.  The clerk will transmit this Order and its proof-of-service form to a law enforcement agency to be entered into CARPOS.

This is a Court Order.



Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

- 10 c.  By the close of business on the date that this Order is made, the person in 1 or his or her lawyer should deliver a copy of the Order and its proof-of-service form to the law enforcement agency listed below to enter into CARPOS:

Name of Law Enforcement Agency \_\_\_\_\_ Address (City, State, Zip) \_\_\_\_\_

Additional law enforcement agencies are listed at the end of this Order on Attachment 10.

- 11 No Fee to Serve (Notify) Restrained Person  Ordered  Not Ordered

The sheriff or marshal will serve this Order without charge because:

- a.  The Order is based on unlawful violence, a credible threat of violence, or stalking.  
b.  The person in 1 is entitled to a fee waiver.

- 12 Number of pages attached to this Order, if any: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6.7.24

*[Signature]*

Judicial Officer  
JUDGE MARIA EVANGELISTA

**Warnings and Notices to the Restrained Person in 2**

**You Cannot Have Firearms (Guns), Firearm Parts, or Ammunition**

You cannot own, have, possess, buy or try to buy, receive or try to receive, or otherwise get any prohibited items listed in item 7b on page 3 while this Order is in effect. If you do, you can go to jail and pay a \$1,000 fine. You must sell to or store with a licensed gun dealer, or turn in to a law enforcement agency, any firearms (guns) and firearm parts that you have or control as stated in item 7 above. The court will require you to prove that you did so.

**Notice Regarding Nonappearance at Hearing and Service of Order**

If you have been personally served with this Temporary Restraining Order and form CH-109, Notice of Court Hearing, but you do not appear at the hearing either in person or by a lawyer, and a restraining order that is the same as this Temporary Restraining Order except for the expiration date is issued at the hearing, a copy of the order will be served on you by mail at the address in item 2.

If this address is not correct or you wish to verify that the Temporary Restraining Order was converted into a restraining order at the hearing without substantive change, or to find out the duration of the order, contact the clerk of the court.

**After You Have Been Served With a Restraining Order**

- Obey all the orders.
- Read form CH-120-INFO, How Can I Respond to a Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders?, to learn how to respond to this Order.
- If you want to respond, fill out form CH-120, Response to Request for Civil Harassment Restraining Orders, and file it with the court clerk. You do not have to pay any fee to file your response if the Request claims that you inflicted or threatened violence against or stalked the person in 1.

**This is a Court Order.**



Case Number:

- You must have form CH-120 served by mail on the person in ① or that person's attorney. You cannot do this yourself. The person who does the mailing should complete and sign form CH-250, *Proof of Service by Mail*. File the completed proof of service with the court clerk before the hearing date or bring it with you to the hearing.
- In addition to the response, you may file and have declarations served, signed by you and other persons who have personal knowledge of the facts. You may use form MC-030, *Declaration*, for this purpose. It is available from the clerk's office at the court shown on page 1 of this form or at [www.courts.ca.gov/forms](http://www.courts.ca.gov/forms). If you do not know how to prepare a declaration, you should see a lawyer.
- Whether or not you file a response, you should attend the hearing. If you have any witnesses, they must also go to the hearing.
- At the hearing, the judge can make restraining orders against you that last for up to five years. Tell the judge why you disagree with the orders requested.

### Instructions for Law Enforcement

#### Enforcing the Restraining Order

This order is enforceable by any law enforcement agency that has received the order, is shown a copy of the order, or has verified its existence on the California Restraining and Protective Orders System (CARPOS). If the law enforcement agency has not received proof of service on the restrained person, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then must enforce it. Violations of this order are subject to criminal penalties.

#### Start Date and End Date of Orders

This order *starts* on the date next to the judge's signature on page 4. The order *ends* on the expiration date in item ④ on page 1.

#### Arrest Required if Order Is Violated

If an officer has probable cause to believe that the restrained person had notice of the order and has disobeyed the order, the officer must arrest the restrained person. (Pen. Code, §§ 836(c)(1), 13701(b).) A violation of the order may be a violation of Penal Code section 166 or 273.6. Agencies are encouraged to enter violation messages into CARPOS.

#### Notice/Proof of Service

The law enforcement agency must first determine if the restrained person had notice of the order. Consider the restrained person "served" (given notice) if (Pen. Code, § 836(c)(2)):

- The officer sees a copy of the Proof of Service or confirms that the Proof of Service is on file; or
- The restrained person was informed of the order by an officer.

An officer can obtain information about the contents of the order and proof of service in CARPOS. If proof of service on the restrained person cannot be verified, the agency must advise the restrained person of the terms of the order and then enforce it.

**This is a Court Order.**



Case Number: \_\_\_\_\_

**If the Protected Person Contacts the Restrained Person**

Even if the protected person invites or consents to contact with the restrained person, this order remains in effect and must be enforced. The protected person cannot be arrested for inviting or consenting to contact with the restrained person. The order can be changed only by another court order. (Pen. Code, § 13710(b).)

**Conflicting Orders—Priorities for Enforcement**

If more than one restraining order has been issued protecting the protected person from the restrained person, the orders must be enforced in the following priority (see Pen. Code, § 136.2 and Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2), 6405(b)):

1. *Emergency Protective Order (EPO)*: If one of the orders is an *Emergency Protective Order* (form EPO-001), provisions (e.g., stay-away order) that are more restrictive than in the other restraining/protective orders must be enforced. Provisions of another order that do not conflict with the EPO must be enforced.
2. *No-Contact Order*: If a restraining/protective order includes a no-contact order, the no-contact order must be enforced. Item 5a(2) is an example of a no-contact order.
3. *Criminal Protective Order (CPO)*: If none of the orders include an EPO or a no-contact order, the most recent CPO must be enforced. (Fam. Code, §§ 6383(h)(2) and 6405(b).) Additionally, a CPO issued in a criminal case involving charges of domestic violence, Penal Code sections 261, 261.5, or former 262, or charges requiring sex offender registration must be enforced over any civil court order. (Pen. Code, § 136.2(e)(2).) All provisions in the civil court order that do not conflict with the CPO must be enforced.
4. *Civil Restraining Orders*: If there is more than one civil restraining order (e.g., domestic violence, juvenile, elder abuse, civil harassment), then the order that was issued last must be enforced. Provisions that do not conflict with the most recent civil restraining order must be enforced.

(Clerk will fill out this part.)

Clerk's Certificate  
[seal]

—Clerk's Certificate—

I certify that this *Temporary Restraining Order* is a true and correct copy of the original on file in the court.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Clerk, by \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy

**This is a Court Order.**