EXHIBIT B

IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, CRIMINAL DIVISION IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

DIVISION "B" CASE NO. 2019MM002346AMB 2019MM002348AMB

STATE OF FLORIDA

VS.

ROBERT KRAFT, Defendant.

STATE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DESTROY AND SECURE SUPPRESSED VIDEO EVIDENCE

THE STATE OF FLORIDA files this Response to Motion to Destroy and Secure Suppressed Video Evidence, and states as follows:

- 1. The criminal prosecutions filed in Case Numbers 2019MM002346 and 2019MM002348 were nolle prossed on September 24, 2020 and are now closed. The State has similarly nolle prossed all related misdemeanor prosecutions arising from the investigation of the Orchids of Asia Day Spa, and those cases are closed. As of last week, the related felony cases have resolved through negotiated settlement agreements between those defendants and the State. Accordingly, all the criminal cases pending in the 15th Judicial Circuit arising from the Orchids of Asia Day Spa are complete.
- 2. Still open and pending, however, is a purported class action filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by an unknown number of anonymous "John Does" and

"Jane Does" against State Attorney Dave Aronberg personally, alleging they were innocent Spa patrons and were recorded unclothed. *John Doe v. Town of Jupiter, et al.*, Case No. 9:19-cf-80513-RAR.

3. The State believes it is simply a matter of time until the federal lawsuit will eventually be dismissed on the bases of absolute prosecutorial immunity, qualified immunity, and the failure to state a claim. Nonetheless, the surveillance videos originating at the Spa in Jupiter, Florida are material to that current litigation. The Office of the State Attorney cannot legally or ethically agree to the destruction of evidence relevant to this known, pending litigation, or to reasonably foreseeable future litigation. See League of Women Voters of Florida v. Detzner, 172 So.3d 363, 391 (Fla. 2015) (stating that Florida courts have found a "duty" to preserve evidence in circumstances when a party should reasonably foresee litigation). Even in the absence of a legal duty to preserve the records, the trial court in the federal case certainly could question why the Office of the State Attorney would agree to destroy relevant evidence given the pendency of that lawsuit. Id. 2

¹ Plaintiffs' counsel in the federal case have not disclosed in that proceeding the names and numbers of the individuals comprising the class action plaintiffs, although an attorney purporting to represent the plaintiffs, Joseph Tacopina, claimed before a nationally televised audience in 2019 that he represented 31 anonymous "John Does" and "Jane Does".

² The parties in the federal case have discussed potential settlement and the destruction of the videos in the federal court forum. But given the jurisdictional impediments inevitably arising from a federal court order requiring the destruction of evidence held by a non-party state agency and associated with state court cases, not to mention the refusal of the anonymous plaintiffs to identify themselves as parties able to be bound by a settlement agreement, it is the State courts which have the jurisdiction and authority to address the disposition of the State's evidence.

- 4. To be abundantly clear, the Office of the State Attorney has no interest in maintaining possession of, or releasing to the public, any of the surveillance videos garnered through these prosecutions post litigation, and never has been so inclined. The State will not release any videos through public records requests or otherwise absent a court order overriding this Honorable Court's Order Granting, in Part, Defendant's Motion for Protective Order rendered on April 23, 2019, and the Honorable Joseph Marx's Order Granting Defendants' Motions for Protective Order rendered on May 8, 2020.
- 5. However, at this time, due to the pendency of the federal, civil litigation, the State objects to the Motion to Destroy and Secure Suppressed Video Evidence. Any such evidence in the State's possession is secured and will continue to be so. The State will withdraw its objection to the defense Motion upon the defense's filing with this Court a final order of dismissal with prejudice, or any other final, non-appealable order disposing of the matter of *John Doe v. Town of Jupiter, et al.*, Case No. 9:19-cf-80513-RAR. Until then, the request for destruction of the videos is premature.
- 6. Simultaneously with this Response, the State is filing in Case No. 50-2019-CF-001606 a Motion Requesting Court Order Providing Guidance to the State for the Continued Handling of Protected Evidence. (As of this date, the defendants in that case have not filed a motion to destroy the evidence, as has the defendant in the present case.)

WHEREFORE, the State of Florida respectfully requests this Honorable Court to enter an Order requiring the State to continue holding all video evidence arising from the investigation into the Orchids of Asia Day Spa securely and confidentially until further order of the Court, and providing that, upon the filing with the Clerk of a court order showing the cessation of litigation in the federal lawsuit of *John Doe v. Town of Jupiter, et al.*, Case No. 9:19-cf-80513-RAR, the State will withdraw its objection and the Court will by separate order require the State to destroy all such evidence in its possession.

Respectfully submitted,

DAVID ARONBERG State Attorney

BY: ALAN S. JØHNSON

Chief Assistant State Attorney

Florida Bar No. 223352

St v Robert Kraft Case No. 2019MM002346, 2019MM002348 Page 4. State's Response to Motion to Destroy and Secure Suppressed Video Evidence

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 30th day of December, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been e-filed via the Florida Court's E-Filing Portal, which will, in turn, send a notice of electronic filing to all parties and counsel of record:

Dava I MaElyou Eag
Dana J. McElroy, Esq.
Daniela Abratt, Esq.
915 Middle River Drive, Ste. 309
Fort Lauderdale, FL 33304
(954)703—3416 (phone)
(954) 400-5415 (fax)
E-mail: dmcelrov@tlolawfirm.com
dabratt@tlolawfirm.com
Co-Counsel for ABC, Inc.; The Associated
Press; ESPN, Inc.; Gannett Co., Inc.;
GateHouse Media, LLC; The McClatchy
Company; The New York Times Company;
Orlando Sentinel Communications Company,
LLC; Sun-Sentinel Company, LLC; and TEGNA
L. Martin Reeder, Jr., Esq.
ATHERTON MCAULIFFE & REEDER PA
224 Datura Street, Suite 815
West Palm Beach, FL 33401
(561) 293-2530 (phone)
(561) 293-2593 (fax)
E-mail: martin@athertonlg.com
e-service@athertonlg.com
Co-Counsel for ABC, Inc.; The Associated
Press; ESPN, Inc.; Gannett Co., Inc.;
GateHouse Media, LLC; The McClatchy
Company; The New York Times Company;
Orlando Sentinel Communications Company,
LLC; Sun-Sentinel Company, LLC; and TEGNA
TAMA BETH KUDMAN, P.A.
Counsel for Defendant Hua Zhang

Counsel for Defendant Lei Want 319 Clematis Street, Suite 107 1450 Brickell Avenue, Suite 1900 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Miami, Florida 33131 (561) 472-0811 (phone) (305) 755-9500 (phone) E-mail: Tama@tkudmanlaw.com (305) 714-4340 (fax) E-mail: kphang@bergersingerman.com lyun@bergersingerman.com DRT@bergersingerman.com Zachary P. Hyman E-mail: zhyman@bergersingerman.com jernandez@bergersingerman.com Frank A. Shepherd, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & 333 SE Second Avenue, Suite 3200 SULLIVAN, LLP Miami, FL 33131 (305) 416-6880 (phone) William A. Burck, Esq. Frank.shepherd@gray-robinson.com 1300 I Street NW, Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 538-8000 williamburck@quinnemanuel.com Alex Spiro, Esq. 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010 (212) 849-7000

ALAN S. JOHNSON

Chief Assistant State Attorney

alexspiro aquinnemanuel.com